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Engaging Faculty to Foster a Culture of Assessment

Melissa Garno, EdD, RN, Associate Professor, BSN Program Director
Kathy Thornton, PhD, RN, Associate Professor, RN-BSN Program Director

Session Objectives
This presentation will discuss faculty development in the area of assessment through the following objectives:

- Describe the history and challenges of an institution-wide focus on assessment.
- Explore faculty development initiatives to engage faculty in assessment.
- Overview the strategies implemented at the institutional level to initiate a culture change among faculty.
- Discuss the efforts and results of faculty engagement at the departmental level.

History of Assessment at Georgia Southern University

- 1990’s – program and institution had system
- 2000’s – Administrative changes; less emphasis on academic assessment; Each college had own system; Those with external accreditors had process, others did not.
- 2005 – SACS reaffirmation visit identified AA as weakness.
- 2009 – recharged focus on AA at institutional level with adoption of WEAVEOnline
- 2010 – Office of Institutional Effectiveness Created
- 2011 – Put on monitoring report by SACS; Dropped WEAVEOnline; New institutional process established
- 2013 – Successful outcome in 2013

Challenges:

- Assessment was decentralized and inconsistent
- Some academic programs were not engaged in assessment activities
- Other academic programs did not distinguish between course grades and assessment processes
- Assessment initially became an exercise in report writing
- Success was measured by “turning the box green” upon uploading reports

Assessment Model Adopted:

- Identify clear learning outcomes and goals
- Identify clear learning outcomes and goals
- Select or develop measurable student learning outcomes
- Make adjustments in curriculum and teaching and learning strategies to address weaknesses and strengths
- Analyze assessment results
- Measure student learning outcomes
- Select or develop measures that are able to provide information about outcome achievement and progress
What we did institution-wide:

Created team members
• AA steering committee – 2 reps from each college
• Evaluate reports
• 3 subcommittees
  – AA policy subcommittee
  – Rubric development subcommittee
  – Symposium subcommittee
• 3 Program Assessment Leaders – assigned to respective colleges

Institutional Faculty Development efforts:

• Summer Retreats
  • 3 day retreat for all faculty to learn about cycle
    • Educational
    • Supported by stipends
  • Report writing retreats with available support
    • Participatory
    • Supported by stipends
    • 77% representation in 2013;
    • 87% representation in 2014

Institutional Faculty Development efforts:

• Workshops
  • Action plan workshop
  • Rubric development workshop
  • New faculty orientation workshops
  • Assessment symposium
    • 2013 Attendance: 30
    • 2014 Attendance: 76

Institutional Faculty Development efforts:

• What did you find most valuable from the panel discussion?
  • "Faculty from diverse programs discussing how assessment is implemented differently"
  • "Clarifying the difference between assignments and measures"
  • "Knowing how important it is to 'close the loop"

• How might you implement information shared during the panel discussion in your courses/programs?
  • "Great to think about bringing it back to the classroom"
  • "Education bridged their accreditations and assessment... We should too!"
  • "Routine discussions of assessment evidence with all program faculty to identify action plans and future development of program."

Institutional Faculty Development efforts:

• Spring faculty meetings highlighting benefits
  • AA subcommittee organized volunteers from programs to share personal growth stories
  • Refreshments served!

• One-on-one working with faculty responsible for reporting
  • PALS had working meetings with faculty, program directors, chairs, associate deans, deans; Educating and assisting in documenting assessment process

Institutional Faculty Development efforts:

• Faculty Development Within the School of Nursing:
  • Faculty inclusion
    • Faculty meetings include monthly standing agenda items with action plan updates
    • Faculty involved in data analysis at end of semester
    • Faculty collectively develop action plans post analysis
    • Final report is representative of all program faculty even though prepared by program director
Faculty Development Within the School of Nursing:

- Faculty inclusion
  - Curriculum mapping workshops
  - Ensure no gaps in student learning
  - Examine validity of measures – Identify where and how student learning is measured, is it appropriate for outcomes?
  - Examine consistency of faculty expectations
  - Educate new faculty on curriculum

- Connect Assessment to current P & T criteria
  - Teaching: Assessment process informs faculty of student learning and teaching effectiveness; discuss in portfolio and annual evaluations
  - Scholarship: Opportunities for SoTL projects
  - Service: opportunities for committee involvement (AASC, Ad Hoc: leadership role assignments, standardized data base project)

Results:

- Institution-wide:
  - All programs use consistent assessment process and format for reporting
  - Regained compliance with accrediting body standards
  - Disciplines can talk with each other in common language
  - Fosters interprofessional collaboration in designing teaching/learning endeavors

- School of Nursing:
  - Improved collaboration among faculty (Ad hoc groups formed to work on curriculum projects)
  - Better buy-in
  - Better understanding of implementing assessment
  - Faculty have more ownership of curriculum
  - More focus is on student learning and what students get out of their program

Recommendations:

- Ongoing faculty development
  - Faculty turnover
  - Varied competency levels
  - Sustaining a culture that values academic assessment
  - Promoting continued faculty involvement
  - Resist reverting back to the monitoring program effectiveness as an administrative concern

- Increase collaboration across programs within the SON; Improve consistency in assessment practices
  - Form a single outcomes committee that includes all programs in the school
  - Look at measures
  - Explore student-centered practices
  - Ensure every program is cohesive with the framework of the school