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Title:

At the beginning of the semester I submitted a request for information regarding a) textbook policy and b) the renting of textbooks. I would like to submit this discussion item request to begin the process of forming a more intellectually suitable policy concerning textbooks and books on this campus.

Discussion:

First let me say, as a scholar and professor of this university I distinctly view textbooks and books as different. Textbooks are secondary sources and books are primary sources. I believe the current (text)book policy is counter to the university mission statement in three key areas: It is our mission to 1) create a “culture of engagement that bridges theory with practice, extends the learning environment beyond the classroom, and promotes student growth and life success.” This part of our mission is made more difficult when students are encouraged to view their (text)books only as something that should be held onto and referenced for only one semester. If (text)books are seen as a major bridge to connect theory with practice it is only a temporary bridge and not very well built. Also if (text)books are a major way in which faculty engage students then it is only a temporary and very cursory engagement. Not one built on a sound future marriage. 2) The University Mission is to create "a fertile learning environment exemplified by a free exchange of ideas, high academic expectations and individual responsibility for academic achievement." How can we expect to create such an environment when there are only rented (text)books and used books dominating the circulation of the main locus for the circulation of ideas? How can we hold students responsible for their own learning if we encourage them to willfully disconnect from the main source of learning: books? How can we engage our students in learning if we partake in a process in which learning is seen as an economic transaction and an automaton-like process of information exchange rather than knowledge creation? This
only encourages the creation of passive learners. 3) The university mission wishes to create "learning experiences of the highest quality, informed by scholarly practice, research, and creative activities." When we encourage students to sell back their (text)books or to rent them or when we encourage them to buy used books, we undermine the scholarly process that is based on the circulation of books and journal articles through publishing houses that can only afford us opportunities to publish if they are able to disseminate enough books and journals.

Rationale:

When the University of Berlin was forming in 1807, the German Philosopher Johann Fichte called the university, any university, a Holy Place. Seventy years later Nietzsche, in his inaugural lectures to the University of Basil, referred to the university as sacred ground. As professors we live and practice our vocation on holy and sacred ground. We are the standard bearers not of job training or information but of that which our society and world holds to be heartfelt truths. We should be concerned with the degradation and reduction of books to things that are parts of an emotionless transaction. As professors we are people of books and it is our duty to protect the meaning and importance of these books. It is the duty of the university store to also protect the meaning and importance of books. The Spanish Philosopher Jose Ortega Y Gasset stated in the 1930s that "not only the life of the university, but the whole new life must be fashioned by artisans whose first thought is authenticity." As professors, we are the artisans and we must ask if we can be authentic with our students and that which we create through rented textbooks and used books?

Response:

2/2/2001: The SEC declined this request.

Minutes 2/17/2011: Request for a Discussion Item: Textbook Policy The discussion item from Professor John Weaver related to textbook policy asked the following questions: 1) Does the current university book policy undermine the university mission; 2) Does the current bookstore policy concerning the renting and buying of used books foster an intellectual and scholarly atmosphere amongst all of our students; and 3) Does the current book policy encourage treatment of books as commodity items to be rented, sold, and resold?
Professor Weaver explained in the rationale section that the scholarly process is based on the circulation of books and journal articles. These publishing houses can only afford us opportunity to publish if they are able to disseminate and sell enough books and journals.

The Senate Executive Committee declined this motion because the questions were philosophical in nature. Moderator Krug noted that “it is hard to take an action on a philosophical question.”

Ming Fang He (COE) responded that the Request for Discussion was thoughtfully written and that it concerned not only a question about the role of textbooks, but it also concerned the purpose of education. She urged fellow senators to read the Request for Discussion carefully.

Moderator Krug (CLASS) agreed and pointed out that Section 3.10 of the Board of Regents Policy Manual, entitled Academic Textbooks, Item 2, required that universities, “Ensure that bookstore operations, whether managed internally or outsourced to private vendors, offer the best value to students in acquiring textbooks and actively promote alternative options to help minimize student cost.” The policy was first passed in May of 2005 and then again in February 2007.