

References

- Anderson, L.W., et al. (Eds.) (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Boston, MA: Pearson Education Group.
- Anthis, K., & Adams, L. (2012). Scaffolding: Relationships among online quiz parameters and classroom exam scores. *Teaching of Psychology, 39*, 284-287.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628312456629>
- Bound, J., Lovenheim, M., & Turner, S. (2009). *Why have college completion rates declined? An analysis of changing student preparation and collegiate resources*. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w15566>.
<https://doi.org/10.3386/w15566>
- Brothen, T., & Wambach, C. (2004). The value of time limits on Internet quizzes. *Teaching of Psychology, 31*, 62-64.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top3101_12
- Burchfield, C. M., & Sappington, J. (2000). Compliance with required reading assignments. *Teaching of Psychology, 27*, 58-60.
- Burns, D. J., & Vinchur, A. J. (1992). Effects of evaluative quizzes on test performance. *Journal of Instructional Psychology, 19*, 148-154.
- Cherry, M. D. (2004). Teaching economics with less lecture: Using reading guides to cover content. Retrieved from: <http://ssm.com/abstract=579481>.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>
- Connor-Greene, P. A. (2000). Assessing and promoting student learning: Blurring the line between teaching and testing. *Teaching of Psychology, 27*, 84-88.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328023TOP2702_01
- Cukras, G. G. (2006). The investigation of study strategies that maximize learning for underprepared students. *College Teaching, 54*, 194-197.
<https://doi.org/10.3200/CTCH.54.1.194-197>
- Culver, T.F., & Morse, L. (2012). The impact of experience on college students' textbook reading practices. *Journal of College Literacy & Learning, 38*, 15-24.
- Daniel, D. B., & Broida, J. (2004). Using web-based quizzing to improve exam performance: Lessons learned. *Teaching of*

- Psychology*, 31, 207-208.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top3103_6
- Dickson, K. L., Miller, M. D., & Devoley, M. S. (2005). Effect of textbook study guides on student performance in introductory psychology. *Teaching of Psychology*, 32, 34-39. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top3201_8
- Felten, P. (2013). Principles of good practice in SoTL. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry*, 1 (1), 121-125.
<https://doi.org/10.2979/teachlearningqu.1.1.121>
- Gurung, R. A. R. (2005). How do students really study (and does it matter)? *Teaching of Psychology*, 32, 239-241.
- Gurung, R. A. R. (2011). Predicting textbook reading: The Textbook Assessment and Usage Scale. *Teaching of Psychology*, 38, 22-28.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628310390913>
- Helms, J.W., & Helms, K.T. (2010). Note launchers: Promoting active reading of mathematics textbooks. *Journal of College Reading and Learning*, 41, 109-119.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10790195.2010.10850338>
- Holsgrove, G. J., Lanphear, J. H., & Ledingham, I. M. (1998). Study guides: An essential student learning tool in an integrated curriculum. *Medical Teacher*. 20(2), 99-103.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01421599881174>
- Horning, A. S. (2007). Reading across the curriculum as the key to student success. *Across the Disciplines*, 4. Retrieved from
<http://wac.colostate.edu/atd/articles/horning2007.cfm>.
- Kaback, S. (2012). Getting students to read: Anticipation guides as tools to encourage engagement with academic texts. *AILACTE Journal*, 9, 19-33.
- Koontz, T.M., & Plank, K.M. (2011). Can reading questions foster active learning? A study of six college courses. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 22(3), 23-46.
- Labaree, D. F. (1997). *How to succeed at school without really learning: The credentials race in American education*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Maki, W. S., & Maki, R. H. (2000). Evaluation of a Web-based introductory psychology course: II. Contingency management to increase use of on-line study aids. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 32, 240-245. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03207789>
- Marchant, G. J. (2002). Student reading of assigned articles: Will this be on the test? *Teaching of Psychology*, 29, 49-51.

- Maurer, T.W. (2006). Daily online extra credit quizzes and exam performance. *Journal of Teaching in Marriage & Family, 6*, 227-238.
- Maurer, T.W. (2011). Incentive-based reading compliance: Part II. *Proceedings of the SoTL Commons Conference*. Statesboro, GA: Center for Teaching, Learning, & Scholarship. Retrieved from <http://w3.georgiasouthern.edu/ijsotl/conference/proceedings/2011/papers.htm>.
- Maurer, T.W. (2010). Incentive-based reading compliance. *Proceedings of the SoTL Commons Conference*. Statesboro, GA: Center for Excellence in Teaching. Retrieved from <http://w3.georgiasouthern.edu/ijsotl/conference/proceedings/2010/papers.htm>.
- Maurer, T.W., Allen, D., Gatch, D.B., Shankar, P., & Sturges, D. (2013). Students' academic motivations in three disciplines. *Journal of Scholarship on Teaching & Learning, 13*, 77-89. Retrieved from <http://josotl.indiana.edu/issue/view/392>.
- Maurer, T.W., Allen, D., Gatch, D.B., Shankar, P., & Sturges, D. (2012). Students' academic motivations in allied health classes. *The Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice, 10*. Retrieved from <http://ijahsp.nova.edu/>.
- Maurer, T.W., Frost, L., Sturges, D., Charles, S., Allen, D., Cawthorn, M., & Brewton, C.C. (2009). Faculty and student perceptions of influences on post-exam attendance. *Journal of Scholarship on Teaching & Learning, 9*, 38-55. Retrieved from <http://josotl.indiana.edu/issue/view/204>.
- Meiss, G. T. (1983). Help your students read their textbooks more profitably. *Journalism Educator, 38*, 3-10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107769588303800201>
- Montelongo, J. (2008). Text guides: Scaffolding, summarization and fortifying reading skills. *International Journal of Learning, 15*(7), 289-296. <https://doi.org/10.18848/1447-9494/cgp/v15i07/45870>
- Nathan, R. (2005). *My freshman year: What a professor learned by becoming a student*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Rosenthal, G. T., & McKnight, R. (1996). What do introductory psychology students know about their examinations, and when do they know it? *Journal of Instructional Psychology, 23*, 137-144.
- Ruscio, J. (2001). Administering quizzes at random to increase

- students' reading. *Teaching of Psychology*, 28, 204-206.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328023TOP2803_08
- Sappington, J., Kinsey, K., & Munsayac, K. (2002). Two studies of reading compliance among college students. *Teaching of Psychology*, 29, 272-274.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328023TOP2904_02
- Shepherd, M. D. (2005). Encouraging students to read mathematics. *Primus: Problems, Resources, and Issues in Mathematics Undergraduate Studies*, 15, 124-144.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970508984113>
- Simpson, M. L., & Nist, S. L. (1990). Textbook annotation: An effective and efficient study strategy for college students. *Journal of Reading*, 34, 122-129.
- Sparks, D., & Malkus, N. (2013). First-year undergraduate remedial coursetaking: 1999-2000, 2003-04, 2007-08. *Statistics in Brief*. NCES 2013-013. National Center for Education Statistics.
- Spencer, J.A.; & Jordan, R.K. (1999). Learner centred approaches in medical education. *British Medical Journal*, 318, 1280-1283. Retrieved from <http://pubmedcentralcanada.ca/pmcc/articles/PMC1115656/pdf/1280.pdf>.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.318.7193.1280>
- Thorne, B. M. (2000). Extra credit exercise: A painless pop quiz. *Teaching of Psychology*, 27, 204-205.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328023TOP2703_09
- U.S. Department of Education. (2013). *National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from http://nationsreportcard.gov/reading_math_g12_2013/#/what-knowledge.
- Vandsburger, E, & Duncan-Daston, R. (2011). Evaluating the study guide as a tool for increasing students' accountability for reading the textbook. *Journal of College Reading and Learning*, 42, 6-23.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10790195.2011.10850345>