

## References

- Albrecht-[Crane](#), C. (2006). Theories of affect and the call for practicality: A response to Jenny Edbauer. *JAC*, 26, 253-64.
- Astin, A.W. (1993). What matters in college: Four critical years revisited. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Brand, A. G. (1987). The why of cognition: Emotion and the writing process. *College Composition and Communication*, 38, 436-443. <https://doi.org/10.2307/357637>
- Brand, A. G. (1994a). Defining our emotional life: The cool end (plus motivation). In Alice Glarden Brand and Richard L. Graves (Eds.), *Presence of mind: writing and the domain beyond the cognitive* (pp. 156-166). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann Boynton/Cook.
- Brand, A. G. (1994b). Defining our emotional life: The valutive system—a continuum theory. In Alice Glarden Brand and Richard L. Graves (Eds.), *Presence of mind: writing and the domain beyond the cognitive* (pp. 167-180). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann Boynton/Cook.
- Brannon, L., & Knoblauch, C.H. (1982). On students' rights to their own texts: A model of teacher response. *College Composition and Communication*, 33, 157-166. <https://doi.org/10.2307/357623>
- Brooke, R. (1987). Underlife and writing instruction. *College Composition and Communication*, 38, 141-53. <https://doi.org/10.2307/357715>
- Brown, J. (2007). Feedback: The student perspective. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, 12(1), 33-51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13596740601155363>
- CWPA, NCTE, & NWP (Council of Writing Program Administrators, National Council of Teachers of English, & National Writing Project). (2011). Framework for success in postsecondary writing, January 2011. Retrieved from <http://wpacouncil.org/framework>
- Damasio, A. (1994). *Descartes' error: Emotion, reason, and the human brain*. New York, NY: Penguin Putnam.
- Dowden, T., Pittaway, S., Yost, H., & McCarthy, R. (2013). Students' perceptions of written feedback in teacher education: Ideally feedback is a continuing two-way communication that encourages progress. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 38, 349-62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2011.632676>
- Edbauer, J. H. (2005). (Meta)Physical graffiti: "Getting up" as affective writing model. *JAC*, 25, 131-159.
- Ede, L., & Lunsford, A. (1992). *Singular text/plural authors: Perspectives on collaborative writing*. Carbondale & Edwardsville: Southern Illinois UP.
- Grant-Davie, K. (1992). Coding data: Issues of validity, reliability, and interpretation. In Gesa Kirsch and Patricia A. Sullivan (Eds.), *Methods and methodology in composition research* (pp. 270-286). Carbondale and Edwardsville: Southern Illinois UP.
- Heckathorn, D. D. (1997). Respondent-driven sampling: A new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Social Problems*, 44(2), 174-99. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3096941>  
<https://doi.org/10.1525/sp.1997.44.2.03x0221m>
- Jacobs, D., & Micciche, L. (Eds.). (2003). *A way to move: Rhetorics of emotion and composition studies*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook-Heinemann.
- Jacobs, D., & Micciche, L. (2003). Introduction: Rhetoric, editing, and emotion. In Dale Jacobs and Laura Micciche, (Eds.), *A way to move: Rhetorics of emotion and composition studies* (pp. 1-10). Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook-Heinemann.
- Jonsson, A. (2013). Facilitating productive use of feedback in higher education. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 14, 63-76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787412467125>
- Lunsford, K., Mackiewicz, J., Swarts, J., & Rickly, R. (2014). "Coding for Data Analysis" Workshop. CCCC. Indianapolis, IN.
- McLeod, S. (1997). *Notes on the heart: Affective issues in the writing classroom*. Carbondale and Edwardsville: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Micciche, L. (2005). Emotion, ethics, and rhetorical action. *JAC*, 25, 161-184.
- Micciche, L. (2006). The trouble with affect. *JAC*, 26, 264-276.
- Nadeem, M. A., & Nadeem, T. (2013). Exploring impact of teacher's feedback on learner's learning behavior at university level. *Journal of Educational Research*, 16, 54-62.
- Pokorny, H., & Pickford, P. (2010). Complexity, cues and relationships: student perceptions of feedback. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 11(1), 21-30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787409355872>
- Porter, S.R. (2011). Do college student surveys have any validity? *Review of Higher Education*, 35(1), 45-76. <https://doi.org/10.1353/rhe.2011.0034>
- Poulos, A., & Mahoney, M. J. (2008). Effectiveness of feedback: the students' perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33, 143-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930601127869>
- Scott, S. V. (2014). Practising what we preach: towards a student-centred definition of feedback. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 19, 49-57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2013.827639>
- Sommers, N. (1982). Responding to Student Writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 33, 148-156. <https://doi.org/10.2307/357622>
- Sommers, N. (2006). Across the drafts. *College Composition and Communication*, 58, 248-257.
- Straub, R. (1996). The concept of control in teacher response: Defining the varieties of "directive" and "facilitative" commentary. *College Composition and Communication*, 47, 223-251. <https://doi.org/10.2307/358794>
- Tobin, L. (1993). *Writing relationships: What really happens in the composition classroom*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook Heinemann.
- Underwood, J. S., & Tregidgo, A. P. (2006). Improving student writing through effective feedback: Best practices and recommendations. *Journal of Teaching Writing*, 22(2), 73-97.
- Young, P. (2000). "I might as well give up": Self-esteem and mature students' feelings about feedback on assignments. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 24, 409-418. <https://doi.org/10.1080/030987700750022325>