

References

- Berry, F., Carlson, P., Millard, D, (2006). Calibrated Peer Review for ABET Assessment. *Proceedings of the National STEM Assessment Conference, Washington DC. pp. 190-193.*
- Bloom BS, ed. (1956) *Taxonomy of educational objectives, cognitive domain*. New York, NY: Longmans, Green and Company.
- Bransford, J., Zech, L., Schwartz, D., Barron, B., Vye, N. & CTGV. (1999). Designs for environments that invite and sustain mathematical thinking. In Cobb, P. (Ed.), *Symbolizing, communicating, and mathematizing: Perspectives on discourse, tools, and instructional design* (pp. 275-324). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Brill, J. M., & Hodges, C. B. (2011). Investigating Peer Review as an Intentional Learning Strategy to Foster Collaborative Knowledge-Building in Students of Instructional Design. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 23*(1), 114-118.
- Clase, K. L., Gundlach, E. and Pelaez, N. (2010), Calibrated peer review for computer- assisted learning of biological research competencies. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.*, 38: 290–295. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20415>
- Enders, F. B., Jenkins, S., & Hoverman, V. (2010). Calibrated peer review for interpreting linear regression parameters: Results from a graduate course. *Journal of Statistics Education, 18*(2), 1-27.
- Fosmire, M. (2010) Calibrated Peer Review: A New Tool for Integrating Information Literacy Skills in Writing-Intensive Large Classroom Settings. *portal: Libraries and the Academy, 10* (2), 147-163. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.0.0099>
- Furman, B.; Robinson, W. (2003). Improving Engineering Report Writing with Calibrated Peer Review™. Proceedings of the 33rd ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, Boulder CO.
- Gunersel, A., & Simpson, N. (2009). Improvement in writing and reviewing skills with Calibrated Peer Review. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 3*(2): 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstol.2009.030215>
- Gunersel, A.B., Simpson, N.J., Aufderheide, K., and Wang, L. (2008). Effectiveness of Calibrated Peer Review™ for improving writing and critical thinking skills in biology undergraduate students. *Journal of Scholarship of Teaching and Learning 8*, no. 2: 25– 37.
- Handelsman, J., Ebert-May, D., Beichner, R., Bruns, P., Chang, A., DeHaan, R., Gentile, J., Lauffer, S., Stewart, J., Tilghman, S.M., and Wood, W.B. (2004). Policy forum: scientific teaching. *Science 304*,521 -522. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096022>
- Johnson, B. & Christensen, L. (2008). Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Judson, E., & Sawada, D. (2006). Audience response systems: Insipid contrivances or inspiring tools? In D.A. Banks (Ed.), *Audience response systems in higher education: Applications and cases*. Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59140-947-2.ch002>

- Keeney-Kennicutt, W.L., Gunersel, A.B., and Simpson, N.J., (2008). Overcoming student resistance to a teaching innovation. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* 2, no. 1. http://www.georgiasouthern.edu/ijstotl/issue_v2n1.htm (accessed April 4, 2012). <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstotl.2008.020105>
- Li, L., Liu, X., & Steckelberg, A. L. (2009). Assessor or assessee: How student learning improves by giving and receiving peer feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(3), 525-536. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00968.x>
- Likkel, L. (2012). Calibrated Peer Review Essays Increase Student Confidence in Assessing Their Own Writing. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 41(3), 42-47.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Mayer, R. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed). New York: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511811678>
- Mulder, R. A., Elgar, M. A., & Brady, D. (2012, October). APRES: Electronically managed student feedback via peer review. In *Proceedings of The Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (formerly UniServe Science Conference)* (Vol. 11).
- National Research Council. (2003). BIO2010: Transforming undergraduate education for future research biologists. Committee on Undergraduate Biology Education to Prepare Research Scientists for the 21st Century. Washington DC: *The National Academies Press*.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks, Sage Publications.
- Peleaz N (2002) Problem-based writing with peer review improves academic performance in physiology. *Adv Physiol Educ* 26:174-84. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00041.2001>
- Pharo, E., & De Salas, K. (2009). Implementing student peer review: Opportunity versus change management. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 33(2), 199-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098260802276748>
- Prichard, J.R., (2005). Writing to learn: An evaluation of the Calibrated Peer Review™ program in two neuroscience courses, *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 4, p. A34-A39.
- Prince, M. & Felder, R. (2007). The Many Faces of Inductive Teaching and Learning. *J. Coll. Sci. Teaching*, 36(5), 14-20
- Rudd, J. A., II, Greenbowe, T. J., and Hand, B., (2007) Using the Science Writing Heuristic to improve students' understanding of general equilibrium, *Journal of Chemical Education*, 84, p. 2007-2011. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed084p2007>
- Russell, A. (2001). The evaluation of CPR. Prepared for HP e-Education. Business Development. Los Angeles: UCLA.
- Russell AA (2004) What Works- A pedagogy: Calibrated peer review. *Project Kaleidoscope: What works, what matters, what lasts*. 4.
- Søndergaard, H., & Mulder, R. A. (2012). Collaborative learning through formative peer review: pedagogy, programs and potential. *Computer Science Education*, 22(4), 343-

367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2012.728041>

Vickerman, P. (2009). Student perspectives on formative peer assessment: an attempt to deepen learning?. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(2), 221-230.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930801955986>

Watson, W., & Ishiyama, J. (2012). Using Calibrated Peer Review to Facilitate Writing Assignments in Large Political Science Classes. In *APSA 2012 Teaching & Learning Conference Paper*.

Berry, F., Carlson, P., Millard, D, (2006). Calibrated Peer Review for ABET Assessment. Proceedings of the National STEM Assessment Conference, Washington DC. pp. 190-193.

Bloom BS, ed. (1956) Taxonomy of educational objectives, cognitive domain. New York, NY: Longmans, Green and Company.

Bransford, J., Zech, L., Schwartz, D., Barron, B., Vye, N. & CTGV. (1999). Designs for environments that invite and sustain mathematical thinking. In Cobb, P. (Ed.), *Symbolizing, communicating, and mathematizing: Perspectives on discourse, tools, and instructional design* (pp. 275-324). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Brill, J. M., & Hodges, C. B. (2011). Investigating Peer Review as an Intentional Learning Strategy to Foster Collaborative Knowledge-Building in Students of Instructional Design. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 23(1), 114-118.

Enders, F. B., Jenkins, S., & Hoverman, V. (2010). Calibrated peer review for interpreting linear regression parameters: Results from a graduate course. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 18(2), 1-27.

Fosmire, M. (2010) Calibrated Peer Review: A New Tool for Integrating Information Literacy Skills in Writing-Intensive Large Classroom Settings. *portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 10 (2), 147-163.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.0.0099>

Furman, B.; Robinson, W. (2003). Improving Engineering Report Writing with Calibrated Peer Review™. Proceedings of the 33rd ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, Boulder CO.

Gunersel, A., & Simpson, N. (2009). Improvement in writing and reviewing skills with Calibrated Peer Review. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 3(2): 1-14.
<https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstol.2009.030215>

Gunersel, A.B., Simpson, N.J., Aufderheide, K., and Wang, L. (2008). Effectiveness of Calibrated Peer Review™ for improving writing and critical thinking skills in biology undergraduate students. *Journal of Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* 8, no. 2: 25- 37.

Handelsman, J., Ebert-May, D., Beichner, R., Bruns, P., Chang, A., DeHaan, R., Gentile, J., Lauffer, S., Stewart, J., Tilghman, S.M., and Wood, W.B. (2004). Policy forum: scientific teaching. *Science* 304,521 -522.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096022>

- Johnson, B. & Christensen, L. (2008). Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Judson, E., & Sawada, D. (2006). Audience response systems: Insipid contrivances or inspiring tools? In D.A. Banks (Ed.), Audience response systems in higher education: Applications and cases. Hershey, PA: Information Science Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59140-947-2.ch002>
- Keeney-Kennicutt, W.L., Gunersel, A.B., and Simpson, N.J., (2008). Overcoming student resistance to a teaching innovation. International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning 2, no. 1
<https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstol.2008.020105>
- Li, L., Liu, X., & Steckelberg, A. L. (2009). Assessor or assessee: How student learning improves by giving and receiving peer feedback. British Journal of Educational Technology, 41(3), 525-536.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00968.x>
- Likkel, L. (2012). Calibrated Peer Review Essays Increase Student Confidence in Assessing Their Own Writing. Journal of College Science Teaching, 41(3), 42-47.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Mayer, R. (2009). Multimedia learning (2nd ed). New York: Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511811678>
- Mulder, R. A., Elgar, M. A., & Brady, D. (2012, October). APRES: Electronically managed student feedback via peer review. In Proceedings of The Australian Conference on Science and Mathematics Education (formerly UniServe Science Conference) (Vol. 11).
- National Research Council. (2003). BIO2010: Transforming undergraduate education for future research biologists. Committee on Undergraduate Biology Education to Prepare Research Scientists for the 21st Century. Washington DC: The National Academies Press.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods. Thousand Oaks, Sage Publications.
- Peleaz N (2002) Problem-based writing with peer review improves academic performance in physiology. Adv Physiol Educ 26:174-84.
<https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00041.2001>
- Pharo, E., & De Salas, K. (2009). Implementing student peer review: Opportunity versus change management. Journal of Geography in Higher Education, 33(2), 199-207.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098260802276748>
- Prichard, J.R., (2005). Writing to learn: An evaluation of the Calibrated Peer Review™ program in two neuroscience courses, Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education, 4, p. A34-A39.
- Prince, M. & Felder, R. (2007). The Many Faces of Inductive Teaching and Learning. J. Coll.

Sci. Teaching, 36(5), 14-20

Rudd, J. A., II, Greenbowe, T. J., and Hand, B., (2007) Using the Science Writing Heuristic to improve students' understanding of general equilibrium, *Journal of Chemical Education*, 84, p. 2007-2011.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed084p2007>

Russell, A. (2001). The evaluation of CPR. Prepared for HP e-Education. Business Development. Los Angeles: UCLA.

Russell AA (2004) What Works- A pedagogy: Calibrated peer review. Project Kaleidoscope: What works, what matters, what lasts. 4.

Søndergaard, H., & Mulder, R. A. (2012). Collaborative learning through formative peer review: pedagogy, programs and potential. *Computer Science Education*, 22(4), 343-367.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2012.728041>

Vickerman, P. (2009). Student perspectives on formative peer assessment: an attempt to deepen learning?. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 34(2), 221-230.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930801955986>

Watson, W., & Ishiyama, J. (2012). Using Calibrated Peer Review to Facilitate Writing Assignments in Large Political Science Classes. In APSA 2012 Teaching & Learning Conference Paper.

<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1997612>