

References

- Abrams, E., Southerland, S. A., & Silva, P. (Eds.). (2008). *Inquiry in the Classroom: Realities and Opportunities*. Charlotte, NC: IAP.
- Ajewole, G. A. (1991). Effects of discovery and expository instructional methods on the attitude of students to biology. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 28(May), 401-409. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660280504>
- Akkus, R., Gunel, M., & Hand, B. (2007). Comparing an Inquiry-Based Approach Known as the Science Writing Heuristic to Traditional Science Teaching Practices: Are There Differences? *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(14), 1745-1765. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690601075629>
- American Association for the Advancement of Science, W., DC. (1993). *Benchmarks for Science Literacy*. District of Columbia: Oxford University Press, 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-4314.
- Anderson, R. (2002). Reforming Science Teaching: What research says about inquiry. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 13, 1-2. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015171124982>
- Australia, C. C. o. W. (1998). *The curriculum framework for kindergarten to Year 12 education in Western Australia*. Perth, Western Australia.
- Baldwin, J. A., Ebert-May, D., & Burns, D. J. (1999). The Development of a College Biology Self-Efficacy Instrument for Nonmajors. *Science Education*, 83(4), 397-408. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-237X\(199907\)83:4<397::AID-SCE1>3.0.CO;2-#](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-237X(199907)83:4<397::AID-SCE1>3.0.CO;2-#)
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Barrow, L. H. (2006). A Brief History of Inquiry: From Dewey to Standards. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 17(3), 265-278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-006-9008-5>
- Basaga, H., Geban, O., & Tekkaya, C. (1994). The Effect of the Inquiry Teaching Method on Biochemistry and Science Process Skill Achievements. *Biochemical Education*, 22(1), 29-32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0307-4412\(94\)90163-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0307-4412(94)90163-5)
- Berg, C. A. R., Bergendahl, V. C. B., Lundberg, B. K. S., & Tibell, L. A. E. (2003). Benefiting from an Open-Ended Experiment? A Comparison of Attitudes to, and Outcomes of, an Expository versus an Open-Inquiry Version of the Same Experiment. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(3), 351-372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690210145738>
- Buck, L. B., Bretz, S. L., & Towns, M. H. (2008). Characterizing the Level of Inquiry in the Undergraduate Laboratory. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, XXXVIII(1), 52-58.
- Burns, J. C., Okey, J., C., & Wise, K. C. (1985). Development of an Integrated Process Skill Test: TIPS II. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 22(2), 169-177. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660220208>
- Burrowes, P. A. (2003). A student-centered approach to teaching general biology that really works: Lord's constructivist model put to a test. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(7), 491-502. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4451548>

Burrowes, P. A. (2003). A Student-Centered Approach to Teaching General Biology That Really Works: Lord's Constructivist Model Put to a Test. [Reports - Research]. *American Biology Teacher*, 65(7), 491-494,496-502. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4451548>

Chinn, C. A., & Malhotra, B. A. (2002). Epistemologically Authentic Inquiry in Schools: A Theoretical Framework for Evaluating Inquiry Tasks. *Science Education*, 86(2), 175-218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10001>

Council of Ministers of Education, C. (1997). *Common framework of science learning outcomes K to 12: Pan-Canadian protocol for collaboration on school curriculum for use by curriculum developers*.

Crawford, B. A. (1999). Is It Realistic To Expect a Preservice Teacher To Create an Inquiry based Classroom? *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 10(3), 175-194. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009422728845>

Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer Instruction: Ten Years of Experience and Results. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(9), 970-977. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1374249>

Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer instruction: ten years of experience and results. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(9), 970-977. <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1374249>

Demastes, S., & Wandersee, J. H. (1992). Biological Literacy in a College Biology Classroom. *Bioscience*, 42(1), 63-65. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1311631>

DeVellis, R. F. (2003). *Scale development theory and applications*. (2nd ed. Vol. 26). Thousand Oaks,CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

Dewey, J. (1910). Science as subject-matter and as method. *Science*, 31, 121-127. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.31.787.121>

Ebert-May, D., Brewer, C., & Allred, S. (1997). Innovation in Large Lectures--Teaching for Active Learning. *Bioscience*, 47(9), 601-607. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1313166>

Ebert-May, D., Brewer, C., & Allred, S. (1997). Innovation in large lectures--teaching for active learning. *Bioscience*, 47(9), 601-607. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1313166>

Gallagher, J. J. (Ed.). (1989). *Research on secondary school science practices, knowledge and beliefs: a basis for restructuring*. Washington D.C.: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Germann, P. J. (1989). Directed-inquiry approach to learning science process skills: treatment effects and aptitude-treatment interactions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 26(March), 237-250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660260305>

Germann, P. J. (1996). Comparing Features of Seven High School Biology Laboratory Manuals. *American Biology Teacher*, 58(2), 78-84. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4450082>

Hake, R. R. (1998). *Interactive-Engagement vs. Traditional Methods: A Six-Thousand-Student Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Courses*. Indiana.

- Hall, D. A., & McCurdy, D. W. (1990). A Comparison of a Biological Sciences Curriculum Study (BSCS) Laboratory and a Traditional Laboratory on Student Achievement at Two Private Liberal Arts Colleges. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(7), 625-636. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660270703>
- Heppner, F. H., Koultab, K. R., & Croasdale, W. (2006). Inquiry: Does it Favor the Prepared Mind? *American Biology Teacher*, 68(7), 390-392. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4452024>
[https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685\(2006\)68\[390:IDIFTP\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2006)68[390:IDIFTP]2.0.CO;2)
- Hogan, K., & Maglienti, M. (2001). Comparing the Epistemological Underpinnings of Students' and Scientists' Reasoning about Conclusions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(6), 663-687. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.1025>
- Hughes, I., & Wood, E. J. (2003). Does problem-based learning work? And whose fault is it if it doesn't? *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 31(4), 257-259. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.2003.494031040247>
- Igelsrud, D. E., & Leonard, W. H. E. (1988). Labs: What Research Says about Biology Laboratory Instruction. *American Biology Teacher*, 50(5), 303-306. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4448741>
- Jackman, L. E., Moellenberg, Wayne P., and Brabson, G. Dana (1987). Evaluation of Three Instructional Methods for Teaching General Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64(9), 794-796. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed064p794>
- Kern, E. L., & Carpenter, J. R. (1984). Enhancement of Student Values, Interests and Attitudes in Earth Science through a Field-Oriented Approach. *Journal of Geological Education*, 32(5), 299-305. <https://doi.org/10.5408/0022-1368-32.5.299>
- Keys, C. W., Hand, B., Prain, V., & Collins, S. (1999). Using the Science Writing Heuristic as a Tool for Learning from Laboratory Investigations in Secondary Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36(10), 1065-1084. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2736\(199912\)36:10<1065::AID-TEA2>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2736(199912)36:10<1065::AID-TEA2>3.0.CO;2-I)
- Knight, J., & Wood, W. B. (2005). Teaching more by lecturing less. *Cell Biology Education*, 4(4), 298-310. <https://doi.org/10.1187/05-06-0082>
- Lawson, A. E. (1980). Relationships among Level of Intellectual Development, Cognitive Style, and Grades in a College Biology Course. *Science Education*, 64(1), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730640111>
- Lawson, A. E., & Snitgen, D. A. (1982). Teaching Formal Reasoning in a College Biology Course for Preservice Teachers. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 19(3), 233-248. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660190306>
- Leonard, W. H. (1989, March 30-April 1). *A Review of Research on Science Laboratory Instruction at the College Level*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching 62nd, San Francisco CA.
- Lott, G. W. (1983). The Effect of Inquiry Teaching and Advance Organizers Upon Student Outcomes in Science Education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 20(5), 437-451. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660200507>
- Loughran, J., & Derry, N. (1997). Researching teaching for understanding: the students' perspective. *International Journal of Science Education*, 19(8), 925-938. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069970190806>

Luckie, D. B., Maleszewski, J. J., Loznak, S. D., & Krha, M. (2004). Infusion of Collaborative Inquiry throughout a Biology Curriculum Increases Student Learning: a Four-year Study of "Teams and Streams". *Advances in Physiology Education*, 28(4), 199-209.

<https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00025.2004>

Luft, J. A. (2001). Changing Inquiry Practices and Beliefs: The Impact of an Inquiry-based Professional Development Programme on Beginning and Experienced Secondary Science Teachers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(5), 517-534.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690121307>

Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., and Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources, and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining Pedagogical Content Knowledge: The Construct and Its Implications for Science Education*. *Science & Technology Education Library* (pp. 306). Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, P.O. Box 358, Accord Station, Hingham, MA 02018-0358.

McCrinkle, A. R., & Christensen, C. A. (1995). The Impact of Learning Journals on Metacognitive and Cognitive Processes and Learning Performance. *Learning and Instruction*, 5(2), 167-185. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(95\)00010-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(95)00010-Z)

Merritt, M. V. S., Marilyn J.; Darlington, Jeanne A. (1993). Experimental design in the general chemistry laboratory. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 30(August), 660-662.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed070p660>

Millar, R., Osborne, J., & Nott, M. (1998). Science Education for the Future. [Reports - Descriptive]. *School Science Review*, 80(291), 19-24.

Moss, R. (1997). A Discovery Lab for Studying Gene Regulation. *American Biology Teacher*, 59(8), 522-526. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4450370>

National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council Washington DC. (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. District of Columbia: National Academy Press, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Box 285, Washington, DC20055.

National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council Washington DC. Center for Science Mathematics and Engineering Education. (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A Guide for Teaching and Learning*.

Norris, S., Phillips, L. M., & Korpan, C. (2003). University students' interpretation of media reports of science and its relationship to background knowledge, interest, and reading difficulty. *Public Understanding of Science*, 12, 1-23.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/09636625030122001>

Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*. New York: McGraw Hill.

Pavelich, M. J., & Abraham, M. R. (1979). An Inquiry Format Laboratory Program for General Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 56(2), 100-103.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed056p100>

Perry, W. G., Jr. (1999). *Forms of Intellectual and Ethical Development in the College Years: A Scheme*. *Jossey-Bass Higher and Adult Education Series*.

Purser, R. K., & Renner, J. W. (1983). Results of Two Tenth-Grade Biology Teaching Procedures. *Science Education*, 67(1), 85-98. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.3730670112>

Rissing, S. W., & Cogan, J. G. (2009). Can an Inquiry Approach Improve College

Student Learning in a Teaching Laboratory? *CBE Life Sci Educ* %R 10.1187/cbe.08-05-0023, 8(1), 55-61.

Rudd, J. A., Greenbowe, T. J., Hand, B. M., & Legg, M. J. (2001). Using the science writing heuristic to move toward an inquiry-based laboratory curriculum: An example from physical equilibrium. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 78(12), 1680-1686.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed078p1680>

Sawada, D., Piburn, M. D., Judson, E., Turley, J., Falconer, K., Benford, R., et al. (2002). Measuring Reform Practices in Science and Mathematics Classrooms: The Reformed Teaching Observation Protocol. *School Science and Mathematics*, 102(6), 245-253.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2002.tb17883.x>

Schneider, R. M., Krajcik, J., Marx, R. W., & Soloway, E. (2002). Performance of Students in Project-Based Science Classrooms on a National Measure of Science Achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(5), 410-422. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.10029>

Schwab, J. (1960). Enquiry, the science teacher, and the educator. *The Science Teacher*, 27, 6-11.

Shymansky, J. A. (1990). A Reassessment of the Effects of Inquiry-Based Science Curricula of the 60's on Student Performance. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(2), 127-144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660270205>

Smith, M. K., Wood, W. B., Adams, W. K., Wieman, C., Knight, J. K., Guild, N., et al. (2009). Why peer discussion improves student performance on in-class concept questions. *Science*, 323, 122-124. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165919>

Spector, P. E. (1992). *Summated Rating Scale Construction: An Introduction*. Iowa City, IA: Sage Publications Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412986038>

Sundberg, M. D. (1992). Education: Reassessing the Commission on Undergraduate Education in the Biological Sciences. *Bioscience*, 42(6), 442-447.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1311863>

Sundberg, M. D., & Armstrong, J. E. (1992). The status of laboratory instruction for introductory biology in U.S. Universities. *American Biology Teacher*, 55, 144-146.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/4449610>

Sundberg, M. D., Armstrong, J. E., & Wischusen, E. W. (2005). Reappraisal of the Status of Introductory Biology Laboratory Education in U.S. Colleges & Universities. *The American Biology Teacher*, 67(9), 525-529. [https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685\(2005\)067\[0525:AROTSO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1662/0002-7685(2005)067[0525:AROTSO]2.0.CO;2)
<https://doi.org/10.2307/4451904>

Sundberg, M. D., & et al. (1992). Education: Reassessing the Commission on Undergraduate Education in the Biological Sciences. *Bioscience*, 42(6), 442-447.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1311863>

Sundberg, M. D., & Moncada, G. J. (1994). Creating Effective Investigative Laboratories for Undergraduates. *Bioscience*, 44(10), 698-704. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1312513>

Tamir, P., & Amir, R. (1987). Inter-Relationship among Laboratory Process Skills in Biology. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24(2), 137-143.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660240206>

Tien, L. T., Roth, V., & Kampmeier, J. A. (2002). Implementation of a peer-led team

learning instructional approach in an undergraduate organic chemistry course. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 39(7), 606-632. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.10038>

Udovic, D., Morris, D., Dickman, A., Postlethwait, J., & Wetherwax, P. (2002). Workshop Biology: Demonstrating the Effectiveness of Active Learning in an Introductory Biology Course. *Bioscience*, 52(3), 272-281. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2002\)052\[0272:WBDTEO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2002)052[0272:WBDTEO]2.0.CO;2)

Volkman, M. J., Abell, S. K., & Zgagacz, M. (2005). The challenges of teaching physics to preservice elementary teachers: Orientations of the professor, teaching assistant, and students. *Science Education*, 89(5), 847-869. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20077>

Von Secker, C. E., & Lissitz, R. W. (1999). Estimating the Impact of Instructional Practices on Student Achievement in Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36(10), 1110-1126. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2736\(199912\)36:10<1110::AID-TEA4>3.0.CO;2-T](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2736(199912)36:10<1110::AID-TEA4>3.0.CO;2-T)

Ware, J. E. J., Kosinski, M., Gandek, B., Aaronson, N. K., Apolone, G., Bech, P., et al. (1998). The factor structure of the SF-36 Health Survey in 10 countries: results from the IQOLA project. International Quality of Life Assessment. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 51(11), 1159-1165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356\(98\)00107-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0895-4356(98)00107-3)

Watters, D. J., & Watters, J. J. (2007). Approaches to Learning by Students in the Biological Sciences: Implications for Teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(1), 19-43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690600621282>

Weinstein, T. (1982). Science Curriculum Effects in High School: A Quantitative Synthesis. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 19(6), 511-522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660190610>

Weinstein, T., & et al. (1982). Science Curriculum Effects in High School: A Quantitative Synthesis. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 19(6), 511-522. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660190610>

Wheeler-Toppen, J., Wallace, C., Armstrong, N., & Jackson, D. (2005). Measuring scientific literacy in undergraduate biology students. Paper presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching National Meeting.

Yerrick, R. K. (2000). Lower Track Science Students' Argumentation and Open Inquiry Instruction. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(8), 807-838. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736\(200010\)37:8<807::AID-TEA4>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200010)37:8<807::AID-TEA4>3.0.CO;2-7)

Zohar, A., & Aharon-Kravetsky, S. (2005). Exploring the Effects of Cognitive Conflict and Direct Teaching for Students of Different Academic Levels. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(7), 829-855. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20075>