

## References

- Ae-Hwa, K., Vaughn, S., Wanzek, J., & Wei, S. (2004). Graphic organizers and their effects on reading comprehension of students with LD: A synthesis of research. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 37(2), 105-118.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194040370020201>
- Ausubel, D. P. (1960). The use of advanced organizers in the learning and retention of meaningful verbal material. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 51(5), 267-272. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0046669>
- Ausubel, D. P. (1963). *The psychology of meaningful verbal learning*. New York: Grune & Stratton.
- Basham, J.D., Israel, M., Graden, J., Poth, R. & Winston, M. (2010). A comprehensive approach to RtI: Embedding universal design for learning and technology. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 33, 243-255.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871003300403>
- Boon, R. T., Fore III, C. & Spencer, V. G. (2007). Teachers attitudes and perceptions towards the use of Inspiration 6 software in inclusive world history classes at the secondary level. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 34(3), 166-171.
- Bos, C. S., & Anders, P. L. (1990). Effects of interactive vocabulary instruction on the vocabulary learning and reading comprehension of junior-high learning-disabled students. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 13, 31-42.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1510390>
- Bracken, B. A., & McCallum, R. S. (1998). *Universal nonverbal intelligence test*. Austin, TX: Riverside Publishing Company.
- Bryant, D. P., Goodwin, M., Bryant, B. R., & Higgins, K. (2003). Vocabulary instruction for students with disabilities: A review of research. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 26, 117-128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1593594>
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1963). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research on teaching*. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.
- Ciullo, S. P., & Reutebuch, C. (2013). Computer-based graphic organizers for students with LD: A systematic review of literature. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 28(4), 196-210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ldrp.12017>
- Davis, F. (1944). Fundamental factors in reading comprehension. *Psychometrika*, 9(3), 185-197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02288722>
- Darch, C., & Eaves, R. (1986). Visual displays to increase comprehension of high school learning-disabled students. *The Journal of Special Education*, 20, 309-318. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002246698602000305>
- Dexter, D.D. & Hughes, C.A. (2011). Graphic organizers and students with learning disabilities: A meta-analysis. *Learning Disabilities Quarterly*, 34(1), 51-72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871103400104>
- Elder-Hinshaw, R., Manset-Williamson, G., Nelson, J.M., & Dunn, M.W. (2006). Engaging older students with reading disabilities: Multimedia inquiry projects supported by reading assistive technology. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, 39(1), 6-11.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/004005990603900101>

- Ellis, E.S. (2015). *Differentiated visual tools for vocabulary: Real-world connections*. Northport, AL: MakesSenseStrategies.com
- Ellis, E. S., Deschler, D.D., Lenz, B.K., Schumaker, J.B., & Clark, F.L. (1991). An instructional model for teaching learning strategies. *Focus on Exceptional Children*, 23(6), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.17161/foec.v23i6.7530>
- Ellis, E. S., Rock, M. L., (2001). *Makes sense strategies; Connecting, teaching, learning, and assessment*. Tuscaloosa, AL: Masterminds.
- Ellis, E.S., Willis, S., & Deshler, D.D. (2011). Toward validation of the genius discipline-specific literacy model. *Journal of Education*, 191(1), 13-32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002205741119100103>
- ESSA (2015). Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015, Pub. L. No 114-95 § 114 Stat. 1177 (2015).
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2014). Close reading as an intervention for struggling middle school readers. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 57(5), 367–376. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.266>
- Gajria, M., Jitendra, A.K., Sood, S., & Sacks, G. (2007). Improving comprehension of expository texts in students with LD: A research synthesis. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 40, 210-226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222194070400030301>
- Hazmi, A., Ahmad, A. (2018). Universal design for learning to support access to the general education curriculum for students with intellectual disabilities. *World Journal of Education*, 8(2), 66-72. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wje.v8n2p66>
- Horner, R.D. & Baer, D.M. (1978). Multiple-probe technique: A variation of the multiple baseline. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 11(1), 189-196. <https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.1978.11-189>
- Horner, R.H., Carr, E.G., Halle, J., Odom, S., & Wolery, M. (2005). The use of single-subject research to identify evidence-based practice in special education. *Exceptional Children*, 71(2), 165-170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290507100203>
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 (2004).
- Johnson, K., Dudgeon, B., & Kuehn, C. (2007). Assistive technology use among adolescents and young adults with spina bifida. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97, 330-336. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.050955>
- Johnston, S. S., & St Evans, J. (2005). Considering response efficiency as a strategy to prevent assistive technology abandonment. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 20(3), 45-50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016264340502000305>
- Kaufman, A.S. & Kaufman, N.L. (2004). *Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement: KTEA-II*. Toronto, Canada: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Kennedy, M., Thomas, C., Meyer, J.P., Alves, K., & Lloyd, J. (2014). Using evidence-based multimedia to improve vocabulary performance of adolescents with LD: A UDL approach. *Learning Disabilities Quarterly*, 37, 71-86.

- Kim, M. K., McKenna, J.W., & Park, Y. (2017). The use of computer-assisted instruction to improve the reading comprehension of students with learning disabilities: An evaluation of evidence base according to the what works clearinghouse standards. *Remedial and Special Education*, 38(4), 233-245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741932517693396>
- Kuder, S. J. (2017). Vocabulary instruction for secondary students with reading disabilities: An updated research review. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 40(3), 155-164. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731948717690113>
- Lang, L., Torgensen, J., Vogel, W., Chanter, C., Lefsky, E. & Petscher, Y. (2009). Exploring the relative effectiveness of reading interventions for high school students. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 2, 149-175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19345740802641535>
- Lawrence-Brown, D. (2004). Differentiated instruction: Inclusive strategies for standards-based learning that benefit the whole class. *American Secondary Education*, 32, 34-62.
- Leko, M.M., Alzahrani, T., Handy, T. (2019). Literacy instruction for adolescents with learning disabilities: Examining teacher practice and preparation. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 17(1), 117-138.
- Lombardi, A., Izzo, M.V., Gelbar, N., Murray, A., Buck, A., Johnson, V., Hsiao, J., Wei, Y., & Kowitt, J. (2017). Leveraging informational technology literacy to enhance college and career readiness for secondary students with disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 46, 389-397. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JVR-170875>
- McMackin, M. C. & Witherell, N.L. (2005). Different routes to the same destination: Drawing conclusions with tiered graphic organizers. *The Reading Journal*, 59(3), 242-252. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RT.59.3.4>
- Malcolm, M.P. & Roll, M.C. (2017). The impact of assistive technology services in post-secondary education for students with disabilities: Intervention outcomes, use-profiles, and user-experiences. *Assistive Technology*, 29(2), 91-98. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435.2016.1214932>
- Meyer, A., & Rose, D.H. (1998) *Learning to read in the computer age*. Cambridge, MA: Brookline Books.
- Meyer, A. & Rose, D.H. (2011). *Universal Design for Learning Guidelines version 2.0*. Wakefield, MA: National Center on Universal Design for Learning
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2013). *The Nation's Report Card*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education. Institute of Education Sciences. Retrieved from [https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading\\_2013/vocabulary/#student-groups](https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading_2013/vocabulary/#student-groups)
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2017). *The Condition of Education, 2017*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education. Institute of Education Sciences; NCES-144.
- National Commission on Excellence in Education. (1983). A nation at risk: The imperative for educational reform. *The Elementary School Journal*, 84(2), 113-130. <https://doi.org/10.1086/461348>

- No Child Left Behind Act of 2002, PL 107-110, 20 U.S.C § 6319 (2002).
- Puckett, K., Judge, S., & Brozo, W. (2009). Integrating content area literacy and assistive technology: A teacher development institute. *Southeastern Teacher Education Journal*, 2(2), 27-38.
- Rasinski, T. Padak, N., & Newton, J. (2017) Literacy in every classroom: The roots of comprehension. *Educational Leadership*, 74 (5), 41-45.
- Rivera, D. P., & Smith, D. (1997). *Teaching students with learning and behavior problems (3rd ed)*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Rock, M.L., Gregg, M., Ellis, E., Gable, R.A. (2008). REACH: a framework for differentiating instruction. *Preventing School Failure*, 52(2), 31-47.  
<https://doi.org/10.3200/PSFL.52.2.31-47>
- Schloss, P. J., Smith, M. A., & Schloss, C. N. (2007). *Instructional methods for secondary students with learning and behavior problems* (4th ed). Boston: Pearson Allyn & Bacon.
- Singleton, S. M., & Filce H.G., (2015). Graphic organizers for secondary students with learning disabilities. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, 48(2), 110-117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0040059915605799>
- Smith S. J. & Okolo, C. (2010). Response to intervention and evidence-based practices: Where does technology fit in? *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 33, 257- 272. <https://doi.org/10.1177/073194871003300404>
- Stanford, B. & Reeves, S. (2009). Making it happen: Using differentiated instruction, retrofit framework, and universal design for learning. *TEACHING Exceptional Children Plus*, 5(1), 2-9.
- Swanson, E., Wanzck, J., Haring, C., Ciullo, S., & McCulley, L. (2013). Intervention fidelity in special and general education research journals. *The Journal of Special Education*, 47, 14-27.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466911419516>
- Taylor, Tomlinson, C. (1999). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Tomlinson, C. (2001). Differentiated instruction in the regular classroom: What does it mean? how does it look? *Understanding Our Gifted*, 14(1), 3-6.
- Vaughn, S., Roberts, G., Schnakenberg, J.B., Fall, A., Vaughn, M.G., & Wexler, J. (2015). Improving reading comprehension for high school students with disabilities: Effects for comprehension and school retention. *Exceptional Children*, 82(1), 117-131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0014402915585478>
- Watson, S. M., Gable, R.A., Gear, S.B., Hughes, K.C. (2012). Evidenced-based strategies for improving the reading comprehension of secondary students: Implications for students with learning disabilities. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 27(2), 79-89.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5826.2012.00353.x>
- Woodcock, R.N. (2011). *Woodcock Reading Mastery Test: WRMT-III*. Toronto, Canada: Pearson Canada Assessment, Inc.