

References

- Banks, D (2006). Reflections on the use of ARS with small groups, *Audience Response Systems in Higher Education: Applications and Cases*, Information Science Publishing, South Australia, Pennsylvania & London, 373-386. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59140-947-2.ch025>
- Banks, D (2003). Using keypad-based group process support systems to facilitate student reflection. In G. Crisp, D. Thiele, I. Scholten, S. Barker and J. Baron (eds) *Interact, Integrate, Impact: Proceedings of the 20th Annual Conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education*, Adelaide, 7-10 December.
- Bostock, S (2000). Student peer assessment, The Higher Education Academy PDF, Retrieved Nov 8th 2008 from: <http://www.palatine.ac.uk/files/994.pdf>
- Boud, D (1990). Assessment and the promotion of academic values, *Studies in Higher Education*, 17 (2), 101-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03075079012331377621>
- Boud, D and Holmes H (1995). Self and peer marking in a large technical subject. In *Enhancing Learning through Self Assessment*, edited by D. Boud. London: Kogan Page.
- Brill JM and Park Y (2008). Facilitating engaged learning in the interaction age: taking a pedagogically-disciplined approach to innovation with emergent technologies, *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 20 (1), 70-78.
- Campbell JE (2007). *Increased Learning In College Lecture Classes: Is It Just A Click Away?* PhD thesis, Department of Psychology, University of California.
- Campbell KS, Mothersbaugh D, Brammer C and Taylor T (2001). Peer versus self assessment of oral business presentation performance, *Business Communication Quarterly*, 64 (3), 23-42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/108056990106400303>
- Falcovich N and Goldfinch J (2000). Student peer assessment in Higher Education: a meta-analysis comparing peer and teacher marks, *Review of Educational Research*, 70, 287-322. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00346543070003287>
- Falcovich N and Magin (1997). Detecting gender bias in peer marking of students' group process work, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, v22 n4: 385-396. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0260293970220403>
- Greenan K, Humphreys P and McIlveen H (1997). Developing transferable personal skills: part of the graduate toolkit, *Education and Training*, 39 (2/3), 71-78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00400919710164161>
- Gauthier, G (2004). *Running Head: Web based Self and Peer Assessment*, PhD thesis, Department of Educational and Counselling Psychology, McGill University.
- Guthrie RW and Calin A (2004). Waking the dead: using interactive technology to engage passive listeners in the classroom Proceedings of the Tenth Americas Conference on Information Systems, New York.
- Jacobs, RM, Briggs DH and Whitney DR (1975). Continuous-progress education: student self evaluation and peer evaluation, *Journal of Dental Education* v39 n8: 535-541.

Jaschik, S (2008). Clicker U, *Inside Higher Education*, Retrieved Nov 3rd 2008 from: <http://www.insidehighered.com/news/2008/11/03/clicker>.

Jenkins, A (2007). Technique and Technology: Electronic voting systems in an English Literature Lecture, *Pedagogy*, 7, 526-533. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/15314200-2007-012>

Langan M, Wheeler CP, Shaw EM, Haines BJ, Cullen WR, Boyle JC, Penney D, Oldekop JA, Ashcroft C, Lockey L and Preziosi RF (2005). Peer assessment of oral presentations: effects of student gender, university affiliations and participation in the development of assessment criteria, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education* 30 (1), 21-34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0260293042003243878>

Lu and Bol (2003). A comparison of anonymous versus identifiable e-peer review on college student writing performance and the extent of critical feedback, *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 6 (2), 100-115.

MacAlpine, JMK (1999). Improving and encouraging peer assessment of student presentations, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, v24 n1: 15-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0260293990240102>

Martyn M (2007). Clickers in the classroom: an active learning approach, *Educause Quarterly*, 30 (2), 71-74.

Murphy, B (2006). Convergence of learning experiences for first year tertiary commerce students: are personal response systems the meeting point?, *The Journal of American Academy of Business*, 10 (1), 186-191.

Nelson ML and Hauck RV (2008). Clicking to learn: a case study of embedding radio frequency based clickers in an introductory management information systems course, *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 19 (1), 55-64.

Nilson LB (2003). Improving student peer feedback, *College Teaching*, v51 n1: 34-38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/87567550309596408>

Ribbens E (2007). Why I like clicker personal response systems, *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 37(2), 60-62.

Socol, ID (2008). The instant anachronism, Retrieved on 14th June 2008 from: 2008speedchange.blogspot.com/2008_02_01_archive.html.

Stefani, LAJ (1994). Peer, self and tutor assessment: relative reliabilities, *Studies in Higher Education*, 19, 69-75. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03075079412331382153>

Stowell JR and Nelson JM (2007). Benefits of electronic audience response systems on student participation, learning and emotion, *Teaching of Psychology*, 34 (4), 253-67.
Topping KJ (1998) Peer assessment between students in colleges and universities, *Review of Educational Research*, 68 (3), 249-276. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00986280701700391>

Topping, KJ, Smith EF, Swanson I, and Elliot A (2000). Formative peer assessment of academic writing between postgraduate students, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 25 (2), 149-169. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/713611428>

Wadhwa, G (2003). Effects of Anonymity and Peer Accountability During Peer Assessment in a Graduate Web-Based Education Research Methods Course, Masters thesis, Faculty of Education, Memorial University of Newfoundland.

Wang N, Johnson WL, Mayer RE, Rizzo P, Shaw E, and Collins H (2008). The politeness effect: pedagogical agents and learning outcomes, *International Journal of Human*

Computer Studies, 66, 98-112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2007.09.003>

Yourstone SA, Kraye HS and Albaum G (2008). Classroom questioning with immediate electronic response: do clickers improve learning? *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 6(1), 75-88.

Zhao, Y. (1998). The effects of anonymity on computer-mediated peer review. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunication*, 4(4), 311-345.