

References

- Anderson, J. R. (2005). *Cognitive psychology and its implications* (6th ed.). New York: Worth.
- Askell-Williams, H., & Lawson, M. J. (2005a). Representing the dynamic complexity of students' mental models of learning in order to provide 'entry points' for teaching. *New Horizons in Education*, *113*, 16-40.
- Askell-Williams, H., & Lawson, M. J. (2005b). Students' knowledge about the value of discussions for teaching and learning. *Social Psychology of Education*, *8*, 83-115. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-004-5489-2>
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *52*, 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1>
- Biggs, J. B. (2003). Aligning teaching for constructing learning., *The Higher Education Academy*, York: UK.
- Biggs, J. B., Kember, D., & Leung, D. Y. P. (2001). The revised two-factor Study Process Questionnaire: R-SPQ-2F. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *71*, 139-149. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709901158433>
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school (Expanded edition)*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (1999). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Brown, A. L., & Campione, J. C. (1996). Guided discovery in a community of learners. In K. McGilly (Ed.), *Classroom lessons: Integrating cognitive theory and classroom practice* (pp. 229-270). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bruning, R. H., Schraw, G. J., Norby, M. M., & Ronning, R. R. (2004). *Cognitive Psychology and Instruction*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Chi, M. T. H., & Roscoe, R. D. (2002). The processes and challenges of conceptual change. In M. Limón & L. Mason (Eds.), *Reconsidering conceptual change: Issues in theory and practice* (pp. 3-27). Dordrecht: Kluwer. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47637-1_1
- Chi, M. T. H., Slotta, J. D., & Leeuw, N. (1994). From things to processes: A theory of conceptual change for learning science concepts. *Learning and Instruction*, *4*, 27-43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(94\)90017-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(94)90017-5)
- Cooper, P., & McIntyre, D. (1995). The crafts of the classroom: Teachers' and students' accounts of the knowledge underpinning effective teaching and learning in classrooms. *Research Papers in Education*, *10*, 181-216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267152950100206>
- Cooper, P., & McIntyre, D. (1996). *Effective teaching and learning: Teachers' and students' perspectives*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Elen, J., & Lowyck, J. (1998). Students' views on efficiency of instruction: An exploratory survey of the instructional metacognitive knowledge of university freshmen. *Higher Education*, *36*, 231-252. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003227502618>
- Elen, J., & Lowyck, J. (1999). Metacognitive instructional knowledge: Cognitive mediation and instructional design. *Journal of Structural Learning and Intelligent*

Systems, 13, 145-169.

Elen, J., & Lowyck, J. (2000). Instructional metacognitive knowledge: A qualitative study on conceptions of freshmen about instruction. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 32, 421-444. <https://doi.org/10.1080/002202700182637>

Entwistle, N. J., McCune, V., & Hounsell, J. (2002). *Approaches to Studying and Perceptions of University Teaching-Learning Environments: Concepts, Measures and Preliminary Findings*: ETL Project, Universities of Edinburgh, Coventry and Durham.

Entwistle, N. J., McCune, V., & Walker, P. (2001). Conceptions, styles, and approaches within higher education: Analytic, abstractions and everyday experience. In R. J. Sternberg & L. Zhang (Eds.), *Perspectives on thinking, learning, and cognitive styles* (pp. 103-136). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Everitt, B. S. (1974). *Cluster Analysis*. Social Science Research Council, London: Heinemann.

Everitt, B. S., & Dunn, G. (1983). *Advanced methods of data exploration and modelling*. London: Heinemann.

Gauld, C. (1986). Models, meters and memory. *Research in Science Education*, 16, 49-54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02356817>

Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1995). *Multivariate data analysis* (4th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Hattie, J., Biggs, J. B., & Purdie, N. (1996). Effects of student learning skills interventions on student learning: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 99-136. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543066002099>

Johnson-Laird, P. N., & Byrne, R. (2003, 29th Sept, 2003). *Mental Models Website*. Retrieved October 12th, 2005, from http://www.tcd.ie/Psychology/Ruth_Byrne/mental_models/.

Jones, M. G., & Vesilind, E. M. (1996). Putting practice into theory: Changes in organisation of preservice teachers' pedagogical knowledge. *American Educational Research Journal*, 33, 91-117. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312033001091>

Karmiloff-Smith, A. (1992). *Beyond modularity*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kerr, D. H. (1981). The structure of quality in teaching. In J. F. Soltis (Ed.), *Philosophy and education* (Vol. 1, pp. 61-93). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Kiewra, K. A. (2002). How classroom teachers can help students learn and teach them how to learn. *Theory into Practice*, 41, 71-80. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_3

Klein, P. D. (1996). Preservice teacher's beliefs about learning and knowledge. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 42, 361-377.

Konings, K. D., Brand-Gruwel, S., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2005). Towards more powerful learning environments through combining the perspectives of designers, teachers, and students. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 645-659. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709905X43616>

Lawson, M. J., & Hogben, D. (1996). The vocabulary learning strategies of foreign language students. *Language Learning*, 46, 101 - 135. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1996.tb00642.x>

- Lawson, M. J., & Hogben, D. (1998). Learning and recall of foreign language vocabulary: Effects of a keyword strategy for immediate and delayed recall. *Learning and Instruction, 8*, 179-194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752\(97\)00016-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4752(97)00016-9)
- Luyten, L., Lowyck, J., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2001). Task perception as a mediating variable: A contribution to the validation of instructional knowledge. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology, 71*, 203-233. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709901158488>
- Marton, F., Dall'Alba, G., & Beaty, E. (1993). Conceptions of learning. *International Journal of Educational Research, 19*, 277-299.
- McNaught, C., Whithear, K., & Browning, G. (1999). Systems not projects: focusing on evaluating overall student experience, rather than isolated innovations. *Higher Education Research & Development, 18*, 247-259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0729436990180207>
- Morgan, C., & Morris, G. (1999). *Good teaching and learning: Pupils and teachers speak*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1975). Introduction to statistics for psychology and education. NY: McGraw Hill.
- Nuthall, G. (1997). Understanding student thinking and learning in the classroom. In B. J. Biddle, T. L. Good & I. Goodson (Eds.), *International handbook of teachers and teaching* (Vol. 2, pp. 681-768). Dordrecht: Kluwer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4942-6_19
- Pressley, M., Ghatala, E. S., Woloshyn, V., & Pirie, J. (1990). Sometimes adults miss the main ideas and do not realise it: Confidence in responses to short-answer and multiple-choice comprehension questions. *Reading Research Quarterly, 25*, 234-249. <https://doi.org/10.2307/748004>
- Pressley, M., Van Etten, S., Yokoi, L., Freebern, G., & Van Meter, P. (1998). The metacognition of college studentship: a grounded theory approach. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Metacognition in educational theory and practice* (pp. 347-366). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Rudduck, J., Day, J., & Wallace, G. (1997). Students' perspectives on school improvement. In A. Hargreaves (Ed.), *Rethinking educational change with heart and mind* (pp. 73-91). Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Rudduck, J., & Flutter, J. (2000). Pupil participation and pupil perspective: 'Carving a new order of experience'. *Cambridge Journal of Education, 30*, 75-85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057640050005780>
- Schmidt, H. G., & Moust, J. H. C. (2000). Factors affecting small-group tutorial learning: A review of research. In D. H. Evensen & C. E. Hmelo (Eds.), *Problem based learning: A research perspective on learning interactions* (pp. 19-51). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher, 15*(2), 4-14. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X015002004>
- Shulman, L. S. (2000). Teacher development: Roles of domain expertise and pedagogical knowledge. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 21*, 129-135. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973\(99\)00057-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973(99)00057-X)
- Sokal, R. R., & Sneath, P. H. (1963). Principles of Numerical Taxonomy. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.

Sternberg, R. J. (2000). *Making school reform work: A "mineralogical" theory of school modifiability*. Bloomington, Ind: Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.

Tasker, R., & Freyberg, P. (1985). Facing the mismatches in the classroom. In R. Osborne & P. Freyberg (Eds.), *Learning in science* (pp. 66-80). Auckland: Heinemann.

Tobin, K., Tippins, D. J., & Gallard, A. J. (1994). Research on instructional strategies for teaching science. In D. L. Gabel (Ed.), *Handbook of research on science teaching and learning* (pp. 45-93). New York: Macmillan.

Tulving, E. (1972). Episodic and semantic memory. In E. Tulving & W. Donaldson (Eds.), *Organisation of memory* (pp. 381-403). New York: Academic Press.

van der Kloot, W. A., & van Herk, H. (1991). Multidimensional scaling of sorting data: A comparison of three procedures. *Multivariate Behavioural Research*, 26, 563-581. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr2604_1

Wallace, J., & Wildly, H. (2004). Old Questions for New Schools: What Are the Students Doing? *Teachers College Record*, 106, 635-650.

White, R. T., & Gunstone, R. F. (1992). *Probing understanding*. London: Falmer Press.

Winne, P. H. (1987). Why process-product research cannot explain process-product findings and a proposed remedy: The cognitive mediational paradigm. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 3, 333-356. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X\(87\)90025-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X(87)90025-4)

Winne, P. H., & Hadwin, A. F. (1998). Studying as self-regulated learning. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Metacognition in educational theory and practice* (pp. 277-304). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1977). Reconceptualising research on teaching. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 69, 668-678. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.69.6.668>

Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1980). Matching students' cognitive responses to teaching skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 78, 257-264. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.69.6.668>

Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1982). Students' and teachers' views of thinking processes for classroom learning. *The Elementary School Journal*, 82, 493-518.

Woolfolk-Hoy, A., & Tschannen-Moran, M. (1999). Implications of cognitive approaches to peer learning for teacher education. In A. King & A. M. O'Donnell (Eds.), *Cognitive perspectives on peer learning* (pp. 257-283). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner. *Theory Into Practice*, 41, 65-70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2