

References

- Beckmann, S. (2017). *Mathematics for Elementary Teachers with Activities*. 5th Edition. New York: Pearson.
- Blin, F., & Munro, M. (2008). Why hasn't technology disrupted academics' teaching practices? Understanding resistance to change through the lens of activity theory. *Computers and Education*, 50(2), 475–490.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2007.09.017>
- Burgess, S., Bingley, S., & Banks, D. (2016). Blending Audience Response Systems into an Information Systems Professional Course. *Issues in Informing Science and Information Technology*, 13, 245-267. Retrieved from <http://www.informingscience.org/Publications/3488>
- Carnegie Learning (2011). *Middle School Math A Common Core Georgia GPS Course*. Pittsburgh, PA: Carnegie Learning. <https://doi.org/10.28945/3488>
- Cubric, M. & Jefferies, A. (2015). The benefits and challenges of large-scale deployment of electronic voting systems: University student views from across different subject groups. *Computers & Education*. 119(87), 98-111.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.04.004>
- Han, J. H. (2014). Closing the missing links and opening the relationships among the factors: a literature review on the use of clicker technology using the 3P model. *Educational Technology & Society*, 17(4), 150-168.
- Kay, R. H., & LeSage, A. (2009). Examining the benefits and challenges of using audience response systems: A review of the literature. *Computers & Education*, 53(3), 819e827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.05.001>
- Selwyn, N. (2007). The use of computer technology in university teaching and learning: A critical perspective. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 23(2), 83–94.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00204.x>
- Watty, Kim, McKay, Jade, & Ngo, Leanne. (2016). Innovators or inhibitors? Accounting faculty resistance to new educational technologies in higher education. *Journal of Accounting Education*, (36), 1-15.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2016.03.003>