

References:

- Angelo, T., & Cross, K. (1993) *Classroom assessment techniques*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. pp. 148-53.
- Benson, T., Cohen, A., & Buskist, W. (2005) Rapport: Its relation to student attitudes and behaviors toward teachers and classes. *Teaching of Psychology, 32*, 237-239.
- Boatright-Horowitz, S. (2009) Useful pedagogies or financial hardships? Interactive response technology (clickers) in the large college classroom. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 21*, 295-298.
- Bunce, D., Flens, E., & Neiles, K. (2010). How long can students pay attention in class? A study of student attention decline using clickers. *Journal of Chemical Education, 87*, 1438-1443. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed100409p>
- Clark, D. & Redmond, M. (1982). Small group instructional diagnosis: Final report. ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 217954.
- Deleo, P., Eichenholtz, S. & Sosin, A. (2009). Bridging the information literacy gap with clickers. *Journal of Academic Librarianship, 35*, 438-444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2009.06.004>
- Delucci, M., (2000) Don't worry, be happy: Instructor likeability, student perceptions of learning, and teacher ratings in upper-level sociology courses. *Teaching Sociology, 28*, 220-231. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1318991>
- Gauci, S., Dantas, A., Williams, D., & Kemm, R. (2009). Promoting student-centered active learning in lectures with a personal response system. *Advances in Physiological Education, 33*, 60-71. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00109.2007>
- Kenwright, Kathy. (2009). Clickers in the classroom. *TechTrends, 53*, 74-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-009-0240-7>
- King, D. (2011). Using clickers to identify the muddiest points in large chemistry classes. *Journal of Chemical Education, 88*, 1485-1488. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed1004799>
- Lewis, K. (2001a) Using midsemester student feedback and responding to it. *New Directions in Teaching and Learning, 87*, 33-44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.26>
- Lewis, K. (2001b) Making sense of student written comments. *New Directions in Teaching and Learning, 87*, 25-32.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.25>

- MacArthur, J., & Jones, L. (2008). A review of literature reports of clickers applicable to college chemistry classrooms. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 187-195. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B812407H>
- Martin, G. & Double, J. (1998). Developing higher education teaching skills through peer observation and collaborative reflection. *Innovations in Education and Training International*, 35(2), 161-170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1355800980350210>
- Miles, P. (1989) A communication-based strategy to improve teaching: The continuous feedback technique. Presented at the 75th Annual Meeting of the Speech Communication Association, San Francisco CA, Nov 18-21.
- Pickering, A. (2006). Learning about university teaching: Reflections on a research study investigating influences for change. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11, 319-335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562510600680756>
- Prather, E., & Brissenden, G. (2009). Clickers as data gathering tools and students' attitudes, motivations, and beliefs on their use in this application. *Astronomy Education Review*, 8, 010103_1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3847/AER2009004>
- Sadler, I. (2012). The influence of interactions with students for the development of new academics as teachers in higher education. *Higher Education* 64, 147-160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-012-9545-0>
- Terrion, J., & Aceti, V. (2012) Perceptions of the effects of clicker technology on student learning and engagement: A study of freshmen chemistry students. *Research in Learning Technology*, 20, 1-11.