

## References

- "AAAS Science Assessment Beta." AAAS Science Assessment ~ Topics. N.p., n.d. Web. 07 Mar. 2017. <<http://assessment.aaas.org/topics/>>.
- Astin, A. W. (2012). *Assessment for excellence: The philosophy and practice of assessment and evaluation in higher education*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Banta, T. W., Jones, E. A., & Black, K. E. (2009). *Designing Effective Assessment: Principles and Profiles of Good Practice*. San Francisco, CA: JosseyBass.
- Becker, W. E., & Johnston, C. (1999). The relationship between multiple choice and essay response questions in assessing economics understanding. *Economic Record*, 75, 348–357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4932.1999.tb02571.x>
- Beede D., Julian T., Langdon D., McKittrick G., Khan B., & Doms M. (2011). Women in STEM: A Gender Gap to Innovation, Economics and Statistics Administration Issue Brief 04–11, Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce.
- Birenbaum M. & Tatsuoaka, K. K. (1987). Open-Ended Versus Multiple-Choice Response Formats—It Does Make a Difference for Diagnostic Purposes. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 11(4), 385 - 395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662168701100404>
- Boyer, E. (1990). *Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate*. Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- "Conceptual Assessments in Biology | SDSU." Conceptual Assessments in Biology | SDSU. N.p., n.d. Web. 07 Mar. 2017. <<http://go.sdsu.edu/dus/ctl/cabs.aspx>>.
- Emil, S., & Cress, C. (2014). Faculty perspectives on programme curricular assessment: individual and institutional characteristics that influence participation engagement. *Assessment & Evaluation In Higher Education*, 39(5), 531-552. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2013.855998>
- Gardner, D. P., et. al. (1983). *A Nation At Risk: The Imperative For Educational Reform*. Report to the Secretary of Education. Available at <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/NatAtRisk/letter.html>
- Hambleton, R. K., Merenda P. F., & Spielberger., C. D. (2004). *Adapting educational and psychological tests for cross-cultural assessment*. Psychology Press.
- Hawthorne, E. M. (1989). *Evaluating employee training programs: A*

Books. <https://ssl.gstatic.com/s2/profiles/images/silhouette96.png>

Hawthorne, K. A., Bol, L., Pribesh, S., & Suh, Y. (2015). Effects of Motivational Prompts on Motivation, Effort, and Performance on a Low-Stakes Standardized Test. *Research and Practice in Assessment*, 10, 30-38.

Heyborne, W.H., Clarke, J. A., & Perrett, J. J. (2011). A Comparison of Two Forms of Assessment in an Introductory Biology Laboratory Course. *Journal Of College Science Teaching*, 40(5), 28-31.

Hill, C., Corbett, C., & St Rose, A. (2010). *Why so few? Women in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics*. American Association of University Women. 1111 Sixteenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Hogan, T.P. (1981). *Relationship between free response and choice-type tests of achievement*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Assessment of Educational Progress.

Imrie, B. W., et al. (2014). *Student assessment in higher education: a handbook for assessing performance*. Routledge.

Kim, Y. K., Hutchison, L. A., & Winsler, A. (2015). Bilingual education in the United States: an historical overview and examination of two-way immersion. *Educational Review*, 67(2), 236-252.

Miller, J.D., Scott, E.C. & Okamoto, S. (2006). Public acceptance of evolution. *Science*, 313, 765–766.

Nichols, P., & Sugrue, B. (1999). The lack of fidelity between cognitively complex constructs and conventional test development practice. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 18, 18–29  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.1999.tb00011.x>

Resnick, L. B., & Zurawsky, C. (2007). Science education that makes sense. *American Educational Research Association Research Points*, 5, 1.

Ruben, B. D. (2016). *Excellence in Higher Education Guide : A Framework for the Design, Assessment, and Continuing Improvement of Institutions, Departments, and Programs*. Sterling, Virginia: Stylus Publishing.

Runck, C. (2015). *Biology 2014 Annual Program Review*. Georgia Gwinnett College, Lawrenceville, GA.

Sims, S. J. (1992). *Student outcomes assessment: A historical review and guide to program development*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group.

Steele, C. M., Spencer, S. J., & Aronson, J. (2002). Contending with group image:

- The psychology of stereotype and social identity threat. In M. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 34pp.379 – 440). New York, NY: Academic Press.[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(02\)80009-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(02)80009-0)
- Stevens, D. D., & Levi, A. J. (2013). *Introduction to rubrics: An assessment tool to save grading time, convey effective feedback, and promote student learning*. Stylus Publishing, LLC.
- Stohlman, T. (2015). The Road to Redemption:Reclaiming the Value in Assessment Retention Exams. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 15(5), 64-71.
- Tucker, D. E. (2006). Direct measures: Examinations. In W.G. Christ, *Assessing media education: A resource handbook for educators and administrators* (pp. 373-396). Mahwah, NJ: LEA.
- Varma, S. (2008). *Preliminary item statistics using point-biserial correlation and p-values*. Available at:[https://jcesom.marshall.edu/media/24104/ Item-Stats-Point-Biserial.pdf](https://jcesom.marshall.edu/media/24104/Item-Stats-Point-Biserial.pdf) (Accessed 14 January 2017).
- Yorke, M., & Zaitseva, E. (2013). Do cross-sectional student assessment data make a reasonable proxy for longitudinal data? *Assessment & Evaluation In Higher Education*, 38(8),957-967.