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RH GLYCOPROTEIN AS AN AMMONIA TRANSPORT MOLECULE

by

MATT PHILLIPS

(Under the Direction of James Claiborne)

ABSTRACT

Fish use their gills to excrete ammonia in order to eliminate nitrogenous waste. We hypothesize that this mechanism is accomplished by one or more transport proteins in the Rh glycoprotein (RhxG) family. Longhorn sculpin (*Myxocephalus octodecemspinosus*) cDNA was amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and then the PCR products were visualized on an agarose gel. The cDNA from the gel bands was then sequenced and the gene sequence fragments were assembled and completed by rapid amplification of the cDNA ends (RACE). By this process we have obtained large portions of the gene sequences of the four known paralogues located in the sculpin gill (RhA, RhB, RhC1, and RhC2). Also, *in vivo* ammonia-loading experiments were done to determine the effect of increased internal ammonia on protein and mRNA expression. Treatment groups were exposed to a single ammonium bicarbonate, distilled water, or ammonium chloride (5 mM kg⁻¹) infusion; then gill tissue was collected 4 hr post-infusion and analyzed using quantitative PCR to test changes in mRNA levels and dot blots for changes in RhxG protein levels. Preliminary QPCR data showed a trend of increase in response to ammonia loading. A second infusion test, with a chronic (8 hr) double load of ammonium bicarbonate, was completed.
with QPCR and dot blot analysis done on the gill tissue. Ambient water samples were also collected to determine *in vivo* ammonia efflux. In conclusion, from this data we have found protein expression changes in response to increased internal ammonia.

INDEX WORDS: Ammonia excretion, Rh Glycoprotein
RH GLYCOPROTEIN AS AN AMMONIA TRANSPORT MOLECULE

by

MATT PHILLIPS

Bachelor of Science, The University of the South, 2007

A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Georgia Southern University in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

MASTER OF SCIENCE

STATESBORO, GEORGIA

2010
RH GLYCOPROTEINS AS AMMONIA TRANSPORT MOLECULES

by

MATT PHILLIPS

Major Professor: James Claiborne
Committee: Jonathan Copeland
Oscar Pung

Electronic Version Approved:

July 2010
DEDICATION
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Introduction

Nitrogenous waste products are a result of the breakdown of the amino acids in the proteins we digest for nutrition, and are universal to all higher vertebrates. Animals excrete this waste in the form of three main nitrogen products: ammonia, urea, and uric acid. These three products have a direct relationship between water conservation and energy requirements, meaning the more energy spent the more water conserved. Ammonia, the least water conserving form and therefore the least energy requiring, is highly toxic. Due to its toxicity it must either be excreted or converted into the less toxic variants, such as urea or uric acid. Uric acid, which is mainly excreted by birds, reptiles, and many terrestrial invertebrates, requires the least amount of water loss along with the highest energy cost and does not appear to be excreted in significant quantities by fishes (Wood, 1993; Wright, 1995). Humans and other mammals convert their nitrogenous wastes primarily to urea (with minimal amounts of uric acid and ammonia) to deter water loss and use their kidneys (urinary/renal system) to deal with the excretion. Urea, like uric acid, is also much less toxic than ammonia, although it is more expensive to produce requiring at least two additional molecules of ATP (Mommsen and Walsh, 1991). To understand ammonia excretion in more detail, a species that is a known ammonotelic with a higher tolerance to elevated ammonia levels needs to be examined. Teleostean fish follow these stipulations quite well and are known to use their gills as the
dominant site of gas exchange, osmoregulation, acid-base regulation, as well as for the excretion of nitrogenous wastes making the fish gill an excellent model to further understand ammonia excretion. Most teleostean fish are known to be ammonotelic, though some situations do dictate urea synthesis over ammonia such as high pH environments, stress, confinement, or air exposure (Evans et al., 2005). Also, ammonia is thought to make up >80% of nitrogenous waste excretion among fish, outside of ureotelic elasmobranches and unique teleosts such as the gulf toadfish and the Lake Magadi tilapia (Wilkie, 1997; Wood, 1993; Wright, 1995). Therefore, it is important to look at the fish gill to understand how the excretion of ammonia is handled.

The process of ammonia excretion has been described by either simple diffusion or by the use of a transport protein in four different pathways, as shown in Figure 1. The bulk of evidence generated over the last 25 years points to the simple diffusion of ammonia (NH₃) down the favorable blood-to-water gradient across the membrane in freshwater teleosts (Wilkie, 2002). This is supported by the fact that a moderate decrease in external water pH, which would decrease the concentration of NH₃ in external water by protonation to ammonium, increasing the rate of total ammonia excretion, and increases in external water pH decreased the rate of total ammonia excretion. Also, ammonium injections caused an initial increase in total ammonia excretion, in excess of net acid excretion, suggesting that at least some of infused ammonium disassociated and crossed gills as NH₃. The infusion, in addition, caused a metabolic acidosis,
which presumably reflects residual H⁺ left in blood after disassociation (Evans et al., 2005; Wilkie, 2002). However, at physiological pH the majority of ammonia exists as ammonium (NH₄⁺); and due to its positive charge, it cannot penetrate the lipid phase of cell membranes (Knepper et al., 1989). Thus, it is unlikely that appreciable passive NH₄⁺ diffusion takes place under typical conditions. While NH₃ is about 10-1000 times more permeable in gill epithelia than NH₄⁺ (Wood, 1993), NH₃ lipid solubility is only moderate in comparison to other neutral molecules such as carbon dioxide (Knepper et al., 1989). Thus, it seems unlikely that simple diffusion can be responsible for the entirety of ammonia excretion.
Figure 1: Previously proposed pathways of ammonia excretion. 1) Simple diffusion through cellular membrane. 2) Ammonia trapping, using simple diffusion and proton excretion by V-ATPase and NHE to allow NH$_4^+$ to pass. 3) Non-specific ion transport, the utilization of transport molecules for ions of similar size and charge as shown using NKA and NHE. 4) Leaky paracellular junction as found between the chloride cell and the accessory cell in seawater teleosts. Taken from Evans (2005).

Another route for ammonia excretion across the membrane is the mediation by transport systems that are not specific to ammonia. The presence of electroneutral Na$^+$/NH$_4^+$ exchange in fresh water fish gills was proposed by August Krogh (1939) 70 years ago. In this model, Na$^+$ uptake across the apical
side of the gill is tied to NH$_4^+$ extrusion, where NH$_4^+$ replaces H$^+$ on an electroneutral Na$^+$/H$^+$ antiport. This would require the electroneutral Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchange (NHE) to be energized by inwardly directed Na$^+$ gradients (Grinstein and Wieczorek, 1994).

There is some discussion on whether the occurrence of this mechanism exists or if it is mistaken for ammonia trapping, in which the same protein channels, NHEs and V-ATPases, are pumping out protons as per their normal function and these protons are joining with the ammonia molecules that are freely diffusing across the membrane as in the first mechanism pathway. The Na$^+$/NH$_4^+$ exchange system was hypothesized from observations that amiloride (NHE inhibitor) addition and Na$^+$ removal from external water decreased net ammonia excretion rates as well as the observation that ammonium salts injections increased Na$^+$ absorption in freshwater teleosts (Wilkie, 1997). However, when HEPES was added to external water (effectively binding all loose protons and therefore inhibiting ammonia trapping) total ammonia excretion was uninhibited while Na$^+$ uptake suffered a 90% inhibition (Evans et al., 2005; Wilkie, 2002).

Members of the NHE family are thought to play an important role in transepithelial ion movements, intracellular pH homeostasis, and cell volume regulation (Claiborne, 2002). Like mammalian kidney nephrons, fish gills have apical NHEs for systemic acid-base and Na$^+$ regulation and basolateral NHEs for cell pH and volume regulation (Choe et al., 2002). Choe (2005) later suggested
that this model would also be feasible in fresh water as well as salt water as an ammonia excretion system.

The final pathway previously suggested for ammonia transport across the gills is that of ammonium diffusion. Albeit this form of ammonia excretion is considered minimal in all fishes except seawater teleost and lampreys which are known to have shallow tight junctions between the mitochondrion rich cells (MRCs) that would allow the small charged molecule to pass (Evans et al., 2005). This pathway was proposed after sculpin exposed to high external ammonia concentrations did not develop a metabolic acidosis; suggesting that ammonia loading occurred not in the basic NH₃ form but in NH₄, the acid-base neutral form (Claiborne and Evans, 1988).

Recent studies have focused on Rhesus proteins as possible ammonia excretion transport molecules. It has been established that there are at least four Rh proteins in mammals, RhDg and RhAg in red blood cells and RhBg and RhCg in other tissues, along with copies very similar to RhDg and RhAg also found in RBCs RhCEg and RhPgs that are rarely looked at (Huang and Peng, 2005). These proteins have been associated with the microbial ammonium transporter (Amt) proteins (Marini et al., 1997). Many research groups think that Amt proteins concentrate the NH₄⁺ ion against a gradient and that they function as active transporters (Ludewig et al., 2007). Several groups think that human and mouse Rh proteins also transport ammonium and are Amt functional equivalents in mammals (Marini et al., 2000). Both views have been challenged in that
regard by statements that Amt proteins are gas channels for NH$_3$ and possibly for CO$_2$ (Soupene et al., 2004).

Marini (1997) first suggested that glycosated Rh proteins played a role in ammonium transport. This idea was formed when it was pointed out that higher organisms depended on non-specific transporting systems for ammonia excretion while lower organisms, such as bacteria, yeasts, and plants, had specific ammonia transporters (Amts). Recent functional studies from several laboratories have indicated that human Rh orthologues play a main role as ammonium transporters, also placing them in polarized distribution in the gut, kidney, and liver (Planelles, 2007).

Weiner’s review (Weiner and Hamm, 2007) examines closely the literature on ammonia flux in the human renal system. With essentially none of the urinary ammonia being filtered by the glomerulus, it must be excreted into the urine or the renal vein by the proximal tubule, thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle, and the collecting duct (Weiner and Hamm, 2007). They also included studies of proposed functions of specific Rh proteins. RhAg, found in erythrocytes, was shown to mediate efflux of the ammonia analog Methylammonia (MA) suggesting that RhAg acts as a mammalian blood ammonia transporter (Weiner and Hamm, 2007). Weiner (2003) had earlier used RhBg and RhCg antibodies to detect the proteins in renal systems of the rat and mouse. They showed immunoreactivity of RhCg to be apical and located in the connecting segment, initial collecting tubule, cortical collecting duct, outer medullary collecting duct, and the inner
medullary collecting duct; with RhBg found basolaterally in the connecting
segment, initial collecting tubule, outer medullary collecting duct, innermedullary
collecting duct, and also low levels found in the distal convoluted tubule (Weiner
and Verlander, 2003). He also suggested the possibility of the Rh proteins acting
as a CO₂ transporter.

The classical thought that gases can pass through membranes by simple
diffusion has been questioned due to the increasing number of exceptions
(Endeward et al., 2008). Endeward specifically looks at RhAg in the red blood
cell (RBC) as a CO₂ transporter. While comparing RBCs Rh_null and AQP-1_null
(aquaporin) to test basal CO₂ permeability of the red cell membrane; they found
the normal inhibitory affects of 4,4´-diisothiocyanato-stilbene-2,2´-disulfonate
(DIDS) to be greatly lessened in the null sets suggesting their role in CO₂
transport (Endeward et al., 2008). In another study on Rh1 in green algae a filial
strain was grown with the absence of Rh1. In normal conditions (air, and acetate)
it grew similarly to its parental strain, however, in CO₂ heavy conditions its growth
was restricted. Also, these Rh1_null lines, when grown on acetate, poorly express
three proteins involved in the carbon concentrating mechanism, whereas the
parental strains have no difficulty. The failure to produce these proteins indicates
limited internal CO₂, thus, pointing to the conclusion that Rh1, and possibly even
more Rh proteins, are involved with CO₂ transport (Soupene et al., 2004).

The dynamics of ammonia excretion in fish cannot be explained without a
cursory understanding of the structure of the fish gill. Being the center of
osmoregulation, acid-base regulation, and respiration, surface area is key. Along the structural gill arches are the rows of gill filaments from which sprout the lamellae. The lamellar epithelium is made up of pavement cells (PVTs), although they cover a great deal of the gill’s surface area they are generally considered to play passive roles (Laurent and Dunel, 1980). Located in between the lamellae on the gill filament are the mitochondrion rich cells (MRCs) also known as the chloride cells. In contrast to the PVTs these cells are considered to be the primary sites of active physiological processes in the gills. They receive their name from being filled with mitochondria as well as to their NaCl secretory function (“chloride cells”) and are the site of expression for the active transport enzyme Na\(^+\)-K\(^+-\)ATPase that indirectly drives NaCl secretion (Philpott, 1980). A further, more in depth review can be found in the Evans et al. review (Evans et al., 2005).

While working with the Rh glycoprotein orthologues in pufferfish (Takifugu rubripes), Nakada et al. (2007) showed results that suggested the four Rh glycoproteins found in the gill (fRhag, fRhb, fRhcg1, and fRhcg2) are all likely to be involved in the elimination of ammonia. Their results also showed no cross-reactivity between the orthologues, thereby confirming the specificity of the transport. They used immunolocalization of the four orthologues to determine that RhAg is found on both sides of the pillar cells, RhBg is found on the basolateral side of the pavement cells, RhC1g is found on the apical side of the MRCs, and RhC2g is found on the apical side of the pavement cells.
From this they suggested a mechanism, as shown in Figure 2, for both the facilitated and active diffusion of ammonia across the gill epithelium. Facilitated diffusion would occur by ammonia passing through both sides of the pillar cell utilizing RhAg cell and then through the basolateral RhBg and apical RhC2g of the pavement cell. In cases where the gradient was not sufficient for this, active transport would occur by $\text{NH}_4^+$ occupying the $\text{K}^+$ spot in the basolateral $\text{Na}^+ / \text{K}^+$ ATPase antiport (NKA) of the MRC creating enough of a gradient inside the cell for it to passively diffuse across through the apical RhC1g (Nakada et al., 2007). Similar immunolocalization results have been found in the longhorn sculpin (Claiborne et al., 2008).
AMMONIA TRANSPORTERS IN THE FISH GILL

Figure 2: Model of ammonia excretion in fish. A) Cross section of gill. B) Protein channel mediated excretion of ammonia through gill lamellae epithelium. Combined utilization of RhAg on both the basolateral and apical sides of the pillar cell and RhBg on the basolateral with RhC2g on the apical sides of the pavement cell are shown as partial pathway of ammonia excretion. C) Ammonia excretion through RhC1g on the apical side of the MRC, using the NKA on the basolateral side for import of the ammonia (ammonium ion replaces the K⁺). Taken from Nakada (2007).

This information leads us to a question. Does ammonia transport utilize the previously described pathways of simple diffusion and non-specific ion channels, or does it follow a pathway utilizing the Rh glycoproteins as channels to cross the gill epithelium membrane? Nakada’s proposed method needs to be tested against a new species to ground his claims, and in this study we will
examine the presence of the Rh orthologues in the marine longhorn sculpin and measure the mRNA and protein level reaction to ammonia loading. From this data we will determine whether our results suggest the proposed pathway of ammonia transport across gill epithelium by Rh glycoprotein ammonia channels or one of the previously proposed pathways of ammonia excretion. We will determine the DNA sequence of sculpin Rh orthologues from the gill, and use that information to run further functional and physiological tests on sculpin exposed to ammonia stress while measuring mRNA and protein production.
Methodology

Animal Holding Conditions

Longhorn sculpin were collected by commercial fisherman from Frenchman Bay, ME and maintained in aquariums with running 100% seawater at (12-15°C) until needed. The sculpin were restricted from eating for one week before being used for experimentation. Individual fish were removed from the primary holding tank with some being immediately weighed and sacrificed while others were anesthetized with MS-222 (1:10,000 dilution, 7-10 minutes), weighed, and then cannulated. Sacrificing of fish involved a brain/spinal pithing method of quickly cutting the spinal cord and pithing the brain area of the fish. Gill filaments were surgically removed and soaked in a PBS wash to eliminate the blood. Then the tissue was either stored in RNAlater media (Ambion) at -20°C according to manufactures protocol for later RNA isolation, or flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C for protein work.

Cannulation was performed by surgically inserting polyethylene E-50 size tubing (filled with teleost Ringer solution) into the sculpin peritoneal cavity through a 18 gauge needle and using a blocked 23 gauge needle to plug the tube as described previously (Claiborne et al., 1997). The cannula was held in place by stitching the tube into the skin of the fish. Cannulated sculpin were placed into individual plexiglass containers (2.4L ± 5%) with aerated 100% running seawater at (12-15°C) and acclimated for at least 20 hours before injections. Sculpin were
injected via the implanted cannula with 5mM/kg of NH$_4$Cl, NH$_4$HCO$_3$, or the equivalent amount of distilled water, according to calculated conversion by weight.

**RNA Isolation**

Isolation of total RNA from gill homogenates of long-horned sculpin was performed using the TRI reagent (Molecular Research Center, Inc.) method of extraction. As per standard protocol extracted tissue was homogenized in 15 ml round-bottom Falcon tubes in 1ml TRI Reagent per 50-100mg tissue. The homogenate was stored at room temperature for 5-15 minutes then transferred in 1 ml aliquots to 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. Bromochloropropane (BCP; 10.1 ml per 1.0 ml TRI reagent used) was then added. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 2-15 minutes and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The procedure will separate the mixture into three layers: RNA (top, aqueous phase), DNA (interphase), and protein (lower, organic phase). The aqueous phase, containing RNA, was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube. The RNA was then precipitated with 0.5 ml isopropanol for each ml TRI reagent used in the initial homogenization.

The sample was incubated at room temperature for 8 minutes and was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 8 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was removed from resulting RNA pellet. The RNA pellet was then washed with 75% ethanol and centrifuged at 7,500 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The resulting RNA was quantified and checked for purity using UV spectrophotometry at 260/280 nm and the
integrity verified by agarose gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel using a MOPS/formaldehyde buffer system) and ethidium bromide staining.

**Reverse Transcription cDNA Synthesis**

Reverse transcription was performed on sculpin total RNA using the Superscript III Kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturers’ protocol. Superscript III Reverse transcriptase utilizes the avian myeloblastosis virus RNase H- reverse transcriptase and is designed to have higher thermal stability, and produce high yield and more full-length cDNA transcripts. Sculpin total RNA was heated for 5 minutes at 70°C and put on ice to remove secondary structure. The reverse transcription reaction cycle was one cycle of: 60 minutes at 50°C, 15 minutes at 70°C. In order to check for genomic DNA amplification a negative and positive superscript III reaction was performed. An amount of 0.5 µg/µl total sculpin RNA was used in the reverse transcription reaction.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction**

To do the Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) procedure on the sculpin cDNA obtained from the reverse transcription a FastStart *Taq* polymerase kit (Roche) and a Hybaid thermo cycler machine was used in a 50-µl (1x) reaction.

An alternative protocol was followed using the Phusion High-Fidelity PCR kit from Finnzymes. This protocol can be done using two different methods; a standard method (as described by manufacturer) and a wide range step down method. (Frey et al., 2007)
The wide range step down method was designed to create optimal conditions for any sequence to amplify so 4 different procedures are used simultaneously, A, B, C, and D. For A and B 5x HF (high fidelity) PCR buffer was used with high concentration of primer (5µl) for A and low concentration of primer (.5µl) for B. For C and D 5x GC (for GC rich sequences) PCR buffer was used with high concentration (5µl) for C and low concentration (.5µl) for D. This spread of buffer and concentration creates optimal conditions for any cDNA to amplify. For step down, the thermal cycler runs standard until it reaches the annealing temperature at which point it steps down (65°-55°C) decreasing in .5° increments so that it hits every possible annealing temperature.

Cloning

Cloning was done using a Zero Blunt TOPO PCR Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) and was completed using manufacturers protocol. LB-Agar was used to make the agarose plates and Kanamycin was the antibiotic used to kill the bacteria untransformed with plasmid. Colonies were selected and PCR was run with a standard Phusion protocol to determine if cDNA was present in the colonies.

Then the plasmid isolation was done using Roche’s High Pure Plasmid kit following the manufacturers protocol. High Pure filter tubes and centrifugation achieved the elution of plasmid DNA. The eluted plasmid was then transferred to sterile .5 ml tubes and stored in the refrigerator (4°C) until sequencing.
Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE)

To do RACE a step down Phusion PCR protocol was used with 3’ Oligo dT primer for the downstream and 5’ Gene Specific primer for the upstream.

For some of the sequences a modified rolling circle procedure, similar to that found in Polidoros et al (2006), was used where the cDNA was fashioned into a circle by CircLigase™ (Epicentre Biotechnologies) that ligates intramolecularly sticking the 5’ and 3’ ends together using phosphoralated Oligo DT (dideoxythimine) primers, shown in Figure 3.

It was then amplified using nested inverse primers, designed using Oligo Primer Analysis Software against the known coding sequence, and standard Phusion PCR technique. The product’s resulting linear single stranded cDNA, with the unknown 5’ and 3’ ends (along with the untranslated portion) next to each other, was then cloned and sent for sequencing. The returning sequence was then used to design more inverse primers until both the 3’ and 5’ ends were found.
Primer Design

All primers were designed using Oligo Primer Analysis Software (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc). For standard PCR reactions used to gain smaller segments of the coding sequence this was done by using primers designed previously for the stickleback or by using the already sequenced stickleback genome as a framework for the sculpin. Primers were design as pairs with preference to low 3’ ΔG, high 5’ΔG (suggested parameter given by primer design software), absence of a hairpin loop formation, high annealing temperature (65°-75°C was the optimal range), and a nucleotide length of 20-24
bases. This was also the manner in which inverse primers were designed. See Table 2 for a list of primers used.

For quantitative RT-PCR primers were designed with the same preferences as standard PCR primers along with a few extras. The optimal quantitative primers primed for a product between 50bp and 150bp in length and one or both of the primers would lie across an exon-intron boundary so that no genomic contamination would be primed. Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) manufactured all primers.

**DNA Sequencing**

The sequencing process for each of the four genes involved first designing standard PCR primers for the sculpin to gain small segments of the entirety of the sequence. Depending on the clarity of the PCR product there were different methods of preparation for sequencing. If a clear single band occurred, the product could be shipped directly for sequencing, after an ExoSap-IT (USB) method preparation. This procedure involved taking 5 µl of the PCR reaction, done according to manufacturer protocol, and 2 µl of ExoSap-IT reagent and incubating them at 37° C for 15 minutes and 80° C for 15 minutes. 0.8 µl of each primer (forward and reverse) at 10µM, and 21.2 µl of sterile water was added to 2µl of the ExoSap reaction to yield a total volume of 24 µl that was to be shipped for sequencing.

In cases where multiple, but clear bands occurred a gel purification procedure was done. This procedure used a NuSieve GTG (Cambrex) agarose
gel to run the PCR product Gel Green (Biotium), it was then viewed over a Dark Reader (Clare Chemical Research) and the individual bands cut out. The gel was then liquefied using β-Agarase I (New England BioLabs) and prepared for shipment.

In cases where multiple products overlapped or smearing occurred cloning was used to get one specific desired product out of multiple amplified products. Once these fragments of the gene were amplified and separated they were sent to Mount Desert Island Biological Laboratory (MDIBL) Sequencing Facility for nucleotide sequencing.

**Ammonia Loading**

The ammonia loading method began with a control period for each fish. This consisted of an 8-hour period in which no infusions occurred and the external ammonia levels were measured to determine ammonia efflux under normal conditions.

Two methods were used for ammonia loading, acute and chronic. With the acute ammonia load the fish was infused once with 5mM/kg of NH₄CL, NH₄HCO₃, or distilled water (equivalent volume). After 4 hours the fish was sacrificed (N=6 per treatment). With the chronic ammonia load the fish was infused 4 times with either 5mM/kg of NH₄HCO₃ or distilled water (equivalent volume) every 2 hours, over a total 8 hour period at which point the fish was sacrificed (N=3 per treatment). During every load the water system was closed so to maintain the excreted ammonia levels for measurement purposes. The
plexiglass box was flushed with fresh water after the control period and at the 4-hour point during the chronic loading. Table 1 shows a time flow chart of the ammonia loading method.

Table 1: Time flow chart of ammonia loading method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour 0</th>
<th>Hour 8</th>
<th>Hour 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control period begins</td>
<td>Control period ends</td>
<td>2nd infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box flushed with fresh</td>
<td>1st infusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seawater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hour 12</th>
<th>Hour 14</th>
<th>Hour 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
<td>4th infusion</td>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box flushed with fresh</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fish sacrificed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seawater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd infusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sample taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ammonia Assay**

During the chronic ammonia loading water samples (100µl) were taken to determine ammonia flux and stored frozen at -20°C. A control period was measured for each fish by sampling water before and after an 8-hour period in which the fish was resting in a closed circuit (meaning that seawater was not running) environment. The water was then sampled at each infusion point, as well as the sacrifice point. The water samples were kept at -20°C. The assay used was a modified Solorzano phenol-hypochlorite method (Solorzano, 1969).
The procedure was the same but the materials were proportionally reduced to run on a 220µl reaction scale. The assay was read by a Tecan Sunrise microplate reader using a standard concentration curve to determine total ammonia in water in triplicate.

**Protein Expression**

Dot blots were used for protein quantification using methods described by Hyndman and Evans (2009). Using a primary antibody against the protein of interest as well as a secondary chemiluminescent antibody against the primary antibody we can calculate the relative concentration of the protein of interest compared to a standard by densitometry.

Gill tissue that had been collected and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C was homogenized in 2 mL of ice-cold buffer (250 mM sucrose, 30 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% of Sigma protease inhibitor cocktail, and 100 µg/mL pheynylmethysulfonyl fluoride; pH 7.8) and centrifuged at 12,000g for 10min at 4°C and the supernatant decanted. The protein content of the supernatant was measured using Pierce’s BCA protein assay kit (Rockford, IL). The supernatant was then heated at 65°C for 15min, then diluted to 2.5µg/µL in 10 mM TBS (25mM/L Tris, 150mM/L NaCl; pH 7.4), and continued heating at 65°C until blotted. A randomly selected control sample, selected from the group of fish being tested, was then diluted (in 10mM TBS) in a seven point series of two-fold dilutions for a concentration curve and the concentrations made known by a
microplate reader. Proteins were blotted in 1μL dots, in triplicate, onto nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA), and left to air dry for 15min.

The membrane was then placed in blotto (non-fat milk in TBS; pH 7.4) and incubated for 1hr at room temperature with shaking, and then was placed in primary antibody (rabbit anti protein; RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, or RhC2g specific at 1/250 concentration) to incubate overnight. Then the membrane was washed three times in fresh 10mM TBS with 1% Tween (TBST) and incubated in 1/1500 alkaline phosphatase-conjugated, goat anti rabbit secondary antibody for 1hr at room temperature. Again the membrane was washed three times in TBST. The membrane was developed utilizing a chemiluminescent signal (Biorad) per manufacturer’s protocol and developed on ECL hyperfilm (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ). The film was digitized on a flat bed scanner and densitometry determined by Biorad’s Quantity One software. All values were standardized to the dilution curve and made relative to protein content (relative units/mg) protein.

Fish specific polyclonal antibodies (developed against homologous epitopes in the marine puffer fish, Takifugu rubripes (Nakada et al., 2007) and used successfully for immunohistochemical localization in the sculpin (Claiborne et al., 2008) were utilized to measure protein expression levels in dot blots. Western blots on the antibodies used confirmed single band products (Claiborne and Edwards unpublished).
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Quantitative RT-PCR

For mRNA expression the QPCR method as described in Hyndman and Evans (2009) was completed using an Invitrogen Platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix-UDG kit on the Stratagene mx4000 machine at MDIBL, as well as LightCycler FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I kit on the Roche LightCycler 1.5 machine at GSU, according to manufacturer’s protocol.

Figure 4: Example of quantitative RT-PCR results. Shown with fluorescence on the Y and number of cycles on the X. The first duplicate has the highest concentration of mRNA because its’ luminescence became visible at cycle 23 compared to the second duplicate which becomes visible at cycle 26. More mRNA means higher concentrations of cDNA, and since the cDNA is what the luminescent dye attaches to so that it becomes visible it will become visible at an earlier cycle.
In RT-PCR the PCR works as normal using polymerase to amplify single strand cDNA (copied from high quality mRNA) into double strand DNA. SYBR Green is a florescent molecule that will only bind to double stranded DNA. Therefore, the higher the expression level (mRNA), the higher the cDNA level and the faster the concentration of SYBR increases causing fluorescence. We measure this timed florescence value as crossing threshold (C_T), the point where the bound SYBR becomes more visible than the background light, and is then plotted as a log relationship, example shown in Figure 4. Primers were designed to span exon-intron boundaries to prevent priming of genomic DNA, the products were kept, ideally, between 50 and 150 base length, a 3’ ΔG (Gibb’s free energy) value below -9 allowed for more specific binding, and annealing temperatures were in the range of 58°C.

To quantify relative mRNA levels a concentration curve of known quantities was used for each gene each run at 1:1, 1:10, 1:100, 1:1000, and 1:10,000 and the equation of this known concentration line was used to quantify our unknown concentration, which was run at a 1:10 dilution to ensure that the C_T did not fall out of the parameters of the concentration curve. All samples were run in triplicate, except those done at GSU, which were done in duplicate due to limited space for samples in the Roche machine. To standardize the results, a putative housekeeping gene, ribosomal protein L8 (NCBI: DQ066926), was initially used as an internal control (Small et al., 2008). This was then found to be effected by ammonia loading so Ubiquitin was then used based on Hibblers’s
study on housekeeping genes as internal controls {, 2008 #263} The Pfaffl (Pfaffl, 2001) mathematical process was applied for standardization and in order to equalize the efficiencies of the gene amplification. The final result is a comparison of relative increase/decrease of mRNA expression in the gene of interest standardized to the internal control.

**Statistics**

All statistical tests were run in Excel (Microsoft). Student’s t tests were used to determine statistical significance in most cases. Bonferroni adjustments were made to the $\alpha$ levels to correct for type 1 error in repeated measurements by dividing the set $\alpha$ of 0.05 by N, where N is the number of tests to that point, i.e. (0.05/1, 0.05/2, 0.05/3, etc.).
Results

Sequencing

Sequencing products received back from MDIBL were pieced together into contigs (recreating the DNA sequence) using MacVector with Assembler (MacVector Inc). To date the entirety of the RhBg coding sequence has been established along with the 3’ end of RhAg and RhC2g. Continuing efforts are being made to establish the 3’ end of RhC1g as well as the remaining 5’ ends of RhAg, RhC1g, and RhC2g to go along with the large internal coding sequence fragment. Figures 15-18 in the appendix show the established DNA and translated protein sequences for the Rh orthologues. A protein homology alignment shows significant percent identity in all of the proteins when compared to their paralogues in stickleback: RhAg 50%, RhBg 91%, RhC1g 90%, and RhC2g 91% identical, see Figure 19. The phylogenetic tree resulting from the protein alignment is seen in Figure 20. In Figure 21 the Kyte/Doolittle hydrophilicity charts are shown for each protein showing that like all proteins in the Rh family there are 7 membrane-spanning regions.

In Vivo Ammonia Assay

During the chronic ammonia (NH$_4$HCO$_3$) infusion, water samples were taken from the external water environment of the fish so that an ammonia assay determining the ammonia flux of the fish under these ammonia stress loads could be completed. Preliminary data confirmed that the infused ammonia load
remained in the fish and did not leak out of the cannula or sutures (meaning that there was no ammonia in the water due to faulty procedure).

Figure 5, shows the clear difference in slopes between the control period and the experimental period. A definitive change in the slope from pre-infusion control period to the experimental group infusion period and only a minor increase in initial slope from pre-infusion control period to control group infusion period is seen. The slope of the line during the control periods of both treatments was approximately 0.65. During the treatment phase the control group’s average slope was 0.98 while the experimental group’s average slope was 4.45. With this visual difference in slopes observed, the next thing to look at would be if a statistical difference is shown between the rates.
Figure 5: Average total ammonia per fish. Graph showing the average total ammonia per fish in the water. Experimental NH$_4$HCO$_3$ treatment and water control, x±SE N=3 for each group.

There is a significant difference of ammonia excretion rates between the control set and the experimental set as seen in Figure 6. In reaction to the imposed ammonia stress the excretion rate increased 10 fold from a pre-infusion control rate average of 0.66 ± 0.044 mmol kg$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ to an average of 6.3 ± 0.18 mmol kg$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ (p<0.001, N=3) which ends up as an approximate 14.8 fold increase in the rate of excretion. It is interesting to note that this maintained level of heightened ammonia excretion that by the end of the 8-hour infusion period the experimental group had actually excreted more (an average of 38.66% more)
that the amount of ammonia infused into the fish (20mM/kg). This increase in rate comes in comparison with the control group average, which increases from

![Rate of ammonia efflux](image)

Figure 6: Rate of ammonia efflux. Experimental NH₄HCO₃ treatment and water control, x ± SE N=3 for each group. Control rates compared to pre-infusion control (time 0): P=0.167, p=0.313, 0.604, and p=0.447 respectively for time 2, 4, 6, and 8. Experimental rates compared to pre-infusion control (time 0): p=0.004*, p=0.023*, p=0.0009*, and p=0.013 respectively for time 2, 4, 6, and 8 utilizing Bonferroni adjusted α rates.

its pre-infusion control rate average of 0.66 ± 0.13 mmol kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹ to its highest rate (at the 4 hour time point immediately following the third infusion) of 2.305 ± 0.15 mmol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹, a 3.5 fold increase.
Figure 7 shows that when looking at ammonia output there is a significant difference (p=0.0001) between the pre-infusion period and the infusion period in the experimental group.

Net ammonia output

Figure 7: Total ammonia output. Graph showing the total ammonia output in water per fish, as compared to its pre-infusion control period. Experimental NH₄HCO₃ treatment and water control, n = 3 for each group, showing standard errors. Control p=0.3, experimental p=0.014*.

Quantitative RT-PCR: mRNA Expression

Acute:

To look at the results of the quantification of mRNA expression the results will be broken down into the two physiological loads given to the fish: acute and
chronic. When looking at the following results a couple of things need to be noted. Between the two types of ammonia administered, ammonia chloride (NH₄Cl) and ammonia bicarbonate (NH₄HCO₃), NH₄HCO₃ was the only one that actually caused valid changes. The NH₄Cl treatment group changes were overshadowed due to high variance from fish to fish as seen in Figure 8.

**Acute mRNA Expression**

![Graph showing relative mRNA expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g mRNA compared to Ubc internal control in the gill after acute ammonia stress of NH₄Cl treatments, and water control, x±SE N=6 for each group. RhAg p=0.62, RhBg p=0.2, RhC1g p=0.28, RhC2g p=0.25.]

Looking at Figure 9 it is observed that there are no significant changes in relative mRNA level of treated fish compared to control fish. There is an insignificant decrease in relative RhAg mRNA expression when the fish are
exposed to NH$_4$HCO$_3$, and there is a slight, still insignificant, increase in expression of RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g.

**Acute mRNA Expression**

![Graph showing relative expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g mRNA compared to Ubc internal control in the gill after acute ammonia stress of NH$_4$HCO$_3$ treatments, and water control, x±SE N=6 for each group. RhAg p=0.27, RhBg p=0.47, RhC1g p=0.26, RhC2g p=0.35.]

**Chronic:**

In the chronic ammonia loading series there are similar results to the acute series with no significant changes in mRNA expression of any gene due to ammonia stress. In Figure 9 there is again an insignificant decrease in relative
Figure 10: Chronic relative NH₄HCO₃ mRNA expression. Graph showing relative expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g mRNA compared to Ubc internal control in gill after chronic ammonia stress of NH₄HCO₃ treatment and water control, x±SE N=3 for each group, RhAg p=0.65, RhBg p=0.3, RhC1g p=0.51, RhC2g p=0.38.

mRNA expression of RhAg compared to control following ammonia stress, as opposed to RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g where there is again insignificant increases in relative mRNA expression.
Internal Control:

One thing to note is the variability found in the internal control gene. At first ribosomal protein L8 was used as the internal control gene until it was found to increase in a statistically significant manor when exposed to acute levels of NH₄HCO₃. This is evident when looking at Figure 21 there is a statistically significant change when exposed to an acute load of NH₄HCO₃, relative levels of L8 mRNA increased. Though in the chronic loading (Figure 10) there was no statistically significant change.
Figure 11: L8 mRNA expression after ammonia stress.  A) Graph showing the expression of sculpin L8 mRNA in gill after acute ammonia stress of NH₄Cl, NH₄HCO₃ treatments, and water control, x±SE N=6 for each group. NH₄Cl p=0.75, NH₄HCO₃ p=0.044*.  B) Graph showing the expression of sculpin ribosomal L8 mRNA in gill after chronic ammonia stress of NH₄HCO₃ treatment and water control, x±SE N=3 for each group p=0.325.

In light of this, two new internal control stability genes were tested to replace L8. Based on Hibbler’s (2008) work, primers for L13A ribosomal binding protein and Ubiquitin were tested for viability in sculpin. Ubiquitin (Ubc) was found to be viable and unaffected by acute or chronic ammonia loads as can be seen in figures 12 and 13 and was, therefore, used as the internal control.
Figure 12: Acute Ubc mRNA expression. Graph showing the expression of sculpin Ubc mRNA in gill after acute ammonia stress of NH$_4$Cl, NH$_4$HCO$_3$ treatments, and water control, x±SE N=6 for each group. NH$_4$Cl p=0.75, NH$_4$HCO$_3$ p=0.044*.
Figure 13: Chronic Ubc mRNA expression. Graph showing the expression of sculpin Ubc mRNA in gill after chronic ammonia stress of NH$_4$HCO$_3$ treatment and water control, x±SE N=3 for each group p=0.325.

**Dot Blots: Protein Expression**

**Acute:**

The densitometry was done by comparing the brightness of bound chemiluminescent, protein-specific antibodies to a curve of known protein concentrations that were also bound with chemiluminescent antibodies, an example of this can be seen in Figure 14.
Figure 14: Example image of dot blots. Shows a concentration curve and two experimental samples done in triplicate. The concentration curve starts at the top and goes down with a 1:1 dilution (protein: water) each time for a seven-point concentration curve. At the bottom two experimental points are shown that were compared to the curve to determine relative concentration of protein. The densitometry comparison was done with Bio-rad’s Quantity One program.
In Figure 15 no significant changes occur after an acute exposure to NH$_4$Cl. The first thing apparent is seen in Figure 16 where a significant decrease in relative RhAg protein expression in response to an acute NH$_4$HCO$_3$ stress is shown. No significant changes occur in RhBg or RhC2g. RhC1g shows an insignificant increase (approximately 30% increase), however, it should be noted that p=0.06 and without the high fish to fish variability between control and experimental this could possibly be a significant increase.

**Acute Protein Expression**

![Acute Protein Expression Graph](image)

Figure 15: Acute relative NH$_4$Cl protein expression. Graph showing the relative expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g protein in gill after acute ammonia stress of NH$_4$Cl treatments, and water control, x±SE N=6 for each group. RhAg p=0.88, RhBg p=0.63, RhC1g p=0.57, RhC2g p=0.88.
Figure 16: Acute relative \( \text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3 \) protein expression. Graph showing the relative expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g protein in the gill after acute ammonia stress of \( \text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3 \) treatments, and water control, \( x\pm\text{SE} \) \( N=6 \) for each group. RhAg \( p=0.015^* \), RhBg \( p=0.16 \), RhC1g \( p=0.06 \), and RhC2g \( p=0.63 \).

**Chronic:**

In the chronic series there is an insignificant increase \( (p=0.06, N=3) \) of relative RhAg protein levels when exposed to a chronic \( \text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3 \) stress, see Figure 17. Also, it is interesting to note that the relative protein expression levels for RhAg went from significantly down regulated with an acute ammonia stress load to nearly significantly up regulated with a chronic ammonia stress load. Figure 17 also shows no significant changes in RhBg or RhC2g protein.
expression, however, it does show a significant increase (approximately 7x) in relative RhC1g protein level expression due to a chronic NH₄HCO₃ stress.

**Figure 17**: Chronic relative NH₄HCO₃ protein expression. Graph showing the expression of sculpin RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g protein in gill after chronic ammonia stress of NH₄HCO₃ treatment and water control, x±SE N=3 for each group. RhAg p=0.06, RhBg p=0.88, p=0.02*, RhC2g p=0.29.
Table 2: Summary of Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>mRNA</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acute</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhAg</td>
<td>Trend of decrease ‡</td>
<td>Trend of decrease ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhBg</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhC1g</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhC2g</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
<td>Trend of increase ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>** Significant decrease ‡</td>
<td>** Significant increase ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The question at hand is how ammonia is excreted from the gills of the longhorn sculpin, either by one of the previous methods (such as simple diffusion or non-specific ion transport) or through the newer model employing Rh glycoproteins. In this study the presence of the Rh orthologues in the sculpin gill has been examined, and functional and physiological tests have been completed to determine the response of the Rh orthologues mRNA and protein levels to ammonia loading.

*In vivo* ammonia assays showed that ammonia loads injected into the fish were excreted into the external environment. These ammonia excretion rates follow extrapolations of previous experiments using acute loading stress (Claiborne and Evans, 1988). Figure 6 showed that there was no statistical difference between the pre-infusion period and the infusion period in the control group, however, there is a significant difference ($p=0.0001$) between the pre-infusion period and the infusion period in the experimental group. This would be expected that when you infuse excess ammonia into the fish that the fish would excrete it in some manner and not store it due to its toxicity.

Four orthologue gene sequences (RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2) that have been previously linked to ammonia excretion (Nakada et al., 2007) were found in the sculpin gill. They were found to be significantly homologous with the Rh paralogues found in stickleback with RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g being 90% identical, and RhAg being 50% identical (with an e value of -89) (Figure 24). A
phylogenetic tree amongst a large group of fishes and humans placed all of the genes along with their corresponding paralogues (Figure 23) and hydrophilicity figures (Figure 25) showed plausibly that they shared the common 7 membrane spanning regions common among the Rh family.

To determine if these four proteins were responsible for the ammonia excretion, quantitative RT-PCR methods and dot blot methods were utilized to measure mRNA and protein expression, respectively. No significant changes in RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, or RhC2g were observed following NH$_4$Cl infusion at any point in the experiment. This is most likely due to the variation from fish to fish experienced throughout the experiment, which was most evident when looking at NH$_4$Cl results. Mean relative mRNA levels appeared to increase following ammonia loading, but the changes were not significantly different between the experimental and control levels of mRNA in either the acute or chronic ammonia load groups.

Changes did occur in protein expression response to ammonia loading. RhC1g protein level following the acute ammonia load increased (by ~30%) when compared to controls (p=0.069). In contrast, the chronic ammonia group exhibited dramatically higher RhC1g expression (~7x higher; p=0.021) over the control fish, thus suggesting that it may play a role in ammonia excretion.

RhAg protein levels also responded significantly to ammonia loading. There was a significant down regulation (p=0.015) of protein expression when exposed to acute NH$_4$HCO$_3$ and a nearly significant up regulation (p=0.06, but
without the fish to fish variability caused by using separate fish for control this value could be significant) when exposed to chronic levels. This is a very interesting change with no clear explanation of what is happening. It is plausible that initial response to ammonia stress is that of removing this pathway to force another, such as the RhC1g pathway, for reasons such as additional control of the excretion process over shorter periods of time. Following the continued stress it is feasible that the RhAg pathway was then allowed back “on” to compensate for the continual ammonia stress to the fish.

The variation in qPCR relative mRNA levels measured between animals was high, so this may have masked changes due to the ammonia loads. The large increase in RhgC1 protein expression following the acute load (with little parallel increase observed in mRNA) may also imply that the regulation of this system is accomplished by post-transcription changes such as alterations in translation rates, membrane shuttling, and/or adjustments to protein channel half-life (Cavet et al., 1999) this way a decrease in the breakdown of pathways can still allow an increase in expression without a change in mRNA. We postulate that apical RhgC1 in gill MRCs (Claiborne et al., 2008) is up regulated to allow the rapid excretion of ammonia across the gills.

When comparing our results to the model set forth by Nakada et al. (2007) in we see that it is a plausible model, Figure 18 shows both the lamellar epithelium pathway as well as the chloride cell pathway along with the other previously proposed methods discussed earlier. Clearly RhC1g could be utilized
in a key role with its localization in the chloride cell using NKA to pump the ammonia into the cell. Also with no change in the RhBg or the RhC2g protein expression it seems valid to expect these proteins to be players in a more general, passive approach to excreting ammonia levels that would be produced under normal physiological conditions. With this explanation RhAg could then be easily hypothesized to be used as a door or switch to direct ammonia excretion to the NKA-RhC1 pathway in an acute ammonia stress and back to the RhA-RhB-RhC2 pathway under normal or extreme chronic ammonia stress periods.

Also to be considered is the possibility that the RhXgs are playing some role in CO\textsubscript{2} transport. This is something that is being looked into more intensely today by different research groups, and should be considered in future research.

In summary, we postulate that apical RhgC1 in gill MRCs is up regulated to allow the rapid excretion of ammonia across the gills in accordance with the model set forth by Nakada et al. (2007) as well as RhAg is being utilized as a type of secondary pathway in its’ ammonia excretion route of RhA-RhB-RhC2 in the lamellar epithelial cells. Also our research implies that the regulation of this system is accomplished by post-transcription changes such as alterations in translation rates, membrane shuttling, and/or adjustments to protein channel half-life this way a decrease in the breakdown of pathways can still allow an increase in expression without a change in mRNA.
Figure 18: Method of ammonia excretion. Image showing the method of ammonia excretion in the gill of the marine teleost longhorn sculpin. A) Lamellae epithelium cells showing an ammonia excretion pathway from blood through RhAg to exit the pillar cell into the basal lamina and then through the basolateral side of the pavement cell via RhBg and out the apical side of the pavement cell using RhC2g. B) Restatement of the possible methods of ammonia excretion in a chloride cell utilizing the pathways shown in Figure old mechanisms as well as that of RhC1g on the apical side of the chloride cell with the basolateral NKA to bring ammonium inside the cell (instead of K⁺).
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## Appendix

### Table 3: List of all Primers used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference #</th>
<th>SeqName</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GacRhB,SBRhBG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>SBRhBG-120U24F2#357</td>
<td>GCTATGGCACAGCAAGAACCACCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>SBRhBG-468U25F7#358</td>
<td>GGTCACCGCTGTGTTTCTGTCAACGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>SBRhBG-490L25B2#359</td>
<td>CGTTGACAGAAGACAGCGTGACCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>SBRhBG-991L24B6#360</td>
<td>TCAAGTTGTTTCAGGACGCGGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GacRhC2,SBRhCG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>SBRhCG-22U21F1#361</td>
<td>TTGAGAGGTTTTTTCTGCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>SBRhCG-182U21F3#362</td>
<td>ACAACATCAAAGCGACATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363</td>
<td>SBRhCG-632U24F9#363</td>
<td>CCATCTCTGGATTCTCTACCGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>SBRhCG-998U24F19#364</td>
<td>TCTCCACTTTTTGCTACCTGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>SBRhCG-735L24B3#365</td>
<td>CAGGAACAGTGCGACAATCATAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>SBRhCG-1346L23B13#366</td>
<td>TCGTCAAGCAGTGTGTCATCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>SBRhCG-1220L22B9#367</td>
<td>TGGGTTCTACCGTTCTGTTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>SBRhCG-1340L20B12#368</td>
<td>AAGCAGTTGTCATCAGCGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GacRhC2 full</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>SBRhCG--30U20F1#373</td>
<td>GCAGCATCATATCCAAGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>SBRhCG--29U22F1#374</td>
<td>CAGCATCATTCCAAGACCCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>SBRhCG-597L20B2#375</td>
<td>CGCCAAACAAGGTGACAACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>SBRhCG-609L23B4#376</td>
<td>CAAAACAGTGTGACGCACAAACAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>SBRhCG-633U24F2#377</td>
<td>CATCTCCTGGATTCCTCTACCGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>SBRhCG-633U24F2a#378</td>
<td>CATCTCCTGGATTCTCTACCGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>SBRhCG-802U22F4#379</td>
<td>ATCAACACCTACATCGCCCTTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>SBRhCG-802U22F4a#380</td>
<td>ATCAACACGTTATATCGCTCCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>SBRhCG-1721L23B2#381</td>
<td>CAGTCTCACCCTCCCATCAAAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
382  SBRhCG-1723L24B3#382  AACAGTCTTCAACCTCCCATCAAG
383  SBRhBG--48U24F1#383  TAAAAAGCTCCTCTCTCGGCTCTC
384  SBRhBG-284L24B9#384  AAGTCGTCTCAGTGCTGGAGTGG
385  SBRhBG-401L22B11#385  ATCAGGAAGTTGAAGCCCACGC
386  SBRhBG-206U20F3#386  TCATCGGTTTCGCTTCCTTC
387  SBRhBG-457U21F5#387  GCCATTGTTGAGGTCACGCTG
388  SBRhBG-470U23F7#388  TCACGCTGTTTCTGTCAACGAG
389  SBRhBG-884U23F9#389  GTGAAATGATGCTGACGCCTTAC
390  SBRhBG-886U22F10#390  GAAATGATGCTGACGCCTTACG
391  SBRhBG-906L23B4#391  GTAAGGCGTCACTCATTTTCAC
392  SBRhBG-989L22B6#392  AGTTTTGTTTCTCCAGGACGGGTG
393  SBRhBG-991L22B7#393  TCAGTTTGTTTCTCCAGGACGGG
394  SBRhBG-1611L20B8#394  TTGTTCCGCTCCTGAAATG
395  SBRhBG-1613L21B9#395  TCTTGTTCCGCTCCTGAATG

**GacScepRhB**

406  GacScepRhB-18U19#406  AGGACCCTCCGCGTGTTGT
407  GacScepRhB-518L24#407  CCCAAAACGGCTCCGAACGAGATG
408  GacScepRhB-128U22#408  GGTCACCAACATGCCGCTCAAG
409  GacScepRhB-561U24#409  CTGCTGGTACATGCGATATTCGAG
410  GacScepRhB-1257L19#410  CTTGGTAGACGCGGTGAT
411  GacScepRhB-270U24#411  AACGACCTCTTGCTCCGCTACCA
412  GacScepRhB-608L24#412  CCCAGGGCAGTTACCAGGACGAAC

**GacScepRhB**

435  GacRhB-InMoc02-508U20#435  GCGCTGTGCTCATCTCGGTC
436  GacRhB-InMoc02-863L24#436  GCTGCCAGGGAGTAGTAGGTG
437  GacRhB-InMoc02-825U24#437  GTCACGGCTACAGAGAGACGACAG
438  GacRhB-InMoc02-1583L19#438  GGGCGGGGCTAAGGTTCTG
439  GacRhB-InMoc02-830U19#439  GGCTCACGGAGACGACCA

54
GacRhC2

440  GacRhB-InMoc02-1549L24#440  CTCAGGGTTTTTCTACATCGGACT

GacRhC2

441  GacRhC2-82U24A#441  TCAGGGAATTGTGCGACCGTCAAA
442  GacRhC2-622L21A#442  CGGCATCTCTGGCATGTATGA
443  GacRhC2-121U20B#443  GTAAGAGTCTCCGGCAGTT
444  GacRhC2-673L22B#444  GAGATGGAAGGCCTAGTAAG
445  GacRhC2-500U20C#445  CGTGGCGGGCTGCTTGATAG
446  GacRhC2-1537L24C#446  GTGATGAAACAGACCGGCAATAG
447  GacRhC2-731L22D#447  CCGTCTGCATGGTTCCGTTTAT
448  GacRhC2-1071L22D#448  ATGAGAGGCGAGGTAGACAT

L8RibosomeFishdegen

449  Fish-L8-F1#449  GGATACATCAAGGAATCGTGAAARGAYATHAT
450  Fish-L8-R1#450  CAGTTCGCTTGGCCTTGTAYTTRGTA
451  Fish-L8-F2#451  GGGAATCGTGAAGGACATCATHCAYGAYCC
452  Fish-L8-R2#542  CCGAAAGGTTGCTCCACNGGRTCAT

GacRhA

453  GacRhA-431U23#A453  GCGGACTTCAGCACAGCTACAGT
454  GacRhA-1353L24#A454  CAGATAAATACCTCCGGCTTGT
455  GacRhA-626U24#B455  CTGGCTGTGGCTGGGTACCTTAC
456  GacRhA-792U24#C456  CGGCAGTGATCAACACCTACCTCT
457  GacRhA-999U24#D457  CTACTGTGGGCTTCAAGTACCTTA
458  GacRhA-1353L20#D458  TAATTAACCTCCGCTTGT

SepRhC2 Race

SepRhC1-1285U22-InG-GR3#509  GCT GGC ACA TGT GTG GCT ATT G
SepRhC1-1340U22-InG-GR3#511  TCG TTT CTG AGG TTG CCT ATC T

SepRhC1-2077L19-InG-
GR3#510

Cau L8

512  Cau-L8f1-1U20#512  AGC ACA GAA AAG GTG CTG CT
513  Cau-L8f1-433L20#513  ACC AGC AAC AAC ACC AAC AA
514  Cau-L8f1-25U24#514  TCC GTC ATA TCG ACT TCG CTG AAC
515  Cau-L8f1-331L22#515  GAG ATG ACT GTG GCG TAG TTT C

Anchor-dT25

520  Anchor-dT25-VN#520  TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TVN
516  Anchor-dT25-VN-5’Phos#516  /5’Phos/TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TVN

ScpRh A - B Race

517  ScpRhAfI-GR3-1001U24#517  CCC TGG GCT TCA AGT TCC TGA CTC
518  ScpRhAfI-GR3-1208U24#518  GGG CTG TTA CAG GTT TAA TAA TGA
519  GR3-25L20#519  TAC GTA ACG GCA TGA CAG TG
521  ScpRhBfI-GR3-488U21#521  GGC GCT GTG CTC ATC TCG TTC
522  GR3-25L24#522  ACG CTA CGT AAC GCC ATG ACA GTG
523  ScpRhBfI-GR3-692U19#523  CGG CCC AAC CTG AAC AAG A

Rh-QPCR

524  ScpRhA-Q1-963U22-524  GGCATGCTCATTGGAGTAT
525  ScpRhA-Q1-1018L22-525  TGGATGCCAGATGGAGTCAG
526  ScpRhA-Q-1189U17-526  GGGTAGCTCCTGGTTG
527  ScpRhA-Q-1220L22-527  AACGGAACCTTCATTATTAAAC
528  ScpRhB-Q1-693U22-528  GGCCAAACCTGAACAGAGCAA
529  ScpRhB-Q1-821L20-529  GCCGTGGGCTGTCATCG
530  ScpRhB-Q1-570U22-530  CGCTGTGTGCTGTAATGAGTA
531  ScpRhB-Q1-668L17-531  ACTCGGGTCACAT
532  ScpRhC1-Q1-1450U22-532  ACGTCCCACCTTCATTAT
533  ScpRhC1-Q1-1487L18-533  TCCGTACGCTTTTGTC
534  ScpRhC1-Q1-657U21-534  GGCTCATGGTGATCCACACA
535  ScpRhC1-Q1-764L22-535    CATGGCAAAGACATCTGAGTGA
536  ScpRhC1-Q1-971U19-536    CGCCACTCTTGCTGGAGGT
537  ScpRhC1-Q1-1080L22-537    TGAAAGCGTGAGGTAGACATA
538  ScpRhC2-Q-1218U22-538    GGGGTGATCGAGACGTTTGAC
539  ScpRhC2-Q-1344L22-539    AGGCAACCTCAGAACGAGACCA
540  ScpRhC2-Q-1082U19-540    CGCCCTTCTTGAGAAATA
541  ScpRhC2-Q-1205L22-541    ATCAACCCCTCCCTGCTATACA

Inv-PCR
542  SepRhA-Inv-1784U21-542    CGATCGCCCTTTCCACAGTTC
543  SepRhA-Inv-829L24-543    GGAGATGGCGTAGGCAGAGCAGC
544  SepRhC1-Inv-1031U24-544    CGGGTCTCTGATCGTAGGATTCTG
545  SepRhC1-Inv-897L22-545    GGCACACGATACTGACACAGT
546  SepRhC2-Inv-1623U24-546    CACCGGTCTCTGCACTCTTGT
547  SepRhC2-Inv-1527L20-547    TATGAGCGCCTTAATGTTC

SepRhC1-GR3 RACE
548  SepRhC1-GR3-720U20-548    CGGCCAAACCTGAACCAGAG
549  SepRhC1-GR3-2239L24-549    ACGCTACGTAACGGCATGACAGTG
550  SepRhC1-GR3-n-1070U24-550    CACGCTTGGGATATGTCT
551  SepRhC1-GR3-n-2239L19-551    ACGTAACGGCATGACAGTG
552  SepRhB-sp-838U17-552    GGCCATGAACACCTAC
553  SepRhB-sp-1256L22-553    GATGGACCTGAACACCTT

L8-QPCR
556  SepL8-Q-365U22-556    CACACCGGACAGTTCTACT
557  SepL8-Q-460L19-557    GGGCTTCTCTCCAGACAG
558  FheL8-F1-Q-558    CGTTCAAGAAAGGACGGAC
559  FheL8-R1-Q-559    GGAGATGACGGTGCGTAG

Rh-QPCR
560  SepRhA-InG-Q-662U17-560    AAAACGGACATGATAAC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Snp</th>
<th>Seq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>ScpRhA-InG-Q-724L20</td>
<td>CAGAACATCCACAGAAAGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>ScpRhA-InG-Q-1028U17</td>
<td>TCCTGGCATCCAAACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563</td>
<td>ScpRhA-InG-Q-1112L20</td>
<td>CAAAGCCACAGCTACGATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>564</td>
<td>ScpRhA-InG-Q-1101U20</td>
<td>TGGATTGGCTGGTATCGTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>ScpRhA-InG-Q-1224L17</td>
<td>ACGGCAACTTCATTATT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sequence: ScpRhA-ct05.mv Range: 1 to 1410

```
CAGCCCTGTGCAGNTCTTTCATCATGACCATATAATNGAGATTNCCCTTNTTTCCATCNATGAACATTTTGTTGCCAATNTCTCAAGCTAAATGACGTGGTG
GTCGGGACACGTCNAGAAGTAGTAGTACTGNTATTANCTCTAANGGAANAAAGGTAGNTACTTTGTAAGACCACCAGTTANAGGAGTTTTGATTACTGCAACCAC
Q P C A X L H H D H N X D X L X S I X E H L V A N X L K A N D V G>
```

```
CATCCATGATCATCCATGCGTATGGAGCCACTTTGGGCTGCTGTGGCTCGAGTACTTTACCGACCGAGTTTGAAAAA
CGGACATGATAACGATGGCTC
GTAGGTACTAGTAGGTACGCATACCTCGGATGAAACCCGACCGACACCGAGTCATGAAATGGCTGGCTCAAACCTTTTGCTTAGTACTATGTACCCAGAG
```

```
TGTTTACCACTCTGACCTGTGGCCATGATGGGAACCGTCTTTCTGTGATTTTCTGCGCCAGTGTTTAACCTCGGCCATCGCTGACGCACCGCTTACCTCAG
ACAAATGGTGAGACTGGCAAAACGGTGACTACCTTTGGCGAGAAAGACCACCTACAGACCCCGGCTCAAAATTGGAGCCGTCGGCACTCGGCCGGAATGGAGTC
V Y H S D L F A M I G T V F L W M F W P S F N S A I A E P G L P Q>
```
TCGGGTTCGCTCTGGTTGGAGGGGCTGTTACAGGTTTAATAATGAAGTTGCCGTTCTGGGGTCAGCCTCCAGACCAGAACTGCTATGATGACTCTCTATA
AGCCCAAGCGGAGACCAACCTCCCCGCCAAATGTCCAAATTATTACTTCAACGGCAAGACCCCAGTCGGAGGTCTGGTCTTGACGATACTACTGAGAGATAT

CTGGGAGGTTTCTGAGGAGAAGCAGAGAAGAGACGTTGGTCACCGGATCACTCAAAGAAAAGCAGAGGTTAATATATCTGCTCACCCT
GACCCTCCAAGGACCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCAACCAGTAGTGCATGTCTTTCTGTCTCTCGACATGAACTATAGACGAGTGCCA
W  E  V  P  E  E  E  N  E  E  E  S  L  A  H  A  D  H  S  K  N  K  A  E  V  *>

CAATCCAGCTGAAAATATGAAGTAAGGACTTTCTGCTCATAATTGCAATTATATATATGTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTAAAATACATGAT
GTTAGGTCAACTCTTGTATGACTCTGATTTCTTCGATAATTGGAGGTATAGTTAATACGTTAAAAAAGAAAAAAATTTTATGTACTA

TTGCTGAACTACCCACACGCTCAATTTTTAAAACTGGTTTTGTGAGCAAATACGACTGTCATGCGGTTACGTAAGCAGGGGGA
AACGACTTGGATTTGGTTGTGACGTTAAATATGTGACAAAAAAGACTCGTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGCAGCAGTACGCAATGCGCTTTCCCGCT

61
Figure 19: Sequence results of RhAg showing coding sequence and 3’ untranslated sequence along with amino acid sequence.
Sequence: ScpRhB-ct07 Range: 1 to 1317

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGTGTGTGTGAGAGAGGCAGAACTTTTTGAGCTGGATCTTTAAAGCCCCCTCTCATCCCTCAGCCTCCTCCTCTCTGTCGCCACCGGACGCGAAGCCATG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGGACGCGGCCGCCCAACATGCAGCTGAGCTGCCGATCGCCTGCTTATCTGCAGATCATCCTCTCCTCTCGGTCGTGTGCAGTACGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 210 | 220 | 230 | 240 | 250 | 260 | 270 | 280 | 290 | 300 |
| RCGAGACGGACGCGACCAAGAATGCACAACACCAGACCACCTCTGACTATGAGAACGACTTCTACTTCCGCTACCCCAAGTTCTCAGGAYGTGCACGTGATGAT |
| X | E | T | D | A | K | E | W | H | N | Q | T | H | S | D | Y | E | N | D | F | Y | F | R | Y | P | S | F | Q | D | V | H | Y | M | I> |

| 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 360 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 400 |
| CTTCATCGGTGTTTCGCTTCTCATGACCTTCTTCCGACGGCTACGCGTTCAGCAGCGTGGGGTTCAACTTCTGATCGCAGGCTTTCCATTCCATTCAGTGGGCC |
| F | I | G | F | G | F | L | M | T | F | L | Q | R | Y | G | F | S | S | V | G | F | N | F | L | I | A | A | F | S | I | Q | W | A> |
ACGCTCATGCGGCTTCCTCCACGGATGCATGGGAGGCAAGATCCACATCGGGTGAAGYATGATCAATGCTGATTCTGTGCACTCGGCGCTGTGCTCA

TLMQGFHGMHGGKHIGVESSMINADFCCTGAVL>

TCTCGTTCCGAGCCGGTTTGGGTAAAACCAGCATCGCCATCGCTGCTGTCATGCGATATTCGAGGTCACGCTGGTCTCTGCTGTCATGAGTACGTACGTCTGCT
ISFGAVLGLKTSPVQLLVMAIFEVTLFAVNEYVLL>

GTCCGCTCTTGGGGCTAAAGATGCAGGCGCTTCATGACCACATCACACTTTGAGCGCCATTCTCCGCTATGACCAGCCAGATCTCAGCAGCGCTCTGTGACCCGAGTCTGCTCATG
SALGAKDGSGMTIHFTFGAYFGLMVTRLYRPN>

CTGAACAGAGAACCACACAGGAGCCTGGTGTACCATTTCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGATCAGCCACCATCTACTCTGGACCTGAGTGTCTCTCCTGCCAGCTCTCAACT
LNKSKHRNSSVYHSDLFAMIGTIYLMFWPSPFN>

CTGCGTGTCAGGCTCAGGGATGACCAGCACCGCGCCATGAACACTACTACTCCCTGGGCAGCTGACGTGCTCCACGTACGCGATCTCCGCTG
SAVTAHGGDDQRTHYTAMNTYYSLAACTLSYSTYGMSAI>
Figure 20: Sequence results of RhBg showing entirety of coding sequence along with 3’ and 5’ untranslated ends, also showing amino acid sequence.
Sequence: ScpRhCl-ct01.nucl Range: 1 to 886

```
10  20  30  40  50  60  70  80  90  100
TAAAGTCAGTGCANTCCANCTGCTGGTTTGACCTTGTTTGGGATCACATTGTTTGCTGTTGAGGAATATATTATCCTAGATATCATACATGCCAGAGAT

K V S A X X L L V L T L F G I T L F A V E E Y I I L D I I H A R D>

110 120 130 140 150 160 170
GCTGGAGGCTCCATGGTGATCCACACATTTGGAGCTTACTATGGTCTCTCCATCTCGTGATGCTCTATCGGCCAAACCTGAACCAGAGCGATCGCCTGC

G G S M V I H T F G A Y Y G L S I S W M L Y R P N L N Q S D R L>

210 220 230 240 250 260 270 280 290 300
AGGGCTCCGTCTATCAGTCTTTGCGATCCTCTCTGTCTGCGATGCGTCCTTGCTGCTGCTGCCACACACACCAGAGCAGTCTGGTGGATCTGCTGCTGCC

Q G S V Y H S D V F A M I G T L F L X M F W P S F N S A I T D H G D>
```
CGGGCAGGACCCGACGACCGCCCTGAAACACCTACTGTGCTCTGCTCCTACTGTGGCCGTCTCCAGACCTCCCTCCAGAGGACAGGGGAAAACTAGCGCCCGTCGTGGCTCGTCGGGACTTGTGGATGGACCGAAACCGGAGCTGACACGAGTGATGACACCGAGAGGTCGGAGAAGGTCTTCGTGCCTTTTGATG

G Q H R A A L N T Y L A L A S T V L T T V A L S S L F Q K H G K L>

410 420 430 440 450 460 470 480 490 500
NACATGGTCACATCCAGAAACGCACGCTCTTGTGGAGGTTGCTGTAGGAACGCAAGATTCACTGCTGATGCGCTACGGAAGCTCTGACTCAGTGGATAGGATNTGACTCAGAAACCGCCACTCCCATCCTGACGTCGTCCTCAAGTACGACTACGGGATGCCCAGAGACTAGCATCTTA

X M V H I Q N A T L A G G V A V G T A A E F M L M P Y G S L I V G>

510 520 530 540 550 560 570 580 590 600
TCTGGTGTGGCATCATCTCCACGCTTGGAGTATGTCTACCTACGCCTTCTTCCAGAGACCTCAAGCTCCAGAGCTGTGGAATCCATAACCTGCA

AGACGACACCTGAGTGCGACCCCTATACAGATGGAGTGGCGGAAGACCTCTTTGTGGGACTCTAGGGTCCTGTGACACCTTAGGTATTGGACGTF

F C C G I I S T L G Y V Y L T P F M E K H L K I Q D T C G I H N L H>

610 620 630 640 650 660 670 680 690 700
TGCCATGCCCGCGGCTCATAGGTTGGCATCTGGGAGCCATTACCTGCGCTGTCAACAGAGCTGTGTITTGTGATTGAGGGGCTCANGAAGACCCTTGACCACGACCCGAGTGCTCTCAAGAAATACCCATAACCTCCCGAGTNCTTTGAGGAAAATG

AMP G V I G G I V G A I T A A S A T E S V Y G I E G L X N T F D>
Figure 21: Sequence results of RhC1g showing majority of coding sequence along with the amino acid sequence.
Sequence: ScpRhC2-ct05f Range: 1 to 1860

ACATCGAAAATGACCTTCTACTTCAGATATCCAAGCTCCAGGACGTCCATGTATCTTGGTTGGATTTGGTTTCCTCATGACCTTCTGAAACGCTA
TGTAGCTTTTTACTGAAGATGAAGTCTATAGTGTTGGAAGGTCTGCTGGACGTTACAGTACTAGAAACAACCTAAACCAAAAGAGTACTGGAAAGGACTTTGCGAT
I E N D F Y F R Y P S F Q D V H V M I F V G F G F L M T F L K R Y>

CAGCTTTTGGTGCTGAGGCTTCAACTTCCTGATCGCTTTTCTGTTGTTGTGCAAGGCTGTTCCACCGCGCTCGACCACCATACC
GTCGAAAACCACGACCCGAAGTTGAAGGACTAGCGAAGGAAACCACACGTCACCCGAGAAGAGTACGTTCCGACCAAGGTGCCGAGCTGGGTTATGG
S F G A V G F N F L I A S F G V Q W A L L M Q G W F H A L D P N T>

GGAAAGATCTCTATTTGGAGTACGCTGACCTCTGCGTGCCTTGCCGCTGATCTGTATGGTCCCTCCTCGAGAAAAAGTAAGCCCTG
CCTTTCTAGAGATAACCTCATCTCTCAGACTTTGCGACTGAAAGACGCAACGGCGGCAGCATACGAGGACACGGAGAGCCCTTTTCTCCGGAC
G K I S I G V E S L I N A D F C V A G C L I A Y G A L L G K V S P>
CCAGAATGCTACCTGGGAGGTTGTTCCACAGAAGCAGACAGAGGATCTATGATCACTCCTTATGGTTCACTAATCGTGGGTTTCAGCTGTGGCATC
GGTCTTA
CGATGAGACCGTCCACCACAACGGTACCCTTGTCGTCGTCTCAAGTACTAGTGAGGAATACCAAGTGATTAGCACCCAAAGTCGACACCGTAG

ATCTCCACCTTTGGCTACCTGTATGTACGCCCCTTCTTGGAGAAATACCTAAAGCTCCAGGATACATGTGGTGTCCACAATCTGCATGCTGTTCCAGGGA
TAGAGGTGGAAACCGATGGACATACAGTGCGGGAAGAACCTCTTTATGGATTTCGAGGTCCTATGTACACCACAGGTGTTAGACGTACGACAAGTCCCT
I  S  T  F
G  Y  L  Y  V  T  P  F  L  E  K  Y  L  K  L  Q  D  T  C  G  V  H  N  L  H  A  V  P  G>

TGCTCGGGCCATCTAGGTTGCTCATCTGCCCACCTGAAGGAGGTTAGCAGGGAAGGTTGATCGAGACGTTTGACTTTGAAAGGTTGATT
ACGAGCCGCCAGTATCCACAGGCTCCACAGGATACGGTGACTTCTCCACATATCGTCCCTCCCAACTAGCTCTGCAAAGTCAAAGGTTTCACTAAA
M  L  G  G  F  I  G  A  I  V  A  A  S  A  T  E  E  V  S  R  E  G  L  I  E  T  F  D  F  E  G  D  F>

TGCAGACAGAAGTATAACCCAGGAGGCTCCAGGCTCCAGCTGTGCTGCTATGCATCTGAAAGGAGTGTCAGGGAAGGTTGATCGAGACGTTTGACTTTGAAAGGTTGATT
ACGAGCCGCCAGTATCCACAGGCTCCACAGGATACGGTGACTTCTCCACATATCGTCCCTCCCAACTAGCTCTGCAAAGTCAAAGGTTTCACTAAA
M  L  G  G  F  I  G  A  I  V  A  A  S  A  T  E  E  V  S  R  E  G  L  I  E  T  F  D  F  E  G  D  F>

ADRTVLTTQGGFQAAGTCVIAFAFGVVVGGAGVGLV>
Figure 22: Sequence results of RhC2g showing the coding sequence along with 3’ untranslated end, also showing the amino acid sequence.
Figure 23: Protein homology of the four Rh orthologues of the sculpin with RhAg from the stickleback as a baseline reference protein.
Figure 24: Nearest neighbor joining, Poisson-corrected phylogeny of fish Rh proteins with Human reference point. Genebank accession numbers shown.
Figure 25: Kyte/Doolittle hydrophilicity diagrams for RhAg, RhBg, RhC1g, and RhC2g showing the hydrophilic regions of the protein sequence and thus the most likely regions for membrane spanning for the protein.