

Georgia Southern University

Digital Commons@Georgia Southern

---

Department of Mathematical Sciences Faculty  
Publications

Department of Mathematical Sciences

---

2002

## On Inequalities and Partial Orderings for Weighted Reliability Measures

Broderick O. Oluyede

Georgia Southern University, boluyede@georgiasouthern.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/math-sci-facpubs>



Part of the [Education Commons](#), and the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Oluyede, Broderick O.. 2002. "On Inequalities and Partial Orderings for Weighted Reliability Measures." *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 7 (5): 647-661. doi: 10.1155/S1025583402000334  
<https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/math-sci-facpubs/636>

This article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematical Sciences at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Mathematical Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu).

# On Inequalities and Partial Orderings for Weighted Reliability Measures

B. O. OLUYEDE

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA*

*(Received December 3 2000; in final form February 15 2001)*

Inequalities, relations and partial ordering for weighted reliability measures are presented. Inequalities for Lévy distance measure for weighted distributions are obtained in terms of the parent distributions. Reliability inequalities and stability results are established for weighted distributions with monotone hazard and mean residual life functions.

*Keywords:* Weighted distribution functions; Stochastic Ordering; Hazard function.

*Classification:* AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 62N05.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

When observations generated from a stochastic process are recorded with some weight, the resulting distribution is a weighted distribution. Weighted models are widely used in several areas including biometry, ecology, forestry, and reliability (Gupta and Keating [2], Gupta and Kirmani [3], Patil and Rao [5]). In reliability, the so called equilibrium distribution is a weighted distribution. The purpose of this paper is to establish bounds and inequalities for weighted distributions with monotone hazard and mean residual life functions. We give new partial ordering for weighted distributions and obtain inequalities for Lévy distance

---

Corresponding author. E-mail: boluyede@gasou.edu

ISSN 1025-5834 print; ISSN 1029-242X. © 2002 Taylor & Francis Ltd  
DOI: 10.1080/1025583021000022432

measure between weighted distributions in terms of the parent distributions.

The direct comparisons of random variables or their survival functions is usually more informative than through their moments (Jain *et al.* [4]). It is therefore paramount to investigate relations between weighted random variables via some useful notions of partial ordering that relates to the original random variables or survival functions. In Section 2, some basic definitions and utility notions are presented. Section 3 contains orderings as it relates to the notions of ageing and age smoothness for weighted distribution functions. Results on Lévy distance measure as well as useful inequalities for weighted reliability measures are presented in Section 4. Section 5 contains inequalities for weighted reliability measures including the class of harmonic used better than aged in expectation (*HUBAE*), and harmonic new worse than used (*HNWUE*), increasing hazard rate (*IHR*), decreasing hazard rate (*DHR*), increasing mean residual life (*IMRL*), and decreasing mean residual life (*DMRL*) life distributions. For a review of classes of life distributions and their implications see [1], [6] and [7].

## 2 UTILITY NOTIONS AND SOME DEFINITIONS

Let  $X$  be a nonnegative random variable with absolutely continuous distribution function  $F(x)$  and probability density function (pdf)  $f(x)$ . The weighted distribution of  $X$  has a pdf given by

$$\bar{F}_W(x) = \bar{F}(x)\{W(x) + M_F(x)\}/E(W(X)), \quad (1)$$

where  $M_F(x) = \int_x^\infty \{\bar{F}(t)W'(t)dt\}/\bar{F}(x)$ , assuming  $W(x)\bar{F}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The corresponding pdf of the weighted random variable  $X_W$  is

$$f_W(x) = W(x)f(x)/E(W(X)), \quad (2)$$

$x \geq 0$ , where  $0 < E(W(X)) < \infty$ . The mean residual life function (*MRLF*) of  $X$  is given by  $\delta_F(x) = E(X - x|X > x) = \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy/\bar{F}(x)$ , for  $\bar{F}(x) > 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ . It is well known that the hazard function  $\lambda_F(x)$ , survival function  $\bar{F}(x)$  and *MRLF*  $\delta_F(x)$  are equivalent. We now give some basic and important definitions.

DEFINITION 1 Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two random variables with distribution functions  $F$  and  $G$  respectively. We say  $F <_{st} G$  if  $\bar{F}(x) \leq \bar{G}(x)$ , for  $x \geq 0$  or equivalently, for any increasing function  $\Phi(x)$ ,

$$E(\Phi(X)) \leq E(\Phi(Y)). \tag{3}$$

DEFINITION 2 The mean residual life function is decreasing in convex order if

$$\int_{x+t_1}^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)dy/\bar{F}(t_1) \geq \int_{x+t_2}^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)dy/\bar{F}(t_2), \tag{4}$$

for all  $x \geq 0, 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ . This is denoted by  $X_{t_1} \leq_c X_{t_2}$ , for all  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ .

DEFINITION 3 Let  $M_F(x) = \gamma_F(x)/\bar{F}(x)$ , where

$$\gamma_F(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)W'(y)dy, W(x) > 0,$$

and  $W'(x) = dW(x)/dx$ . The weighted mean residual life function  $M_F(x) = \gamma_F(x)/\bar{F}(x)$  is decreasing in convex order if

$$\int_{x+t_1}^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)W'(y)dy/\bar{F}(t_1) \geq \int_{x+t_2}^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)W'(y)dy/\bar{F}(t_2) \tag{5}$$

for all  $x \geq 0, 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2$ , provided  $W(x)\bar{F}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Clearly if  $W'(x) \geq 0$ , then  $M_F(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$  where  $M_F(x)$  is given above. If  $W(x)$  is increasing, then  $\lambda_{F_w}(x) \leq \lambda_F(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , where

$$\lambda_{F_w}(x) = \{W(x)\lambda_F(x)\}/\{W(x) + M_F(x)\} \tag{6}$$

is the hazard function of the weighted distribution function  $F_w$  with survival function given by (1). The mean residual life function of the weighted distribution function  $F_w$  is given by

$$\delta_{F_w}(x) = \{\bar{F}(x)\{W(x) + M_F(x)\}\}^{-1} \int_x^{\infty} \bar{F}(y)\{W(y) + M_F(y)\}dy. \tag{7}$$

DEFINITION 4 Let  $X$  be a random variable with distribution functions  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set of absolutely continuous random variables whose distribution function satisfy  $F(0), \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = 1$  and  $\text{Sup}\{x : F(x) < 1\} = \infty$ . The distribution function  $F(x)$  is said to be finitely and positively smooth if a number  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  exists such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [\bar{F}(x+t)/\bar{F}(t)] = e^{-\beta x} \quad (8)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , where  $\beta$  is called the asymptotic decay coefficient of  $X$ .

If  $X_t$  is the life time of a device at age  $t \geq 0$ , then its survival function is given by

$$\bar{F}_t(x) = P(X > x+t | X > t) = \bar{F}(x+t)/\bar{F}(t), \quad (9)$$

$x \geq 0$ .

DEFINITION 5 Let  $X$  be in  $\mathcal{F}$  with distribution function  $F(x)$  that is finitely and positively smooth with asymptotic decay coefficient  $\beta$ . Then  $X$  is said to be

(i) used better then aged (UBA) if

$$\bar{F}_t(x) \geq e^{-\beta x} \quad (10)$$

for all  $t, x \geq 0$ ;

(ii) used better than aged in expectation (UBAE) if  $E(X)$  is positive and

$$E(X_t) \geq \beta^{-1} \quad (11)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ ;

(iii) harmonic used better than aged in expectation (HUBAE) if

$$\int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy \geq \mu e^{-\beta x} \quad (12)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , where  $\mu = \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy < \infty$ ;

(iv) *harmonic new worst than used in expectation (HNWUE)* if

$$\int_0^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy \geq \mu e^{-x/\mu} \tag{13}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . The inequalities in (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) are reversed for used worse than aged (UWA), used worst than aged in expectation (UWAE), harmonic used worst than aged in expectation (HUWAE), and harmonic new better than used in expectation (HNBUE) respectively. Note that the inequality in (iii) can be stated as

$$\left\{ x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \geq \beta^{-1} \tag{14}$$

for all  $x > 0$ . That is, the integral harmonic of  $\delta_F(y)$  over  $(0, x)$  is greater than or equal to  $\beta^{-1}$ . This leads to the next definition.

**DEFINITION 6** Let  $F$  and  $G$  be two distribution functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  that are finitely and positively smooth with asymptotic decay coefficient  $\beta$ . We say  $F$  is integral harmonic mean (IHM) larger than  $G$  if

$$\left\{ x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \geq \left\{ x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_G^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \tag{15}$$

for all  $x > 0$ .

**DEFINITION 7** A distribution function  $F$  is an increasing hazard rate (IHR) distribution if  $\bar{F}(x+t)/\bar{F}(t)$  is decreasing in  $0 < t < \infty$  for each  $x \geq 0$ . Similarly, a distribution function  $F$  is a decreasing hazard rate (DHR) distribution if  $\bar{F}(x+t)/\bar{F}(t)$  is increasing in  $0 < t < \infty$  for each  $x \geq 0$ . It is well known that IHR (DHR) implies DMRL (IMRL).

**PROPOSITION 1**  $\bar{F}_W(x) = \bar{F}(x)$  if and only if  $W(x) + M_F(x) = E(W(X))$ , that is  $\bar{F}_W(x) = \bar{F}(x)$  if and only if  $W(x)$  is a constant.

### 3 SOME PARTIAL ORDER FOR RELIABILITY MEASURES

In this section, we obtain present inequalities for the purpose of comparisons of weighted distributions. This is accomplished via the use of some partial order in the moments and reliability functions of the weighted random variables. Let  $X_W$  and  $Y_W$  be weighted random variables with distribution functions  $F_W$  and  $G_W$  respectively. The corresponding original distribution are  $F$  and  $G$  respectively. For size-biased distributions,  $W(x) = x$  and the moments of  $F_W$  and  $F$  are related by

$$E_{F_W}(X^r) = E_F(X^{r+1})/\mu_F \quad (16)$$

$r \geq 1$ , where  $E_{F_W}$  and  $F_F$  denote expectations with respect to  $F_W$  and  $F$  respectively.

A partial ordering of the weighted random variables  $X_W$  and  $Y_W$  or their distribution functions  $F_W$  and  $G_W$  is given by  $E(X_W) - E(X) \geq E(Y_W) - E(Y)$  or equivalently  $\text{Var}(X)/E(X) \geq \text{Var}(Y)/E(Y)$ , where  $\text{Var}(X)$  denote the variance of the non-degenerate random variable  $X$ . If  $E(X) = E(Y)$ , the inequalities reduces to  $E(X^2) \geq E(Y^2)$ . It is well known that for weighted distribution function  $F_W$ ,  $E(X_W) - E(X) \geq (\leq 0)$  if and only if  $\text{Cov}(X, X_W) \geq (\leq 0)$ . This leads to a partial ordering of the random variables  $X_W$  and  $Y_W$ .

**DEFINITION 8** *Let  $X_{W_1}$  and  $Y_{W_2}$  be weighted random variables with distribution functions  $F_{W_1}(x)$  and  $G_{W_2}(x)$  respectively. We say  $X_{W_1}$  is larger than  $Y_{W_2}$  in weighted order if*

$$\text{Cov}(X, X_{W_1}) \geq \text{Cov}(Y, Y_{W_2}). \quad (17)$$

*This is denoted by  $X_{W_1} \geq_{lw} Y_{W_2}$ . The inequality is reversed for smaller in weighted ordering.*

**PROPOSITION 2** *For size-biased distribution functions  $X_{W_1} \geq_{lw} Y_{W_2}$  if and only if  $E(X_W) - E(X) \geq E(Y_W) - E(Y)$ .*

*Proof* This follows from the fact that

$$E(X_{W_1}) = \{\text{Var}(X)/E(X)\} + E(X). \quad (18)$$

■

Note also that if  $E(X) = E(Y)$ , then  $X_{W_1} \geq_{lw} Y_{W_2}$  if and only if

$$E(XW_1(X))/E(YW_2(Y)) \geq E(W_1(X))/E(W_2(Y)). \tag{19}$$

**THEOREM 1** *Let  $X_{W_1}$  and  $Y_{W_2}$  be weighted random variables with distribution functions  $F_{W_1}(x)$  and  $G_{W_2}(x)$  respectively. Suppose  $0 < E(W_i(X)) < \infty, i = 1, 2$ , and  $E(X) = E(Y)$ , then  $X_{W_1} \geq_{lw} Y_{W_2}$  if and only if  $W_1(x)/W_2(x)$  is increasing in  $x$ .*

*Proof* The proof follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Y, W_2(Y)) &= E(YW_2(Y))/E(W_2(Y)) \\ &= E(Yh(Y)W_1(Y))/E(h(Y)W_1(Y)), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where  $h(Y) = W_2(Y)/W_1(Y)$ .

Consequently,  $\text{Cov}(Y, W_2(Y)) \geq \text{Cov}(X, W_1(X))$  if and only if  $h(y) = W_2(y)/W_1(y)$  is increasing in  $y$ .

**THEOREM 2** *If  $\lambda_F(x) \geq \lambda_G(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , and  $W(x)$  is increasing in  $x$ , then  $\lambda_{F_w}(x) \geq \lambda_{G_w}(x)$  and*

$$\left\{ x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{F_w}^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \leq \left\{ x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{G_w}^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \tag{21}$$

for all  $x > 0$ . ■

*Proof* By virtue of the fact that  $\lambda_F(x) \geq \lambda_G(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$(\bar{F}(x))^{-1} \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)W'(y)dy \leq (\bar{G}(x))^{-1} \int_x^\infty \bar{G}(y)W'(y)dy, \tag{22}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ .

Consequently,

$$W(x)\lambda_F(x)/\{W(x) + M_F(x)\} \geq W(x)\lambda_G(x)/\{W(x) + M_G(x)\}, \tag{23}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , where  $M_F(x) = \gamma(x)/\bar{F}(x)$  and  $\gamma(x) = \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)W'(y)dy$ . It follows therefore that  $\lambda_{F_w}(x) \geq \lambda_{G_w}(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . This implies  $\delta_{F_w}(x) \leq \delta_{G_w}(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , so that  $\delta_{F_w}^{-1}(x) \leq \delta_{G_w}^{-1}(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .



Consequently,

$$\left\{x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{F_W}^{-1}(y) dy\right\}^{-1} \leq \left\{x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{G_W}^{-1}(y) dy\right\}^{-1} \quad (24)$$

for all  $x > 0$ . ■

#### 4 INEQUALITIES FOR LÉVY DISTANCE MEASURE

In this section we obtain useful inequalities and discuss the problem of Lévy distance measure between weighted distribution functions  $F_W$  and  $G_W$  respectively. Let  $H_F^*(x) = \mu_F H_F(x)$ , and  $H_G^*(x) = \mu_G H_G(x)$ , where

$$H_F(x) = \mu_F^{-1} \int_0^x W(t) dF(t)$$

and

$$H_G(x) = \mu_G^{-1} \int_0^x W(t) dG(t)$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . We assume  $H_F^*(0) = H_G^*(0) = 0$ . It is clear that  $H_F^*(x)$  and  $H_G^*(x)$  are bounded nondecreasing functions. The Lévy distance between  $H_F^*(x)$  and  $H_G^*(x)$  denoted by  $L(H_F^*, H_G^*)$  is the infimum of the numbers  $c > 0$  satisfying

$$H_F^*(x+c) \geq H_G^*(x) \geq H_F^*(x-c) - c, \quad (25)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , where  $W(x)$  is continuous, nonnegative, and nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let the distribution functions  $F$  and  $G$  of the unweighted random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty |W(x+c) - W(x)| dF(x) + \int_0^\infty |W(x+c) - W(x)| dG(x) \leq kc^\alpha, \quad (26)$$

for any  $0 \leq c \leq a$ , and some  $k = k(F, G, W)$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha(W) > 0$ . Furthermore, we assume that

$$\psi = \int_0^\infty \{(\bar{F}(x))^{1/s} + (\bar{G}(x))^{1/s}\} W'(x) dx < \infty, \quad (27)$$

for some  $s > 1$ , where  $W'(x) = dW(x)/dx$ ,  $W(x) \leq \varepsilon|x|^r \leq \varepsilon a^r$ ,  $a < \infty$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $r > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3** *The Lévy distance  $L(H_F^*, H_G^*)$  satisfies*

$$L(H_F^*, H_G^*) \leq \tau L^\beta(F, G), \tag{28}$$

where  $\beta = \min\{r, \alpha, 1 - s^{-1}\}$ ,  $\tau = \{a(\gamma + \psi) + ka^{\alpha-\beta} + \varepsilon a^{r-\beta}\} + 1$ , and

$$\gamma = \text{Sup}_{x \geq 0} \{W(x)[(\bar{F}(x))^{1/s} + (\bar{G}(x))^{1/s}]\}.$$

*Proof* Let  $x \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & H_F^*(x) - H_G^*(x - c) \\ &= \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) - \int_0^{x-c} W(t)dG(t) \\ &= \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) - \int_0^{x-c} [W(t) - W(t+c)]dG(t) - \int_0^x W(t+c)dG(t) \\ &= \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) + \int_0^{x-c} [W(t+c) - W(t)]dG(t) - \int_0^x W(t+c)dG(t) \\ &\leq \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) + \int_0^\infty [W(t+c) - W(t)]dG(t) - \int_0^x W(t+c)dG(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty [W(t+c) - W(t)]dG(t) + \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) - \int_0^{x-c} [W(t)]dG(t-c) \\ &\leq kc^\alpha + \int_0^x W(t)dF(t) - \int_0^{x-c} [W(t)]dG(t-c) \\ &= kc^\alpha + W(x)[F(x) - G(x-c)] - \int_0^x [F(t) - G(t-c)]W'(t)dt \\ &\leq kc^\alpha + \text{Sup}_{x \geq 0} \{W(x)[(\bar{F}(x))^{1/s} + (\bar{G}(x))^{1/s}]\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_0^\infty \{(\bar{F}(t))^{1/s} + (\bar{G}(t))^{1/s}\} W'(x)dx \right\} c^{1-1/s}. \tag{29} \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is straightforward. The second inequality follows from equation (26). The line before the last inequality is obtained via integration by parts and the last inequality follows from (27) and the

fact that  $(|u| + |v|)^b \leq |u|^b + |v|^b$  for  $0 \leq b \leq 1$  and for all real numbers  $u$  and  $v$ .

Noting that  $W(x) \leq \varepsilon|x|^r$  for some  $r > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have for  $x \geq 0$ , and  $|x| \leq a$ ,  $H_F^*(x) - H_G^*(x - c)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq kc^\alpha + \text{Sup}_{x \geq 0} \{W(x)[(\bar{F}(x))^{1/s}c^{1-1/s} + (\bar{G}(x))^{1/s}]\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_0^\infty \{(\bar{F}(t))^{1/s} + (\bar{G}(t))^{1/s}\} W'(x) dx \right\} c^{1-1/s} \\ &= kc^\alpha + c^{1-1/s}(\gamma + \psi) + a\varepsilon c^r. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Consequently,

$$H_F^*(x) - H_G^*(x - \tau c) \leq \tau c^\beta, \quad (31)$$

and

$$H_G^*(x + \tau c) - H_F^*(x) \leq \tau c^\beta, \quad (32)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , where we let  $0 \leq c$  decrease to  $L(F, G) \leq 1$  in (31) and (32) with  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  ■

## 5 INEQUALITIES FOR RELIABILITY MEASURES

In this section we present reliability inequalities for weighted distributions. Inequalities for the comparisons of notions of ageing including harmonic used better than aged (*HUBAE*) are established. In this regard we consider the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of distributions having IHR or DHR and present results on how close the weighted distributions  $F_W$ , with monotone weight functions are to the size-biased exponential distribution. We present bounds on the distance between a weighted distribution in the class  $\mathcal{M}$  and the size-biased distribution in terms of the moments of  $F$ .

The weighted conditional probability of survival is given by

$$F_{W,t}(x) = P(X_W > x + t | X_W > t) = \bar{F}_W(x + t) / \bar{F}_W(t), \quad (33)$$

$x \geq 0$ , where  $\bar{F}_W(x) = 1 - F_W(x)$  is the reliability function of  $X_W$ .

**PROPOSITION 3** *Let  $F$  and  $F_W$  be in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $W(x)$  is increasing and  $F$  is HNWUE, then  $\int_0^\infty \bar{F}_W(y)dy \geq \mu e^{-x/\mu}$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , where  $0 < \mu < \infty$ .*

*Proof* This result follows from the fact that the random variable  $X_W$  and  $X$  are stochastically ordered, that is  $\bar{F}_W(x) \geq \bar{F}(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , whenever  $W(x)$  is increasing in  $x$ .

**PROPOSITION 4** *Let  $F$  and  $F_W$  be distribution functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  that are finitely and positively smooth with asymptotic decay coefficient  $\beta$ . If  $W(x)$  is increasing in  $x$ , then*

$$x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{F_W}^{-1}(y)dy \geq x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \tag{34}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . Furthermore, if  $F$  is HUBAE, then  $x^{-1} \int_0^x \delta_{F_W}^{-1}(y)dy \geq \beta^{-1}$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

*Proof* Note that

$$\delta_F^{-1}(x) = - \left[ d \ln \left\{ \int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy \right\} / dx \right]^{-1}, \tag{35}$$

so that  $\int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy = - \ln \{ [\int_x^\infty \bar{F}(y)dy] / \mu \}$ . Also,  $f_W(x)/f(x)$  is increasing in  $x$ , so that  $\lambda_{F_W}(x) \leq \lambda_F(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , and  $\delta_{F_W}(x) \geq \delta_F(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . It follows therefore that

$$\int_0^x \delta_{F_W}^{-1}(y)dy \leq \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \tag{36}$$

and

$$\left\{ \int_0^x \delta_{F_W}^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \geq \left\{ \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \tag{37}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . The condition that  $F$  is HUBAE is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \int_0^x \delta_F^{-1}(y)dy \right\}^{-1} \geq \beta^{-1} \tag{38}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ , by using equation (34).

Consequently,

$$\left\{ \int_0^x \delta_{\bar{F}_w}^{-1}(y) dy \right\}^{-1} \geq \beta^{-1}$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . ■

Let the distribution function  $F$  possess moments of order  $J$ , that is  $\mu_j = E(X^j), j = 1, 2, \dots, J$  and  $\{S_j(x)\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, J$  be a sequence of decreasing functions given by

$$S_j(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{F}(x) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ \int_0^\infty \bar{F}(x+t)t^{j-1} dt / (j-1)!, & \text{if } j = 1, 2, \dots, J. \end{cases}$$

We let  $S_{-1}(x) = f(x)$  be the probability density function of  $F$  if it exists. Then  $S_j(0) = \mu^j / j!, S'_j(x) = -S_{j-1}(x), j = 1, 2, \dots, J$ . The ratio  $S_{j-1}(x) / S_j(x)$  is the hazard function of a distribution function with survival function  $S_j(x) / S_j(0)$ .

LEMMA 1 *If  $F$  has decreasing mean residual life (DMRL), then*

$$S_k(x) \leq S_k(0)e^{-x/\mu}, \tag{39}$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, J$ . ■

LEMMA 2 *If  $F$  has increasing mean residual life (IMRL), and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S_0(x) / S_1(x) = \alpha > 0$ , then*

$$S_1(x) \geq \mu S_0(x). \tag{40}$$

■

The survival function corresponding to the size-biased residual life distribution function  $\bar{F}_l(x)$  is given by

$$\bar{F}_l(x) = \bar{F}_l(x+t) \bar{F}_l(x), \tag{41}$$

where  $\bar{F}_l(x) = \bar{F}(x)\{x + \delta_F(x)\} / \mu_F$ .

**THEOREM 4** *Let  $\bar{F}_{W_t}(x)$  be an IHR distribution function with increasing weight function. Then*

$$\int_0^\infty |\bar{F}_{W_t}(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}|dx \leq 2\mu|1 + \mu/(\mu + t) - \mu_2/2\mu^2|. \tag{42}$$

*Proof* Let  $A = \{x | \bar{F}_{W_t} \leq \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}\}$ . Then for fixed  $t > 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ , we have for  $W(x)$  increasing in  $x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty |\bar{F}_{W_t}(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}|dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_A (\{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu} - \bar{F}_{W_t}(x))dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^\infty (\{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu} - \bar{F}_{W_t}(x))dx \\ & \leq 2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty (\{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu} - \bar{F}_t(x)) \right\} dx \\ & \leq 2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty (\{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu} - \bar{F}(x + t)) \right\} dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^\infty (\{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu} - S_1(x + t)/\mu)dx \\ & = 2\mu(1 + \mu/(\mu + t) - \mu_2/2\mu^2). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The first two inequalities are straightforward, the third inequality follows from the fact that  $W(x)$  is increasing, so that  $\bar{F}_{W_t}$  and  $\bar{F}_t$  are stochastically ordered. The fourth and fifth inequalities follow from Lemma 1.

**THEOREM 5** *If  $\bar{F}_{W_t}(x)$  is an DHR distribution function in  $\mathcal{F}$ , then*

$$\int_0^\infty |\bar{F}_{W_t}(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}|dx \geq 2e^{-\epsilon/\mu} \max\{0, |\eta + \phi|\}, \tag{44}$$

where  $\eta = \mu(e^{-t/\mu} - (\varepsilon/(\mu + t)) - 1)$  and  $\phi = -(\mu + t)^{-1}$  provided  $W(x)$  is an increasing weight function.

*Proof* Let  $\bar{F}_{W_t}$  be a DHR survival function, then for fixed  $t > 0$ , there exist  $\varepsilon \geq \mu$  such that  $\bar{F}_{W_t} \leq \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}$  or  $\bar{F}_{W_t} \geq \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}$  as  $x \leq \varepsilon$  or  $x \geq \varepsilon$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{\infty} |\bar{F}_{W_t}(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}| dx \\
 &= 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} (\bar{F}_{W_t}(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}) dx \\
 &\geq 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} (\bar{F}_t(x) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}) dx \\
 &\geq 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} (\bar{F}(x + t) - \{1 + x/(\mu + t)\}e^{-x/\mu}) dx \\
 &= 2S_1(\varepsilon + t) - 2\mu e^{-\varepsilon/\mu} - \{2/(\mu + t)\} \{\mu \varepsilon e^{-\varepsilon/\mu} + e^{-\varepsilon/\mu}\} \\
 &\geq 2\mu e^{-\varepsilon/\mu} \{e^{-t/\mu} - \varepsilon/(\mu + t) - 1\} - 2e^{-\varepsilon/\mu}/(\mu + t) \\
 &= 2e^{-\varepsilon/\mu} \{\mu \{e^{-t/\mu} - (\varepsilon/(\mu + t)) - 1\} - 1/(\mu + t)\} \\
 &= 2e^{-\varepsilon/\mu} (\eta + \phi). \tag{45}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality follows from the fact that  $W(x)$  is increasing, so that  $\bar{F}_{W_t}(y) \geq \bar{F}_t(y)$  for all  $y \geq 0$ . The second inequality is due to the fact that  $\bar{F}_t(y) \geq \bar{F}(y + t)$  for all  $y \geq 0$ , and for  $t > 0$ . The last inequality follow from Lemma 1.

## References

- [1] Barlow, R., Marshall, A. W., and Proschan, F., *Properties of Probability Distributions with Monotone Hazard Rate*, Ann. Math. Statist., **34**, 375–389 (1963).
- [2] Gupta, R. C., and Keating, J. P., *Relations for Reliability Measures under Length Biased Sampling*, Scan. J. Statist., **13**, 49–56, (1985).
- [3] Gupta, R. C., and Kirmani, S. N. U. A., *The Role of Weighted Distribution in Stochastic Modeling*, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods, **19** (9), 3147–3162, (1990).

- [4] Jain, K., Singh, H. and Baggai, I., *Relations for Reliability Measures of Weighted Distributions*, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods*, **18** (12), 4393–4412, (1989).
- [5] Patil, G. P., Rao, C. R., *Weighted Distributions and Size-Biased Sampling with Applications to Wildlife and Human Families*, *Biometrics* **34**, 179–189, (1978).
- [6] Ross, S. M., *Stochastic Processes*, Bell System Wiley, New York, (1983).
- [7] Shaked, M. and Shanthikumar, J. G., *Stochastic Orders and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, (1994).