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Who is GGC?

- Established in 2006
- Open-access, 4-year institution
- Rapid growth
- Core principles
  - Student engagement
  - Technology focused
  - Educational innovation
Online... in class
Blended Instruction Model

Student Engagement Activities

Innovative Technology – Student Response Systems

Lecture and Discussion
Blended Instruction Model

Homeworks, online quizzes & exams, video lectures

Discussion Boards or online chats
Motivation for Blended Instructional Model

- Institution-friendly
  - Uses classroom resources more efficiently
  - Relieves faculty from face-to-face class time

- Student-friendly
  - Allows flexible scheduling to meet family or work obligations
  - Develops more self-directed learners
  - Accommodates varying levels of computer literacy
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Research Objectives

- Compare student performance on assessment in the traditional and blended instructional model
- Assess student perceptions with the blended instructional model
College Algebra Research Study

Fall 2010
- College Algebra
- 5 sections
- 2 instructors
- N = Lost Data

Spring 2011
- College Algebra
- 4 sections
- 2 instructors
- N = 16 (MT)
- N = 5 (F)

Fall 2011
- College Algebra
- 4 sections
- 3 instructors
- N = 40 (MT)
- N = 53 (F)
Data Sources

- **Course Outcome Goals:** Performance on common mid-term and final exam questions
  - Traditional sections
  - Blended learning sections

- **Student Satisfaction:** Online anonymous surveys (In-Class Surveys)
  - Mid-semester and End-of-semester
  - Likert-scale and Open-ended
## Fall 2011 Respondents

| Classification | Freshman (68.6%)  
|                | Sophomore (19.6%)  
|                | Junior (7.8%)      
|                | Senior 0%          
|                | Other (3.9%)       |
| Age            | 18-20 (79.2%)      
|                | 21-25 (11.3%)      
|                | 26-30 (5.7%)       
|                | Above 30 (3.8%)    |
| Gender         | Female (59.6%)     
|                | Male (40.4%)       |
| Student Status | Full Time (88.7%)  
|                | Part Time (11.3%)  |
| Online Course Taken Before This Class? | None (60.4%)  
|                | 1 (26.4%)          
|                | ≥ 2 (13.2%)        |
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Course Outcome Goals

- **COG 1** – Analyze relationships using functions in multiple ways
- **COG 2** – Model situations using appropriate functions
- **COG 3** – Demonstrate critical thinking by applying problem-solving strategies to multiple-step problems
- **COG 4** – Manipulate mathematical information and concepts to solve
- **COG 5** – Use mathematical language appropriately
- **COG 6** – Use appropriate technology in problem-solving situations
## Course Outcome Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blended</th>
<th>Traditional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COG1- Analyze Relationships</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG2- Modeling</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG3- Critical Thinking</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG4- Manipulate</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG5- Math Language</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COG6- Technology</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Student Perceptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Amount of Interaction with professor</th>
<th>Quality of Interaction with professor</th>
<th>Overall satisfaction with course</th>
<th>Interest in taking another hybrid</th>
<th>Relevance of online work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid-point</strong></td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of Semester</strong></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considering the hybrid format, how do you think having so much responsibility for your own learning has affected your performance in the course?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“I could work at my own pace and learn on my own pace.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“It showed me how smart I can be when I want to be. If I studied then I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
  got a good grade – visa versa.”                                          |
| “I put my grade in my hands by doing all work assigned.”                  |
| “I am able to perform better because I have gotten in the habit of       |
  constantly checking for assignments.”                                     |
**Student Evaluations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What was the most effective part of the hybrid class?</th>
<th>What has been the least effective part of the hybrid class?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“The online MyMathLab was by far the most beneficial part of the hybrid class I used it to learn/ study/ and practice the material.”</td>
<td>“Only having this class once, maybe twice a week would have been good. Just a little help here and there.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“The worksheets the teacher gave us each week along with the videos online were a big help. Also the ability to work the problems step by step online in the help section.”</td>
<td>“The online work out of class.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I am able to perform better because I have gotten in the habit of constantly checking for assignments.”</td>
<td>“I have had to find other resources to help me.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Student Evaluations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What was the most effective part of the hybrid class?</th>
<th>What has been the least effective part of the hybrid class?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major Themes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Major Themes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Flexibility</td>
<td>• Out of class work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• MyMathLab homework assignments</td>
<td>• Online assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ownership of the course and course materials by students</td>
<td>• Learning material on one’s own</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Independent learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Video Lectures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s next?

❖ Limitations
  ❖ Improve how students are surveyed at midpoint and end-of-semester

❖ Future research
  ❖ Expand number and type of blended learning courses, for example: Pre-Calculus and Calculus
  ❖ Conduct interviews with students that have taken blended learning courses
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Questions?
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