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motion to include colleagues from the College of Information Technology on the Faculty Grievance Committee

Response:

Krug (CLASS) presented a motion to include colleagues from the College of Information Technology on the Faculty Grievance Committee, resulting in ten regular members rather than nine, and 17 alternate members rather than 15. The motion was seconded.

Marilyn Bruce (President's Office) queried whether Faculty Senate was authorized to vote on this since the Faculty Grievance Committee is not a Senate Committee.

Krug (CLASS) cited Article IV, Section 4, of the University Statutes: “The Faculty Grievance Committee shall have the authority to conduct inquiries into faculty grievances, and to present to the Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs its recommendation. The composition of the Grievance Committee, its jurisdiction and its operating procedures shall be developed by the Faculty Senate consistent with the Policy Manual of the Board of Regents and approved by the Faculty Senate and the President.”

Cyr (CLASS) noted that this change was originally brought up when we were revising the Bylaws having to do with including CIT in the Senate committees. It was mentioned that this would have to be done with the Grievance Committee as well, but it was not addressed at that time. This is not a Bylaw revision, it is a revision of the Handbook, and appropriate under Old Business. There was extensive discussion concerning the
feasibility of wording that was not as ‘college specific’ thus preventing further modification should additional colleges be created. As the number of representatives is based on the number of faculty in each college, it was deemed inadvisable to attempt that at this point. The motion to include representatives from CIT on the faculty grievance committee was approved.