

Georgia Southern University

Digital Commons@Georgia Southern

---

Faculty Senate Index

Faculty Senate

---

6-17-2005

## Evaluation of Faculty Performance & Feedback

Jean-Paul Carton

*Georgia Southern University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/faculty-senate-index>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Carton, Jean-Paul, "Evaluation of Faculty Performance & Feedback" (2005). *Faculty Senate Index*. 403.  
<https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/faculty-senate-index/403>

This motion request is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Index by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu).

*Approved by the Senate: 6/23/2005*

*Not Approved by the Senate:*

*Approved by the President: 6/29/2005*

*Not Approved by the President:*

# Evaluation of Faculty Performance & Feedback

Submitted by Jean-Paul Carton

5/17/2005

## **Motion:**

---

Insert "A written statement must be made in regard to an individual's progress toward the entire tenure, promotion and review process" after the third sentence in section 205.06C of the Faculty Handbook.

## **Rationale:**

---

This motion is the recommendation of the Faculty Welfare Committee and is the result of the charge received by the Faculty Welfare Committee to examine the motion presented by Bob Cook on behalf of Clara Krug and the Grievance Committee and passed by the Senate on 4-25-05 ("With the passing of Clara Krug's motion on amending Section 211 of the Faculty Handbook, the charge to the welfare committee is as follows: the spirit of her motion is that no faculty member, at their 3rd year, pre-tenure review, should be 'surprised' with a non-renewal of contract; further, sufficient specific feedback should be provided in years one and two that would allow a faculty member who is doing less than satisfactory work to make amends prior to coming up for pre-tenure review.")

## **Response:**

---

From Dr. Patricia Humphrey to Dr. Grube: I am pleased to report that the Senate recommends approval of the amended motion below presented by Senator Barry Balleck on behalf of the Faculty Welfare Committee at the June

23, 2005, Faculty Senate meeting.

Amended Motion: Insert "A written statement must be made in regard to the faculty member's progress toward the entire tenure, promotion and review process" after the third sentence in section 205.06C of the Faculty Handbook.