Racial Influences on the Perception of Police

Sam Omelian
Augusta University, somelian@gru.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/research_symposium
Part of the Arts and Humanities Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation
http://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/research_symposium/2016/2016/19

This presentation (open access) is brought to you for free and open access by the Programs and Conferences at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern.
It has been accepted for inclusion in Georgia Southern University Research Symposium by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu.
Global perception of police is how someone perceives the police in general. Race has consistently been a factor that predicts perception of police, with minorities having a less positive perception of police (Vogel, 2011; Wu, 2014). Viral videos of police officers who have killed unarmed African American males have incited national debate about racialized police violence and brutality. This additional spotlight and discussion surrounding police may alter college student’s perception of police. The current study examines the influence of global perception of police and race on perception of police in ambiguous situations using violence on unarmed suspects.

The following hypotheses were tested:
1. Participants will view a White police officer’s actions as more justified than an African American police officer’s actions.
2. There will be a positive correlation between global perception and perception of police in the vignettes.
3. Participants will report the civilian’s race as African American.
4. Minorities will have the most negative perception of police officers.

METHODS

Participants

- 144 undergraduate students consented
- 122 data analyzed due to attrition (n = 8) and missing the manipulation check (n = 14).
- Male (n = 27), Female (n = 94), Other (n = 1)
- White (54.1%), Non-White (45.9%)

Procedure

Undergraduate students were recruited to participate in an anonymous online questionnaire for potential extra credit. Informed consent was obtained online prior to participating in the study. Mild deception was utilized, in that participants were not informed that race would be an analysis factor. Participants were randomly assigned to the White (n = 68) or African American (n = 54) police officer instructions. Participants read 5 randomized vignettes (1 justified, 1 unjustified, 3 ambiguous) of violent police officer encounters with individuals and answered questions about the officer and individual’s behavior (z = .792). Participants then answered the global perception of police questionnaire (z = .925) to access their perception of police in general. Next, participants answered demographic questions. Last, participants were given an online debriefing form disclosing the purpose of the study. Participants were then asked if they would like to submit their data or have their data removed from the study.

RESULTS

Hypothesis 1: An independent samples t-test was performed to examine the differences between the White and the African American police officer actions in the vignettes (M = 37.76, SD = 8.54; M = 38.24, SD = 8.06). No significant difference was found, t(120, 2) = -13, p = .76. This indicates there were no significant differences in perception of the police officers due to the police officer’s race. Hypothesis 1 was not supported.

Hypothesis 2: A bivariate correlation examined the relationship between the perception of police in the vignette and global perception. The results show there was a small, but significant, correlation between the participant’s global perception of police and their perception of the police within the vignettes provided, r = .29, n = 122, p < .001. Hypothesis 2 was supported.

Hypothesis 3: Participants reported their perception of the civilian’s race within each vignette. Participants’ responses of “likely” and “very likely” were grouped together as an indicator of perceived race, and then an overall perception was calculated based on frequency throughout the vignettes. Participants identified the individual as African American (32.38%) most frequently. Next, individuals were identified as Hispanic/Latino (26.35%), White (24.08%), Asian (8.77%), and then Native American (8.42%). Hypothesis 3 was supported.

Hypothesis 4: An independent sample t-test was performed to examine differences of global perception of police between those who identified as White and Non-White. The results show a significant difference between the White and Non-White participants’ global perception of police, t (120, 2) = 5.38, p < .01. The results show White participants had a more positive global perception of police (M = 47.70, SD = 8.17) than the Non-White participants (M = 39.23, SD = 9.20). Hypothesis 4 was supported.
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