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Complex Adaptive Systems

Complex adaptive systems (CAS) serve as an overarching i²STEM reasoning modality. CAS encourages students to reason within a larger system and to recognize how the interaction of separate parts creates a whole. CAS reasoning is intended to develop students who are capable of systematic thinking, can generate and evaluate alternative solutions, and can deal with the complexity and uncertainty that accompanies complex systems in the real world.

Scientific Model-Based Reasoning

The Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and the Common Core Math Standards (CCSS-M) identify scientific modeling & model-based reasoning as essential processes in STEM. Model-based reasoning involves the development & use of varying forms of representations and subsequent feedback and redesign. Modeling enhances science education by broadening processes beyond conducting experiments.

Computational Reasoning

Computational reasoning (CR) is the thought processes involved in formulating problems so their solutions can be represented as computational steps and algorithms (Grover & Pea, 2013). CR includes a broad range of mental tools and concepts from computer science that help solve problems, design systems, understand human behavior, & engage computers to assist in automating a wide range of intellectual processes.

Introduction

An overarching goal of the Institute for Interdisciplinary STEM Education (i²STEM) at Georgia Southern University is to improve student engagement & learning outcomes in STEM courses through immersion in authentic, real-world, problem-based & place-based educational settings. To accomplish this goal, i²STEM has partnered with 10 middle & high schools located in Georgia to incorporate a series of reasoning modalities developed to meet the requirements of the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) to develop STEM literate citizens capable of making informed decisions, and to open up opportunities to fill the growing need for STEM professionals. Each reasoning modality reflects how STEM experts think, providing guidance for teacher practice and student learning. Each reasoning modality corresponds to a particular area of STEM (Science, Technology, Education, Math) while reframing student inquiry as an interdisciplinary exploration of complex real-world problems. Through the utilization of the developed reasoning modalities, i²STEM is examining the impact of interdisciplinary STEM on teacher practice and student ability to engage in 21st century reasoning practices. Currently, i²STEM is engaged in a mix of ongoing literature reviews to continue developing and strengthening the theoretical underpinnings supporting each modality. Thus far, pre-post data collected through the ALSQ student assessment for the fall of 2015 shows positive growth in student engagement as well as growth in interest in STEM fields after implementation.

Scientific Reasoning

An overarching goal of the Institute for Interdisciplinary STEM Education (i²STEM) at Georgia Southern University is to improve student engagement & learning outcomes in STEM courses through immersion in authentic, real-world, problem-based & place-based educational settings. To accomplish this goal, i²STEM has partnered with 10 middle & high schools located in Georgia to incorporate a series of reasoning modalities developed to meet the requirements of the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) to develop STEM literate citizens capable of making informed decisions, and to open up opportunities to fill the growing need for STEM professionals. Each reasoning modality reflects how STEM experts think, providing guidance for teacher practice and student learning. Each reasoning modality corresponds to a particular area of STEM (Science, Technology, Education, Math) while reframing student inquiry as an interdisciplinary exploration of complex real-world problems. Through the utilization of the developed reasoning modalities, i²STEM is examining the impact of interdisciplinary STEM on teacher practice and student ability to engage in 21st century reasoning practices. Currently, i²STEM is engaged in a mix of ongoing literature reviews to continue developing and strengthening the theoretical underpinnings supporting each modality. Thus far, pre-post data collected through the ALSQ student assessment for the fall of 2015 shows positive growth in student engagement as well as growth in interest in STEM fields after implementation.

Data Collection & Results

- Student feedback was collected using the ALSQ, a self-report questionnaire that includes 36 items to assess students’ attitudes on intrinsic motivation, self-management/self-regulation, intent to persist, problem-solving, and implementation activities.
- Students showed statistically significant increases in Intrinsic Motivation, Self-Management/Self-Regulation skills, and Intent to Persist.
- Largest gains were observed in Intrinsic Motivation, suggesting that the program was particularly effective in enhancing students’ interests and motivation to learn & derive value from the STEM material.

Overall-Constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Paired Samples t-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic Motivation</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Management/Self-Regulation</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intent toPersist</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem Solving</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * p<0.05, ** p<0.01. Negative words are removed for ease of comprehension.