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Promising Practices for University Supervisors of Candidates Enrolled in Yearlong Clinical Experiences

Toni Strieker, Professor in Department of Secondary & Middle Grades Education
Megan Adams, Assistant Professor, Department of Secondary & Middle Grades Education
New Problem: Triangulation During Clinical Experiences

(Bullough & Draper, 2004)
 Desired Flexible Triad Clinical Experience

Developmental Supervision

Teacher Candidate

University Supervisor

Collaborating Teacher

Doepker, 2008
Theorization of Approach

- Situated Learning (Dewey, 1904) in Yearlong Clinical Experiences
- Collaborative Inquiry & Co-generative Dialogue (Tobin & Roth, 2010)
- Pre-service Co-teaching (Roth & Tobin, 2002; Bacharach, Heck & Dahlberg, 2010)
- Partnership Principles: Conceptual Language & Framework for Relationships (Knight, 2007)
- Developmental Supervision: (Glickman, Ross, Gordan-Ross, 2014)
- Pedagogical Practices for Supervisors
  - Goal-setting (Knight, van Nieuwerburgh, 2012)
  - Facilitation of 3-Way Conversations (McLaughlin, Talbert, 2006)
  - Video Learning Teams (Knight, 2014)
PSCT is a collaborative approach that provides clinical experiences for teacher candidates who are supported by collaborating teachers who serve as on-going mentors and who model and share all responsibilities for the teaching and learning of a group of P-12 students. Throughout the experience, the teacher candidate and collaborating teacher establish a fully functioning co-taught classroom in which they share instructional space, materials and other resources. PSCT is an evidence-based approach that focuses simultaneously upon the development of the teacher candidate and the learning of P-12 students. Throughout the clinical experience, co-teachers are encouraged to co-reflect upon the teaching and learning process, and engage in co-generative dialogue to find creative solutions to complex problems of classroom practice” (Strieker, Shaheen, Digiovanni, & Hubbard, 2013).
Cycle of Effective PSCT

Toni Strieker, Professor of SMGE
Woong Lim, Assistant Professor of SMGE

**Cycle of Effective Pre-Service Co-Teaching**
- Partnership Principles
- Mentor Modeling
- Reflection on Data – Student & Classroom
- Co-generative Dialogue & Problem-solving
- Common Core State Standards
- Academic Language
- Classroom Management
- Rules & Routines
- Differentiated Instruction
- Intentional Assessment
- Models of PSCT – Roles & Responsibilities of Co-teachers

**Student Learning**

**Co-Assessment**
- Common Core State Standards
- Benchmarks of Behavior
- Pre-assessments
- Progress Monitoring
- Formative Assessment
- Models of PSCT

**Co-Instruction**
- Partnership Principles
- Mentor Modeling
- Reflection on Teaching & Learning
- Co-generative Dialogue & Problem-Solving
- Common Core State Standards
- Student Engagement
- Higher-order Thinking
- Differentiated
- “Teaching at the Elbow” Models of PSCT
Developing Substantial Partnerships

- Reciprocity
- Praxis
- Equality
- Reflection
- Choice
- Dialogue
- Voice

Knight, 2007
Communication Approaches

**EXPERT**

- Candidate: high need for support
- Supervisor: high level of input
- High frequency of observations
- Communication: present, explain, direct alternatives

**DIRECTIVE CONTROL APPROACH**

- Candidate needs opportunities for learning & exploration
- Supervisor: high level of input
- Average frequency of observations
- Communication: present, problem-solve, advise, describe alternatives

**PARTNERSHIP**

- Candidate needs opportunities for learning & exploration
- Supervisor: high level of input
- Average frequency of observations
- Communication: present, problem-solve, advise, describe alternatives

**COLLABORATIVE APPROACH**

- Candidate: high need for support
- Supervisor: high level of input
- High frequency of observations
- Communication: present, explain, direct alternatives

**NONDIRECTIVE APPROACH**

- Candidate needs opportunities to direct own learning
- Supervisor: low level of input
- Average frequency of observations
- Communication: listen, reflect, clarify, encourage

**FACILITATOR**

Developmental Supervision –
A University Approach

- Adjustment of communication based upon developmental needs of candidate
- GROW: Student engagement & learning
- 3-way dialogue
- Theorization of practice
- Co-generative dialogue
Method

- Reflective Self-study

- Qualitative methods to describe and analyze how developmental supervisory practices, coupled with collaborative inquiry, could be used by supervisors of pairs of candidates and collaborating teachers to positively impact the candidates’ capacity for self-directed learning.
Our use of self-study

- Different from most self-study
- Allows us to use the self-study approach to reflect upon a college-level practice
- Allowed us to use a new lens
- The methodology used was an adaptation of case study
Method

PARTICIPANTS

- 15 University Supervisors
- 41 pairs of collaborating teachers and candidates

DATA SOURCES

- GROW
- Observation Protocols
- Reflections
The coding instrument was developed by one of the researchers using literature on case study and best practices in developmental supervision.

Draft was revised in collaboration with a second researcher.

Pilot round allowed all researchers to use the standard form. Revisions were made following to form the Coding Instrument for Supervisory Data (CISD).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representative Data</th>
<th>Codes</th>
<th>Categorizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“[The teacher] realizes that she quickly calls on students who have their hands</td>
<td>Assessment of Current Reality</td>
<td>Goal Setting – Did the collegial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raised and doesn’t pull in students that are not volunteering to answer. So it is</td>
<td>or the Evidence</td>
<td>supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the same students who raises their hands for every question.”</td>
<td></td>
<td>facilitate each step in goal-setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“[Collegial Supervisor] invited CT to share her ideas with [TC] how to break</td>
<td>Collegial Supervisor clearly</td>
<td>Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tasks down into smaller steps and to work in smaller groups.”</td>
<td>involved CT and TC in discussion</td>
<td>Approaches – Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Both [CT] and [TC] focused on the goal of students being able to state if the</td>
<td>The TC and CT intentionally</td>
<td>Content of Facilitation – Co-planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angle was an acute or obtuse angle and to reason why.”</td>
<td>worked together and created</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>learning objectives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Promising Practice #3: Systematic Candidate Goal-setting & Monitoring

- **G**oal
- **R**eality
- **O**pportunities & options
- **W**ho, what, where, when & why?
**GROW**

| Supervisor: __________________ | Date: __________ | Program Area: __________________ |
| Teacher Candidate: __________ | Collaborating Teacher: __________ | School: __________________ |

**Goal:** Check One of the following. Planning ___  Assessment ___  Instruction ___  Other: ____________________

(State goal and desired outcome)

**Reality Assessment:** (Current situation, Method of data collection)

**Opportunities and Alternatives:** (Strategies, option, methods, approaches)

**Who?** (Teacher Candidate, Collaborating Teacher, Developmental Supervisor)  
**What?**  
**When? Where?**

Why do you think that this will work? (Theory related to practice, research base)

Candidate’s Professional Growth Statement:

---

Findings

- Findings indicated a collegial supervision model that is highly impactful for pre-service teachers and their collaborating teachers and schools.
- Also allowed for a great deal of future study on the discourse of those candidates and their collaborating teachers.
- Caused us to question the term “co-teaching”; the data indicates that our teaching candidates are truly co-teaching.
Collegial Supervision Model

**SUPERVISOR AS EXPERT**

- Collegial Supervisor acts directly to modify the practice of the teacher candidate.
- Typically occurs when candidate needs assistance with classroom or behavior management.
- Candidate may need additional feedback sessions.

- Candidate needs information and time to explore new ideas, practices.
- Collegial supervisor provides detailed guidance to assist candidates in making connections and applying knowledge and skills from coursework to clinical experience to justify instructional decisions.
- Collegial supervisor & Collaborating teacher advise candidate, provide alternative solutions, directly guide all conversation.

**SUPERVISOR AS FACILITATOR**

- Command Control
  - Co-planning
  - Co-teaching
  - Co-assessing
  - Co-reflection

- Information Resource
  - Partnership
  - Principles
  - Goal-setting & Reflection
  - Self-directed Learning

---

Maximizing Teacher Development In Environment Of High Accountability
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