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Why Are Ring Galaxies Interesting?

James L. Higdon$^1$ and Sarah J. U. Higdon$^1$

Abstract. Compared with ordinary spirals, the ISM in ring galaxies experiences markedly different physical conditions and evolution. As a result, ring galaxies provide interesting perspectives on the triggering/quenching of large scale star formation and the destructive effects of massive stars on molecular cloud complexes. We use high resolution radio, sub-mm, infrared, and optical data to investigate the role of gravitational stability in star formation regulation, factors influencing the ISM’s molecular fraction, and evidence of peculiar star formation laws and efficiencies in two highly evolved ring galaxies: Cartwheel and the Lindsay-Shapley ring.

1 Introduction

Ring galaxies are dramatic examples of galaxy transformation caused by a remarkably simple interaction. Observations and numerical models (Lynds & Toomre 1976) argue persuasively that these objects are formed by the near central passage of a companion through a spiral along the rotation axis. The brief additional gravitational force induces epicyclic motions throughout the disk, which act to form radially propagating orbit-crowded rings of gas and stars. The concentration of the ISM into the expanding ring (at the disk’s expense) is nearly total and can last for $\approx 400$ Myrs. It is this radical rearrangement of the spiral’s ISM that is responsible for their interesting star forming properties.

2 Star Formation Rates in Ring Galaxies

Star formation rates ($SFR$) in ring galaxies are typically $\approx 5 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, i.e., somewhat enhanced over large spirals but far below the $SFR$s inferred in LIRGs (cf. Appleton & Struck 1987, Higdon 1995, Higdon & Wallin 1997, Sanders & Mirabel 1996). However, the distribution of star formation is unique, being completely restricted to the expanding rings while simultaneously extinguished over the interior disk. Both effects are evident in the Lindsay-Shapley ring ($L-S$, hereafter) and Cartwheel, shown in Figure 1. A weak nuclear source is responsible for $\lesssim 5\%$ of the star formation in both. The star forming rings are narrow, with slices showing very sharp radial cutoffs in H$\alpha$ emission. This implies that OB stars remain in the rings for their Main Sequence lifetimes, which constrains the stellar velocity dispersion of the rings: $\sigma_\star < \Delta r_{ring}/\tau_{OB} \approx 45 \, km \, s^{-1}$. The weak line emission that is sometimes found in ring galaxy disks is post-starburst in origin, i.e., arising from aging HII complexes powered by A-stars.
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Figure 1. A polychromatic view of two ring galaxies: (top) the L-S ring galaxy ($SFR = 8 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, $D_{\text{ring}} = 42 \, kpc$) and (bottom) the Cartwheel ($SFR = 21 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$, $D_{\text{ring}} = 40 \, kpc$). Massive star formation is restricted to the rings, which dominate emission at H$\alpha$, far-infrared, and radio continuum. The right-most column shows the neutral atomic ISM to be likewise confined to the rings, with low density gas filling the interior (Higdon et al. 2010).

3 The Interstellar Medium in Ring Galaxies

3.1 Atomic Hydrogen

$L-S$ and Cartwheel have been mapped in HI (Figure 1). In such large systems $\approx 95\%$ of the atomic ISM is concentrated in the rings, resulting in high HI surface densities: $\Sigma_{\text{HI}} = 30 - 120 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-2}$. At the same time, their interiors are very gas poor, with $\Sigma_{\text{HI}} \lesssim 2 \, M_\odot \, pc^{-2}$. HI line-widths are typically narrow ($\sigma_{\text{HI}} < 10 \, km \, s^{-1}$). Kinematic analysis of the HI yields the ring’s expansion speed ($v_{\text{exp}}$), and thus an estimate of the ring galaxy’s age ($R_{\text{ring}} / v_{\text{exp}}$). From their measured radii and $v_{\text{exp}}$ (53$\pm$9 and 154$\pm$10 km $s^{-1}$, respectively), the rings in Cartwheel and $L-S$ are $\approx 250$ and $140$ Myrs old (Higdon 1996; Higdon et al. 2010). The $SFR$ in ring galaxies correlates with their peak $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$, which explains why young systems (e.g., NGC 2793 with age $\approx 50$ Myr) have such low $SFR$: they are still organizing their ISM into a dense ring.

3.2 Molecular Gas in the $L-S$ Ring Galaxy

Stars form in cold molecular gas, so HI data can only tell part of the story. Ring galaxies are not very luminous in the rotational transitions of $^{12}\text{CO}$, a fact often attributed to reduced metallicities from snow-plowing outer disk gas into the ring (cf. Horrelou et al. 1995). However $L-S$’s ring possesses $\approx$ solar abundances (Few et al. 1982), which together with its large angular size, made it an ideal target for the $SEST$ (Higdon et al. 2010). We observed 16 positions in $L-S$ in $^{12}\text{CO}(J=1-0)$ and $^{12}\text{CO}(J=2-1)$ transitions: 14 on the ring and one each centered on the nucleus and enclosed disk. Figure 2 shows CO detections in 9/14 ring positions, defining two molecular arcs in the ring’s north and southwest. The
latter coincides with the galaxy’s peak $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{H}_\alpha}$. L-S’s ring is dominated by atomic rather than molecular gas. For a Galactic $^1$CO-$^2$H$_2$, we find $M_{^2}$/$M_{\text{HI}} = 0.06 \pm 0.01$. Astonishingly, a typical dwarf galaxy has nearly as much $^2$H$_2$ as L-S’s ring (Leroy et al. 2005). The molecular gas fraction ($f_{\text{mol}} = M_{^2}$/$(M_{\text{HI}} + M_{^2})$) varies considerably around the ring, and is lowest in the ring’s southwest quadrant ($f_{\text{mol}} \lesssim 0.03$ at P9-P11), where both $\Sigma_{\text{HI}}$ and $\Sigma_{\text{H}_\alpha}$ peak.

The $^{12}$CO and HI line profiles in L-S’s ring can be extremely broad ($\sigma_{\text{gas}} = 250 - 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), with multiple velocity components or broad tails evident. It is not clear if this represents out-of-plane gas motions or caustics, though preliminary numerical models suggest the latter (J. Wallin, private communication).

4 Star Formation Processes in the Ring

4.1 The Role of Gravitational Instabilities

The onset of robust star formation in gas disks can be described in terms of local gravitational stability parameters, e.g., $Q_{\text{gas}} = \sigma_{\text{gas}}\kappa/\pi G \Sigma_{\text{gas}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{gas}}$ is the gas velocity dispersion and $\kappa$ is the disk’s epicyclic frequency (Quirk 1972).
Regions of the disk where $Q_{\text{gas}} < 1$ are Jeans unstable and prone to collapse, leading to the formation of molecular cloud complexes and eventually stars. The “bead on a string” morphology evident in Hα (Figure 1) suggests that the rings are gravitationally unstable. Are they?

The Cartwheel’s ring is, even ignoring its (unknown) molecular component. However, $Q_{\text{gas}} > 1$ essentially everywhere in L-S’s ring (Figure 3), due to the large $\sigma_{\text{gas}}$. This ignores, however, the stellar component’s contribution to $Q$. Using IRAC 4.5 $\mu$m data we find that the stellar mass surface density ($\Sigma_*$) everywhere exceeds that of gas (i.e., $\Sigma_* > \Sigma_{\text{HI}} + \Sigma_{\text{H}_2}$). A gravitational stability parameter combining stars and gas can be written $Q_{\text{tot}} = \frac{\kappa}{\pi G} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{\text{gas}}}{\sigma_{\text{gas}}} + \frac{\Sigma_*}{\sigma_*} \right)^{-1}$ (Wang & Silk 1994), where the ring’s radial Hα profile constrains $\sigma_* \lesssim 45$ km s$^{-1}$. Figure 3 shows that when the stellar component is included, $Q_{\text{tot}} < 1$ and L-S’s ring is everywhere Jeans unstable. Conversely, the interior disks in both satisfy $Q_{\text{tot}} > 1$, i.e., these regions are stable against the growth of gravitational instabilities and star formation is effectively quenched.

### 4.2 Evidence for Peculiar Star Formation Laws

A strong correlation exists between SFR/area ($\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$) and the surface density of cold gas in galaxies, i.e., the “Schmidt Law”, written $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} = \beta \Sigma_{\text{gas}}^N$. In M 51 for example, H$_2$ and SFR/area obey $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \propto \Sigma_{\text{H}_2}^{1.37\pm0.03}$ (Figure 4). HI is uncorrelated with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, implying that it is a photo-dissociation product and not directly involved in the star formation process. We show star formation laws derived for the Cartwheel and L-S in the same figure. Both are peculiar. Unlike M 51, atomic gas in the Cartwheel correlates with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ in most of the ring, though with a small $N$. The exponent becomes negative (i.e., anti-correlated) where $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$ peaks. Would this peculiarity disappear if the molecular component were available? Not if L-S’s ring is any guide: atomic gas obeys M 51’s molecular Schmidt Law, but its cold molecular ISM is uncorrelated with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$, which is
completely the opposite from M 51. How can H$_2$ be so apparently disconnected from star formation?

Figure 4. Star formation laws in the rings of L-S (left) and Cartwheel (right) relative to M 51 (Kennicutt et al. 2007). H$_2$ in M 51 (black & green triangles) obeys a Schmidt Law, but HI (blue) is uncorrelated with $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}$. In L-S the opposite is true: HI (red squares) obeys a Schmidt Law but H$_2$ is uncorrelated (red circles & arrows). In Cartwheel atomic gas can be correlated (filled purple squares) or anti-correlated (empty squares) with SFR/area.

4.3 Enhanced Star Formation Efficiencies

Star formation efficiency ($SFE$) is the yield of massive stars per unit H$_2$ mass. Young et al. (1996) find nearly constant $SFE$ ($\equiv \log(L_{\text{H}\alpha}/M_{\text{H}_2})$) from S0 to Scd ($\approx -1.8$ L$_{\odot}$/M$_{\odot}$). Later types show higher $SFE$, peaking at $-0.8$ L$_{\odot}$/M$_{\odot}$ for Irr. Detecting molecular gas in L-S’s ring allows the first estimate of $SFE$ in a ring galaxy. We find $SFE = -0.7 \pm 0.1$ L$_{\odot}$/M$_{\odot}$, i.e., similar to an Irr and an order of magnitude higher than the (presumably $\sim$Sa) progenitor. This result depends, of course, on our ability to reliably measure H$_2$ in the ring using $^{12}$CO emission.

5 Why is the Molecular Gas Fraction So Low?

The rings are gas rich but seemingly H$_2$ poor. Since HI rapidly converts into H$_2$, the low $f_{\text{mol}}$ cannot signal the consumption of the molecular gas reservoir. Nor can metallicity effects by themselves be responsible, at least in L-S. The gas phase pressure ($P_{\text{ISM}}$) might be a factor, as it directly affects the HI to H$_2$ conversion rate. Elmegreen (1993) finds $f_{\text{mol}} \approx (P_{\text{ISM}}/P_{\odot})^{2.2}(\chi/\chi_{\odot})^{-1}$, where $\chi$ is the ambient UV-field. For L-S’s ring we estimated $\chi$ with Spitzer and GALEX images, and $P_{\text{ISM}} \approx (\pi G/2)(\Sigma_{\text{gas}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{gas}}^2 \Sigma_{\Sigma})$, with the ring’s stellar surface mass density derived with IRAC 4.5 $\mu$m data. We find very high gas phase pressures, with $P_{\text{ISM}}/P_{\text{ISM,local}} \approx 30 - 400$, leading us to expect $f_{\text{mol}} \approx 1$ everywhere. L-S’s ring should be dominated by molecular gas. Why isn’t it?
We used photo-dissociation models in [Allen et al. (2004)] to estimate average gas volume densities \( (n) \) in L-S’s ring given its \( \Sigma_{\text{HI}} \) and UV-field. In the northern half of the ring, \( n = 100 - 300 \, \text{cm}^{-3} \), implying an ISM dominated by the Cold Neutral Medium (CNM, \( T = 50 - 100 \, \text{K} \)), i.e., the precursor of cold molecular clouds. In the southwest, where \( \Sigma_{\text{SFR}} \) and \( \Sigma_{\text{HI}} \) are both much higher, the models give \( n \approx 2 \, \text{cm}^{-3} \), which taken at face value, points to an ISM dominated by the Warm Neutral Medium (WNM, \( T \approx 7000 \, \text{K} \)). How can you form stars out of this?

We believe the answer lies in fundamental differences in the environments of rings and spiral arms. Consider that molecular clouds spend \( \approx 20 \, \text{Myrs} \) in the arms of grand design spirals like M 51, whereas the ISM is confined in rings, equally as dense and actively forming stars, for \( \approx 200 \, \text{Myr} \). While molecular cloud growth is enhanced in the high \( \Sigma_{\text{gas}} \) rings, the destructive effects of SNe and OB stars are also amplified. A dominant WNM might be expected as the molecular clouds become fragmented and “over-cooked” by shocks and sustained UV-fields. CO might in this case retreat to the inner-most cloud cores resulting in weak \( I_{\text{CO}} \) and underestimates of \( \Sigma_{\text{H}_2} \). This might explain the peculiar Schmidt Laws and enhanced SFE. At the same time, higher cloud collision rates might favor the formation of unusually large molecular cloud complexes and more efficient star formation. More work remains though results from Cartwheel and L-S are intriguing.

6 Summary and Future Prospects

The distribution and gravitational stability of a ring galaxy’s ISM changes dramatically as it evolves. Further, the long confinement in the dense star forming ring is expected result in a fragmented and largely atomic ISM, which may explain the peculiar star formation laws, efficiencies, and \( f_{\text{mol}} \) observed in the two largest and most evolved ring galaxies, Cartwheel and L-S. Future progress will require sensitive and high resolution assays of the molecular ISM in these and other ring galaxies, which will be possible with ALMA and CARMA.

References