Georgia Southern University Georgia Southern Commons

Armstrong Faculty Senate Agenda

Armstrong Faculty Senate

9-8-2008

September 8, 2008 AASU Faculty Senate Agenda

Armstrong State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/armstrong-fs-agenda

Recommended Citation

Armstrong State University, "September 8, 2008 AASU Faculty Senate Agenda" (2008). *Armstrong Faculty Senate Agenda*. 76. https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/armstrong-fs-agenda/76

This agenda is brought to you for free and open access by the Armstrong Faculty Senate at Georgia Southern Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Armstrong Faculty Senate Agenda by an authorized administrator of Georgia Southern Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu. The Faculty Senate of Armstrong Atlantic State University will meet in University Hall, room 156, at 12:10 p.m., Monday September 8, 2008.

AGENDA

I. Call to Order

Kevin Hampton

- II. Approval of Minutes
- III. Comments A. Flow Chart Presentation on Order of Operations Pat Thomas & Jewell Anderson

IV. New Business

Kevin Hampton A. Final Report on e-FACE from the 07-08 Evaluation Committee (see Appendix A)

B. Committee Chair Reports

- 1. Academic Appeals
- 2. Educational Technology
- 3. Faculty Development
- 4. Faculty Welfare
- 5. Honors Advisory
- 6. International
- 7. Interdisciplinary Studies
- 8. Library
- 9. Planning, Budget, & Facilities
- 10. Research & Scholarship
- 11. Student Success
- 12. Writing
- 13. Graduate Affairs
- 14. University Curriculum

V. Announcements

VI. Adjournment

Lee Williams Linda Wright Nancy Remler Hans-Georg Erney David Lake Ned Rinalducci Teresa Winterhalter Joan Schwarts David Wheeler Brad Sturz Becky da Cruz Judy Dubus Chris Henricks **Rick McGrath**

Appendix A

Faculty Number	Paper & Pencil Quality of Instruction Rating ¹	Paper & Pencil Percent Response Rate	E-FACE Quality of Instruction Rating ¹	E-FACE Percent Response Rate
1	3.00	45%	3.38	$\begin{array}{c} 47\%\\ 35\%\\ 41\%\\ 36\%\\ 42\%\\ 34\%\\ 35\%\\ 8\%\\ 22\%\end{array}$
2	1.87	61%	3.30	
3	3.67	79%	3.76	
4	2.95	80%	2.60	
5	2.96	73%	2.50	
6	3.10	45%	3.42	
7	3.56	72%	3.78	
8	2.31	40%	3.00	
9	3.52	62%	3.62	
10	2.87	73%	3.08	<u>36%</u>
Average	2.98	63.0%	3.24	33.6%
Standard deviation	0.56	14.9%	0.45	11.1%

Faculty Evaluation Committee - Report on E-FACE pilot study

Notes:

1. For the question "Which of the following most closely reflects your judgment about the quality of instruction you have received in this course?"

_____excellent, _____very good, _____good, _____fair, ____poor

The percent of students responding "excellent" was multiplied by 4, the percent of students responding "very good" was multiplied by 3, the percent of students responding "good" was multiplied by 2, the percent responding "fair" was multiplied by 1, and the percent responding "poor" was multiplied by 0 to arrive at the individual faculty member's "quality of instruction rating." The individual quality of instruction ratings were then averaged.

- 2. The Quality of Instruction rating did not differ significantly between paper and pencil and e-FACE, $\underline{t}_{(9)} = -1.57$, $\underline{p} > .05$.
- 3. The response rate differed significantly between paper and pencil (Mean = 63.0%) and e-FACE (Mean = 33.6%), $\underline{t}_{(9)} = 6.47$, $\underline{p} < .0001$.