Grant Award Winners

Congratulations to:

Bridget Melton, from the Department of Health and Kinesiology in the College of Health and Human Sciences, who received a research grant from the University System of Georgia Board of Regents.

Angela Peden, from the Department of Community Health in the Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, who received a research grant from the Georgia Association of Emergency Medical Services, Inc.

Abbas Rashidi, from the Department of Mechanical Engineering in the College of Engineering and Information Technology, who received a research grant from the Engineering Information Foundation.

William Mase, from the Department of Health Policy and Management in the Jiann-Ping Hsu College of Public Health, who received a research grant from the Georgia Department of Community Health.

Seonghoon Kim, from the Department of Civil Engineering and Construction Management in the College of Engineering and Information Technology, who received a research grant from the Georgia Institute of Technology.

Laura Regassa, from the Department of Biology in the College of Science and Mathematics, who received a research grant from the National Science Foundation.

Carolyn Altman, from the Garden of the Coastal Plain, who received a research grant from the University System of Georgia Board Regents.

Missy Bennett, from the Department of Teaching and Learning in the College of Education, who received a research grant from the University of Georgia.
Conference Center on the Georgia Southern University campus. Faculty and students across multiple disciplines from Georgia Southern, as well as regional colleges and universities, are invited to participate.

Participants will be notified if their abstracts were accepted by March 14, 2016. The registration deadline is April 4, 2016. To register for the symposium, please click here.

8 Key Questions Considered by Reviewers of Grant Proposals

Falk-Krzesinski and Tobin (2015) compared research grant proposal review criteria across 10 US federal agencies and found all review criteria include eight key questions when evaluating a research proposal. These key questions address the significance and novelty of the project, the approach used, the individuals involved, the environment and resources that are available, and the overall impact the project may have. Keeping these questions in mind can help research administrators, research development offices, and proposal writers decipher proposal criteria which can help facilitate the development of proposals. To read about this in more detail, please click here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Question</th>
<th>Review Criteria Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why does it matter?</td>
<td>Significance, Importance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How is it new?</td>
<td>Innovation, Novelty, Creativity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How will it be done?</td>
<td>Approach, Plan, Methodology, Objective, Aims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In what context will it be done?</td>
<td>Environment, Resources, Populations, Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is special about the people involved?</td>
<td>Investigators, Organization, People, Researchers, Personnel, Partners, Collaborators, Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the return investment?</td>
<td>Impact, Value, Relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How effectively will the financial resources be managed?</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How will success be determined?</td>
<td>Evaluation, Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
U.S. House Supports New Bid to Require a “National Interest” Certification for NSF Grants

The House of Representatives approved legislation that would require the National Science Foundation (NSF) to award grants to research projects that are certified as being in the national interest. This measure would set forth concepts that would be considered to be of “national interest” such as improving the economic health of America or strengthening national defense. This legislation is the latest attempt at limiting scientific freedom at the NSF. However, according to bill’s sponsor, this measure that would ensure the grant process is more transparent and accountable to the American Public. To read more about this, please click [here](#).

Historical Trends for R&D Funding

Please click on this [link](#) to review charts and tables that present a range of the federal R&D data series. The
information includes research budgets by agency, character, and discipline, and a majority of the data was collected from the AAAS R&D annual budget reports. Some charts also relied on data that was collected by the NSF’s National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics, as well as data provided via the President’s budget request in the White House Office of Management and Budget.
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**Rate my newsletter....**

Help us make the Research Express a useful tool in your research toolkit. Take our 5 minute customer satisfaction survey and let us know what you need to know. [Click here to begin.](#)

---

**The Research Express is a monthly newsletter provided by the Office of Research Services and the Office of Research Integrity to provide the Faculty and Staff of Georgia Southern University with the most current sponsored research and compliance information.** Please feel free to contact our office at 478-5465 or visit our websites at [ORSSP](#) and [Research Integrity](#). “Like” us on [Facebook](#).